



In collaboration with:





Two-day Symposium Rethinking Languages and Literacies Education

The Centre for Research in Education at Niagara College and the Center for Educational Research in Languages and Literacies (CERLL) at OISE - University of Toronto

In collaboration with:

ORK

The Department of Languages and Cultures at Western University;

The Faculty of Education at Western University;

The Department of Languages, Literatures and Linguistics and the Faculty of; Education at York University;

The Department of Germanic and Slavic Studies of the University of Waterloo; The Language Department of the University of Toronto Mississauga

are pleased to announce the sixth Symposium of Southern Ontario Universities (SSOU). The Symposium will be held in a hybrid mode at Niagara College's DJP Campus in Niagara-on-the-Lake and online

on October 24-25, 2025

Registration will be free for all participants and presenters.

EXTENDED SUBMISSION DEADLINE: July, 15th

ALSO NEW: <u>Click here</u> to see our 2025 Symposium video!



Theme of the 2025 Symposium: From Silos to Solidarity: Rethinking Languages and Literacies in Education through collaboration and care

In a world that has increasingly become marked by tensions and divisions, breaking down silos and overcoming isolation is more important now than ever. Whether our work focuses on bridging boundaries, dismantling disciplinary silos, or fostering reciprocal relationships with others, a sense of belonging to the human community remains at the very core of all these endeavours, bringing us together in solidarity and resistance. The 6th Symposium of the Southern Ontario Universities (SSOU) invites scholars, students, and practitioners to share and critically reflect on the role and impact of collaboration and care within the field of languages and literacies in Education.

The Symposium of the Southern Ontario Universities will be in its sixth edition this year and is the result of ongoing collaborative efforts between different universities and post-secondary institutions in the region. The symposium seeks to continue building community not only between participating institutions but, more importantly, among students, faculty, practitioners and all those interested in language and literacies education. By creating a supportive environment for all participants, the symposium challenges traditional academic hierarchies, encouraging meaningful connections and learning from one another. Following traditions of previous years, the symposium will feature two keynote speakers, one from a local and one from an international context respectively, to further strengthen discussion and dialogue not only within Ontario but also beyond. As a new addition to this year's symposium, there will be an initiative to specifically support first-time attendees through mentoring and pre-symposium workshops, aiming to demystify what it means to attend or present at an academic symposium.

We are excited to announce that the sixth Symposium of the Southern Ontario Universities will take place **October 24-25, 2025 at Niagara College's DJP Campus in Niagara-on-the-Lake**, and we invite you to submit contributions to one of the three different strands (presentations, roundtable discussion, poster presentations) in which participants will discuss new research avenues, including:

- bi-/multi-/trans-/plurilingualism in language education
- multiliteracies and multimodalities
- teachers' and students' agency
- mobility, migration and inclusion
- impact of language policies
- innovation in language teaching methodologies and assessment
- artificial intelligence in language education
- language acquisition and language use
- technology-assisted language learning and teaching
- online learning and distance education
- antiracism, decolonization and social justice in language education
- newcomer language education
- indigenous and heritage language education

The Symposium offers a valuable opportunity for scholars, including faculty members, graduate students, activists and practitioners, engaged in languages and literacies education to connect,

share insights, and discuss their research. It serves as a platform to establish and expand opportunities for academic networking for future collaborations.

Now in its sixth edition, the Symposium is hosted by Niagara College's Centre for Research in Education and is jointly organized by the Center for Educational Research on Languages and Literacies (CERLL) of OISE-University of Toronto and Niagara College. The University of Toronto at Mississauga, Western University, York University, the University of Waterloo and Glendon College are also major collaborators in this initiative. The Symposium provides a unique opportunity to further strengthen collaboration and cultivate community both within and across institutional boundaries. The hybrid format will once again allow for broader participation, welcoming both national and international audiences, as was successfully achieved in previous editions. With a diverse program featuring plenary sessions, presentations, roundtable discussions, poster sessions, and social events, the Symposium offers participants from Ontario and beyond an excellent opportunity to engage with the wider academic community in language and literacies education.

Symposium Structure

The two-day event will consist of two plenary sessions as well as interactive thematic sessions that aim to engage multiple perspectives. The two plenary sessions will be addressed by:



Sarah Mercer Professor Foreign Language Teaching University of Graz

Title of plenary: Language Education is Interdisciplinary: Exploring the Example of the Psychology of Language Learning and Teaching.



<u>Geoff Lawrence</u> Associate Professor Department of Languages, Literatures and Linguistics at York University

Title of plenary: Reaffirming 'Heart' in Language Education: Critical Reflections on Affect, Agency and Community in Digital Teaching.

Please find more information about the presenters and plenaries here.

The Symposium will be comprised of three strands:

• Strand 1: Presentations and Plenary Sessions

This strand includes the two Plenary sessions and the presentation sessions. Three presentation sessions will be organized which will feature individual presentations related to the symposium theme. Each presentation will be limited to 20 minutes (15 minutes presentation + 5 minutes discussion). According to the number of submissions that are received, there may be parallel sessions. While presentations about work-in-progress may be considered for acceptance, please note that presentations about completed research will be prioritized in this strand.

• Strand 2: Roundtable discussions

Recognizing that presenters might want to share their work at different stages of the research process (e.g., conceptualization, pre or mid-data collection, analysis), we encourage all presenters who have not yet completed their research project to apply for this new strand of the symposium. The roundtable discussion will feature multiple presenters. Each presenter will have 5 minutes to present, followed by 10 minutes of discussion at their table. Roundtable discussions are ideal for presenters who wish to receive suggestions and feedback from the audience for their on-going work.

• Strand 3: Poster sessions

Poster sessions will also be featuring at the symposium as this will encourage exchange and networking. Participants will be able to put up poster displays describing their work and projects and distribute relevant information during the event. Posters can outline projects that are either completed or still in-progress. Poster sessions will take place during the break (30 minutes) and presentation length will vary according to presenters' and audience's own pace. The poster sessions will allow close and personalized contact between the presenters and the audience.

<u>The Symposium is now accepting proposals for paper, roundtable and poster</u> <u>presentations</u>. Please submit your abstract through <u>this abstract submission form</u> **by July 15th, 2025 (extended deadline).** You are also welcomed to build on a previous presentation delivered elsewhere.

Instructions for submitting the abstract:

- 1. Click on the submission link provided.
- 2. Create an account using any email address or sign up quickly using your Google or LinkedIn account via Single Sign-On (SSO).
- 3. Follow the on-screen instructions to enter and submit your abstract for a presentation, roundtable discussion or a poster.

If you have any questions or encounter any issues, please contact <u>asadz.iqbal@mail.utoronto.ca</u> for assistance.

2	2025
Sign in to Ox	ford Abstracts
Email address	
Password	
	Ø
Remember me	Forgot password?
s	lign in
Or cor	ntinue with
G Google	in LinkedIn
	w here? Dxford Abstracts

Tentative Symposium Timeline

*Time	Friday October 24, 2025	Saturday, October 25, 2025	
9:00-9:30 am	Joining, registration & coffee		
9:30-9:45	Welcome and Symposium Opening	Joining & coffee	
9:45-11:00	Plenary	Plenary	
11:00-11.30	Coffee break and poster session	Coffee break and poster session	
11:30-13:00	Presentation Session 1A -1B	Presentation Session 3A - 3B Roundtable Discussions	
13:00-14:00	Lunch break and poster session	Closing panel discussion Followed by informal sharing and reflecting over food and drinks	
14:00-15:30	Presentation Session 2 A- 2B		

*Times are tentative and subject to change.

Important dates

Deadline for submission of abstracts: Notification and confirmation of acceptance: Registration closes (presenters): Registration closes (general): Conference Dates:

July 15th, 2025 (extended deadline)

August 2025 early September 2025 late September 2025 24-25 October, 2025

Scientific Committee

The scientific committee of the symposium consists of faculty members from the participant universities.

Ana García-Allén (Western University) Le Chen (Western University) Giacomo Folinazzo (Niagara College) Hang-Sun Kim (University of Toronto) Ibtissem Knouzi (York University) Geoff Lawrence (York University) Faith Marcel (Niagara College) Ashley Moore (OISE) Enrica Piccardo (OISE) Gail Prasad (York University) Barbara Schmenk (University of Waterloo) Shelley K. Taylor (Western University) Shawna-Kaye Tucker (OISE) Marlon Valencia (Glendon College) Zhaozhe Wang (UTM)

Organizing Committee

The organizing committee of the symposium consists of faculty members and graduate students from the participant universities.

Catherine Bayless (OISE) Giacomo Folinazzo (Niagara College) Umme Kulsum (OISE) Lisa Lackner (OISE) Faith Marcel (Niagara College) Asad Iqbal (OISE) Enrica Piccardo (OISE)

Submission process

Abstract will be submitted online through <u>this abstract submission</u> form and blindly peer-reviewed.

Title: 15 words

Abstracts: 300 words (for posters, roundtable discussions and presentations) Criteria (please also see the abstract selection criteria on the next page):

- Relevance to the topic of the symposium
- Effectively grounded in relevant research, theory, practice and/or policy
- Comprehensive design and analysis i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review. (If research is not completed yet, please indicate your current thoughts and ideas regarding each of the points listed above.)
- Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-prepared presentation)

In case the link to the submission form is not working, please copy and paste this link here: <u>https://auth.oxfordabstracts.com/?redirect=/stages/78659/submitter</u>

Languages of the Symposium

Official languages of the symposium: English and French.

Linguistic diversity is encouraged in all strands. If you are planning to present in another language than English and French, we kindly ask you to prepare English/French slides. We will indicate the language you decide to present in our program once we finalize it. In case you have questions regarding the symposium, please reach out to Lisa Lackner (University of Toronto) at <u>lisa.lackner@mail.utoronto.ca</u>

Symposium Abstract Selection Criteria

Clarity The abstract is clear, concise, statement of purpose and aims is justified Rationale or background The study is significant (the study addresses an important problem) and grounded in relevant research, theory, practice or policy Methodology: Design & sample (for empircal studies) The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance so of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-prepared presentation)	Criteria	Exemplary	Acceptable	Needs Improvement
aims is justified Image: Content of the study addresses an important problem) and grounded in relevant research, theory, practice or policy Methodology: Design & sample (for empirical studies) Methodology: Design & sample (for empirical studies) The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Image: Conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Image: Conceptual/theoretical studies) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance and Originality: Relevance and Originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Image: Concept and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Implication of a coherent, well-	Clarity			
Rationale or backgroundImage: State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papersImage: State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papersRelevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findingsImage: State-of-the-art review of approach and/or findingsOverall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-Image: State-of-the-art review of approach and/or findings	The abstract is clear, concise, statement of purpose and			
The study is significant (the study addresses an important problem) and grounded in relevant research, theory, practice or policy Image: Content of the study addresses an important problem) and grounded in relevant research, theory, practice or policy Methodology: Design & sample (for empirical studies) The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Doverall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well- Coherent, well-	aims is justified			
problem) and grounded in relevant research, theory, practice or policy Methodology: Design & sample (for empirical studies) The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	Rationale or background			
practice or policyImage: Second S	The study is significant (the study addresses an important			
Methodology: Design & sample (for empirical studies) The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	problem) and grounded in relevant research, theory,			
The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e., research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	practice or policy			
research question, sources, methodology and analysis for an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	Methodology: Design & sample (for empirical studies)			
an empirical study; currency of supporting literature for a conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Image: Conceptual piece or systematic literature review) Results: (for empirical studies) Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Image: Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) Image: Clearly state of che-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Image: Clearly state of che-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Image: Clearly state of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well- Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well- Image: Clearly state of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	The design and/or analysis is comprehensive (i.e.,			
conceptual piece or systematic literature review)Image: Conceptual piece or systematic literature review)Results: (for empirical studies)Stated researchQuestion(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s)Image: Conceptual/theoretical studies)Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies)State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papersRelevance and Originality:Relevance and Originality:Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findingsImplications for practice, education, research, or policyOverall quality:Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-				
Results: (for empirical studies)Image: Clearly stated in relationship to stated researchQuestion(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s)Image: Clearly stated in relationship to stated researchDepth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies)Image: Clearly state review, and the oretical studies in the oretical review, and the oretical studies in the oreti				
Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s) Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	conceptual piece or systematic literature review)			
question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s)	Results: (for empirical studies)			
Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical studies) Image: State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Image: State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	Clearly stated in relationship to stated research			
studies) State-of-the-art review paper, literature review, discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Implications for practice, education, research, or Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well- Implication of a coherent, well-	question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s)			
State-of-the-art review paper, literature review,	Depth and breadth (for conceptual/theoretical			
discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers	studies)			
Relevance and Originality: Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	State-of-the-art review paper, literature review,			
Relevance to current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	discussion of concepts, reaction/response papers			
practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	Relevance and Originality:			
results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-				
Implications for practice, education, research, or policy Implication of a coherent, well- Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	practice, policy, theory, methodology, originality of			
policy Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	results, innovativeness of approach and/or findings			
Overall quality: Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-				
Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-	policy			
Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-				
	Overall quality:			
prepared presentation)	Quality of the proposal (as indication of a coherent, well-			
	prepared presentation)			