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${ }^{1}$ This article is an edited version of the last three sections of a paper entitled 'Northern Paman' (Tucsion, Arizona, 1964). The first section of that paper was published (with the addition of an introduction and cognate density matrix) as 'Classification of Northern Paman Languages, Cape York Peninsula, Australia: A Research Report' in Oceanic Linguistics 3:2, 1964, pp.248-64. It was re-published (augmented again by the cognate density matrix and also by a grammatical sketch of Linnitir) as 'The Paman Group of the Pama-Nyungan Phylic Family, Appendix to $\bar{X} X I X$ ' in G:N. O'Grady and C.F. and F.M. Voegelin, Languages of the World: IndoPacific Fascicle 6 (= Anthropological Linguistics 8:2), 1966, pp.162-97. These two published versions contained only pages 1-9 of the original 'Northern Paman' manuscript, which numbers 72 pages. Pages 11-13 and 57-58 of 'Northern Paman' contain an account of phonological developments in Uradhi. These sections are omitted here as Hale has presented a revised and expanded version of them in his paper on Uradhi in this volume. (Ed.)

3 Attestation in suffixes

## (abbreviations)

| Lutir and Mpalityan | Lu Mpa |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yinwum | Yin |
| Lingitir | Lin |
| Algit | Al |
| Awntim | Awn |
| Ntra?nit | Ntr |
| Ngkot | Ngko |
| Aritingitir | Ari |
| Mbiywom | Mbi |

## 1. PHONOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS

In this section, Northern Paman languages are treated separately with respect to their reflection of Paman phonology. The languages are ordered from north to south. ${ }^{1}$

For each language, the discussion is divided into five sections according to articulatory class of Paman segmental phonemes:
(1) stops, $* / p++Y \mathrm{k} /$; (2) nasals, $* / m \mathrm{n}$ ny $\mathrm{n} /$; (3) liquids, */l r/; (4) glides, $* / \mathrm{w}$ R $\mathrm{y} /$; and (5) vowels, $* / \mathrm{i}(\cdot) \mathrm{u}(\cdot) \mathrm{a}(\cdot) /$. At the end of each section, the inventory of consonants and vowels in the modern language is given.

The concern in this section is with phonological developments in stems exclusively. Developments in suffixes are not treated in detail because of the relatively small total of suffixes which can be reconstructed (but see section 3).
$\underline{\underline{\text { Lutir and MpalitYan }}}$

These two dialects are very similar to one another, especially with respect to their vocabularies. In phonological development from Paman, however, they differ in certain details. In Lutir for example, Paman *R and intervocalic ${ }^{*} t$ are reflected by $/ \mathcal{/} /$, as they are in Awntim and its sister dialects to the west. In Mpalit Yan, both ${ }^{*} R$ and ${ }^{*} t$ remained apical, as in other inland languages. Also in Lutir, final ${ }^{*} \mid>/ y /$, another development shared by its western neighbours. In Mpalityan final *| remained as $/ 1 /$, as it did in inland languages generally.

[^0]Mpalit $Y_{\text {an }}$ like its neighbour Yinwum, has a series of prenasalised stops which developed in the reduction of medial ${ }^{*} N S$ after nasal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$. This series is absent. in Luti $\gamma$ where reduction of ${ }^{*}$ NS left no trace of nasalisation.

Major developments in Lutiy and Mpalityan have been: (1) reduction of medial ${ }^{*} N S$ after nasal ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$, (2) lenition of intervocalic stops after long ${ }^{*} V^{*}{ }_{1}$, (3) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$, (4) metathesis of short ${ }^{*} u$ from initial syllables, and (5) reduction of long ${ }^{*} V^{*}{ }_{1}$. Loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ was invariable in these dialects as it was in all Northern Paman languages south of Urazi. Although medial *S was lenited after ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, medial ${ }^{*} N S$ was not; Lutir and Mpalityan Ienition differs from Uraði Ienition in this respect only.

In the following paragraphs, reflection of Paman non-initial phonemes is presented.

## Stops

Lenited and non-lenited reflexes of intervocalic ${ }^{*} / \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{k} /$ are as in Uraði (see: ${ }^{* y i} \cdot \operatorname{par}(-),{ }^{*}+y_{a} \cdot \mid p a,{ }^{*}+Y_{i p a}{ }^{*} w a \cdot Y_{a}$, ${ }^{*} k u \cdot+y_{i}(-m a)$, ${ }^{*} p a+Y_{a-}$, $\left.{ }^{*} n_{n+} y_{i(-)}{ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{a+} Y_{i-},{ }^{*} p i \cdot k u,{ }^{*} y u k u\right)$. Intervocalic ${ }^{*} t$ is singly reflected in both dialects - by /t/ in Mpalityan, and by /?/ in Lutir (see: *kuta(ka)).

In Lutir, medial ${ }^{*} N S$ lost the nasal segment after ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ in which ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ was a nasal; that is, in sequences of the type ${ }^{*} \mathrm{NV}(\cdot) \mathrm{NSV}$, both nasals were lost in the reduction of the initial syllable. In Mpalityan, both nasals were lost only if $*_{1}$ was short $*_{i}$ or $*_{u}$ - otherwise, the nasalisation itself remained as prenasalisation of ${ }^{*}$ S. Prenasalised stops, $/ n_{p} /, / n_{+} /$, etc. resulting in this manner are in contrast with modern clusters of nasal plus stop reflecting ${ }^{*} N S$ where the preceding ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ was non-nasal (for examples of reduced and retained clusters, see: * jampu, *kampiy, *wantu(-) ~ jantu(-), *${ }_{n} Y_{\text {untu }}^{\sim} n^{\text {Y }}$ intu, ${ }^{*}$ pinta, *wanta-, *munka-, ${ }_{n} Y_{\text {anka, }}{ }^{*}$ nunku, ${ }_{n} Y_{u} \cdot \eta k a-,{ }^{*}$ pa•nkal, $\left.{ }^{*}+Y_{\text {unku, }}{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu} \cdot \eta k u(-)\right)$.

## Nasals

Intervocalic */mny $n />/ m n n /$ (see: *pama, *nami-, *min ${ }^{\dagger}$ a, *pana-). Intervocalic and final ${ }_{n}>7 n /$ (see: ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{i} \cdot n a-,{ }^{*} n a \cdot n i$, ${ }^{*} k u l a n,{ }^{*}+Y_{a l a n}$ ). The reflection of nasals in clusters has been given (see the section 'Stops' above).

Modern /nY/ reflecting Paman $*_{n} Y$ is attested for Mpalityan in the cluster ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y+y$ only (see: *pan $y_{+} y_{i-}$ ). Otherwise, $/ n y /$ in Lutir and Mpality ${ }^{2}$ n is confined to stems not appearing outside Northern Paman.

## Liquids

Intervocalic *| remained as /1/ in both dialects (see: * ${ }^{*}$ gali, *pu•la(-), ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {alan }}$. Final ${ }^{*} \mid>/ I /$ in Mpalit $Y_{\text {an }}$ and /y/ in Lutir (see: $*_{n} Y_{\text {upul }}$ ~ ${ }^{\text {Yipul, }}$ *pa•nkal). In clusters, *। was lost (see: *kalka, *kalma-, *kalmpaR, $\left.{ }^{*} k u l \eta k u l ~ ~ k u l \eta k u n,{ }^{*}+y_{a} \cdot \mid p a\right)$.

The rare medial ${ }^{*} r$ is not attested for Lutir and Mpality an. In two putative cognates, final ${ }^{*} r>/ \gamma /$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ gä $\quad$ mur, ${ }^{*}$ Cakur).

## Glides

Medial *w and *y remained (see: *ka*way, *mayi, *gayu). Final *y was lost but had a fronting influence on a preceding ${ }^{*}$ a (see the section 'Vowels' below, and see also: *kampiy, *pakay).

In Mpalit ${ }^{Y}$ an, *R was lenited to $/ r /$ after long ${ }^{*} V_{1}{ }_{1}$ and after
 (phonetically [ $\dagger$ ] (see: *maRa, ${ }^{*}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{aR}}$, ${ }^{*}$ waRi-).

In Lutir *R > /?/ (see: (maRa, *kalmpaR, *wa•Ri).

## Vowels

With loss of initial consonants, short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was also lost in some cases. The tendency toward loss of this vowel is greater in Lutiy than in Mpalityan, but in neither case are the conditions for loss or retention of ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ fully understood.

However, one regularity with respect to ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ emerges clearly short ${ }^{*} u$ of an initial syllable metathesised with ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ if ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ was ${ }^{*}$ a. In its new position following ${ }^{*} C_{2}$, ${ }^{*} u$ reduced to the corresponding glide /w/ (see: ${ }^{*}$ gula, ${ }^{*} k u l a n,{ }^{*}$ munka-, ${ }^{*}{ }_{n}{ }^{\text {y }}$ ukal, ${ }^{*}$ wuna-; see also *kuta(ka) where the expected metathesis occurred in Mpalityan but not in Lutir).

In ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$, that is, the second syllable of Paman stems, all vowels were retained. The high vowels, ${ }^{*} i$ and ${ }^{*} u$, are each singly reflected in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ by /i/ and/u/ respectively (see: * jami-, *pipi, ${ }^{*}$ gampu, *yuku). The low central vowel, *a, is doubly reflected - *a >/æ/ before final *y, and /a/ elsewhere (see *pakay and *kalka, now minimally distinct in Mpalityan after loss of final ${ }^{*} y$; see also ${ }^{*}$ ka'way).

Long vowels became short: $*_{i} \cdot>/ i /,{ }^{*} u^{*}>/ u /,^{*}{ }^{\cdot}>/ a /$ (see: $\left.{ }^{*} y_{i} y^{\prime} \cdot n a-,{ }^{*} p u \cdot l a,{ }^{*} g a \cdot n i\right)$.

The consonant and vowel inventories of the modern dialects are
 $/ n_{p} n_{t} n_{t} n_{t} y n_{k}^{-} /$in Mpalityan, and / $/ \mathrm{l} /$ in Lutir. Lutir/r/appears only in stems which are confined to Northern Paman; the same is true of many instances of MpalitYan $/ \mathrm{r} /$, although the latter has at least one source in Paman, namely *R.

Yinwum

Major phonological developments in Yinwum have been: (1) prenasalisation of medial stops after nasal ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$, (2) reduction of medial ${ }^{*} \mathrm{NS}$ after nasal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$, (3) lenition of ${ }^{*} N S$ after long $V_{1}$, (4) vowel umlaut under the influence of laminal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$, (5) metathesis of certain short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (6) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ and certain short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (7) reduction of long ${ }^{*} V_{1}{ }_{1}$.

Although Yinwum shows lenition of ${ }^{*} N S$ after long vowels, it does not show lenition of single stops in that environment (compare ${ }^{*} C a \cdot n^{Y}+Y_{a}$ - and ${ }^{*} p a \cdot+Y_{a-}$ ).

## Stops

Paman stops following long or short ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ were prenasalised if ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ was a nasal $-{ }^{*} p>/ n_{p} /$ (see: ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{\text {upul }} \sim n^{y}$ ipul, ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{i p i(-m a)), ~}{ }^{*}+y>/ n_{t} n_{t} y /$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ nat $Y_{u-},{ }_{n} y_{a+} y_{i-},{ }^{*}$ nat $y_{i}$ ), and ${ }^{*} k>/_{k}$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ mukuR). Stops remained as plain after ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ where ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ was non-nasal (see: ${ }^{*}+y_{i}$ ipa, *kuta(ka), *patya-, *pakay).

Clusters of nasal plus stop following nasal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ lost the nasal segment itself, but the stop segment remained as prenasalised (see:
 *nk became lamino-alveolar $/^{n}+y /$, presumably under the fronting influence of ${ }^{*} i$ in the preceding syllable).

Prenasalised stops derived in either of the two ways described above contrast with clusters of nasal plus stop which descended as such after short ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV} V_{1}$ where ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$ was non-nasal (see: *kampiy, ${ }^{*}$ kumpu, ${ }^{*} y$ inta-, *Runka-, *punku).

After long ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, clusters of nasal plus stop were lenited (see: ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ca} \cdot n \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Ya}$-, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{nk} \mathrm{an} Y \mathrm{~V},{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu} \cdot \mathrm{nku}-$ ). This development did not occur in at least one stem where ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ is known to have been a nasal (see: ${ }_{n} Y_{u} \cdot n k a-$ ).

Independent of these developments are the split of Paman ${ }^{*}+$ and ${ }^{*}+y$. Paman ${ }^{*}+$ became $/+r /$ in the cluster ${ }^{*} n t$ if the latter was flanked by *a; otherwise, * remained as / $\dagger /$ (compare *wanta- and *yinta-). Paman ${ }^{*}+Y$ became lamino-dental /t $n_{t}$ / if flanked by back vowels (see:
 (see: ${ }^{*} k u \cdot{ }^{\prime} y_{i-},{ }_{n} y_{a+} y_{i-}$ ). These constitute true phonemic splits. The contrasts $t / t^{r}, t / t^{Y}$, and $n_{t} / n_{t} y$, although not always attestable in stems reconstructed for Paman generally, are well documented in the Yinwum corpus.

## Nasals

Intervocalic */m n n/ > /mnn/ (see: *pama, *kami, *nana, *wuna-,
 laminal ${ }^{*}+\mathrm{Y}$, has both dental and alveolar reflexes: $*_{n} y>/ n /$ (see: wa•nYa), ${ }_{n} y^{\prime} y>/ n y /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{\text {m }}$ inya).

## Liquids

Intervocalic and final *1 remained as /1/ (see: *nali, ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{u l u} \sim n^{*} y_{i} \mid u$, *nampul). In clusters, *| $>/ \mathrm{i} /$ if followed by a single consonant (see: *kalma-, *kalka); if followed by *NS, *l metathesised with the cluster and reduced to $/ \mathrm{w} /-{ }^{*} \mid \mathrm{mp}>/ \mathrm{mpw} /$, ${ }^{*} \mid \mathrm{\eta k}>/ \mathrm{jkw} /$ (see: *kalmpaR, *kulokul ~ kulgkun).

Medial and final $*_{r}$ are each attested by a single example only medial ${ }^{*} r>/ y /\left(\right.$ see: $\left.{ }^{*_{n}} y_{i} \cdot r i\right)$, final ${ }^{*} r>/ \gamma /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{*}$ na•mur).

## Glides

Paman ${ }^{*}$ w and ${ }^{*}$ y remained medially (see: *ka•way, *mayi). Final ${ }^{*} y>$ /R/ (see: *pakay, *kampiy).

Medial *R, like medial stops, was prenasalised after nasal $C_{1}$ ${ }^{*} R>/{ }^{n}+r /$ (see: *maRa). Otherwise, medial *R $>/++^{r} /$ (see: *waRi-, *wa•Ri, *+Ya•Ra). Final *R >/tr/(see: *+YankaR-, *kalmpaR, *mukuR).

## Vowels

Initial laminal consonants had the effect of fronting central or back vowels which followed in the same stem. After loss of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$, this fronting survived in Yinwum. Paman ${ }^{*} a$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ was fronted to /i/ (see: *+Yalan, *yinta-, *+Yampa-, *+YankaR-, *yana-; see also *+Ya•Ra, in which long ${ }^{*} V{ }_{1}$ apparently inhibited this fronting). Paman ${ }^{*} u$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ was fronted to $/ e /$ if ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was also ${ }^{*} u$ (see: ${ }^{*}+Y_{u}$ gku, ${ }^{*} y u k u$ ), and ${ }^{*} u>$ /yu/ if ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was ${ }^{*}$ (see: ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {aRu }}{ }^{*} y a p u\left(-+Y_{u}\right)$ ). Other patterns of fronting are attested singly only ( ${ }^{*} u \cdot>/ i /$ and $*_{a}>/ e / i n *_{n} y_{u} \cdot n k a-;$
 as such in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ pama, ${ }^{*}$ punku).

Paman ${ }^{*}\left(\cdot(\cdot)\right.$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ had the effect of lowering ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV} 2$ to /e/ if the intervening consonant, or cluster, was non-laminal (see: *waRi-, *gali, *kampiy, *wa•Ri, *kami). Otherwise, *i>/i/ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ (see: $*_{\text {mayi }} *_{n} y_{a+} y_{i-}, *_{n} y_{i p i(-m a))}$.

Short ${ }^{*} u$ in ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ metathesised with ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ (attested only in stems in which *V $V_{2}$ was *a); (see: *Runka-, *kuta(ka), *kuna, *kunkar, *nula, $*_{\text {wuna-) }}$. Short ${ }^{*}$ i metathesised if ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ was ${ }^{*}$ a or ${ }^{*} u$ (see: ${ }^{*}+y_{i p a}$,
 which metathesis fails to occur). Short ${ }^{*} i$ and ${ }^{*} u$ which did not metathesise were lost (see: ${ }_{n} y_{i p i(-m a), ~}{ }^{*}$ punku).

Short ${ }^{*}$ a in ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ remained where ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ was ${ }^{*} y$; otherwise it was lost (see: ${ }^{*}$ mayi, ${ }^{*}$ nayu, ${ }_{n} y_{a+} Y_{i-},{ }^{*}$ pat $Y_{a-}$ ).

Paman *a. was shortened to /a/ (see: *wa $+y_{a}$, *wa ${ }^{*} y_{a}$, *ka•way). Paman $*_{i}$. and ${ }_{*_{u}}$ also shortened, but a glide $/ \mathrm{y} /$ or $/ \mathrm{w} /$ sometimes developed after ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ which followed them if ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ was ${ }^{*}$ a (see: ${ }^{*} y i \cdot p a r$, ${ }^{*} p u \cdot l a ;$ see also ${ }_{n} Y_{u} \cdot n k a-$ where the original rounding of ${ }^{*} u \cdot$ survives only in the glide which developed after ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ ).

Yinwum consonants and vowels are: $/ \mathrm{p} t \mathrm{t} t^{r} t^{Y} \mathrm{k}$; $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{r}$
 cannot be accounted for in terms of regular development from Paman, since both are confined to Northern Paman stems.
$\underline{\underline{\text { Lingiti }}{ }^{1} \text { and Algit. }}$

These dialects agree in their reflection of Proto Paman phonology in all respects except one - Alnit shows metathesis of ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ with ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, while Linnitir does not. Because Alnit is so similar to Linnitir, and of Linnitir. (Ed.)
because the Alnit data are extremely brief, the comments which follow will be restricted to Linnitir. Alnit metathesis, the only development which distinguishes the two dialects, is similar to that occurring in Awntim (see below).

Major phonological developments are: (1) reduction of medial *NS after nasal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$, (2) Ienition of medial ${ }^{*} S$ and ${ }^{*} N S$ after long ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (3) vowel umlaut, (4) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ and ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, and (5) reduction of ${ }^{*} V_{1}$.

## Stops

Lenition of stops and clusters after ${ }^{*} V^{*}$ is exactly as in Uraði (for examples of lenited and non-lenited reflexes, see: *yi par, ${ }^{*} N i \cdot m p i$,
 Split of ${ }^{*}+Y$ after short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ is also as in Uradi (see: ${ }^{*} y_{n} y_{a+} y_{i-}$, ${ }^{*}$ nat $y_{i}$ ).

Clusters of nasal plus stop following short ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ lost the nasal segment if ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ was a nasal; otherwise, they remained as clusters (see:
 *punku). In the cluster ${ }^{*} n+,{ }^{*}+>/ t^{r} /$ (see the stems cited above, and also: *wanta-, *pinta).

## Nasals

Intervocalic ${ }^{*} / \mathrm{m} n \mathrm{n} />/ \mathrm{m} n \mathrm{n} /$, and final ${ }^{*} \mathrm{n}>/ \mathrm{n} /$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ pama, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} \cdot \mathrm{na-}$, *puna, * + Yalan). Paman ${ }_{n} Y>/ n Y /$ after short *i (attested by one example, see: $\left.{ }^{*} m i n y a\right)$ and in the cluster ${ }^{*} y^{\prime} y+y$ after short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ (also attested by a single example, see: *pany+yi-); otherwise, where attested, ${ }^{*} Y>/ n /$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ wa ${ }^{Y}{ }^{Y}$ a, ${ }^{*} p i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{Y}$ a, ${ }^{*} \operatorname{man} Y_{i}$ ).

## Liquids

Intervocalic *| $>/ 1 /$, and final *| $>/ y /$ (see: *ka•|a, *nali, *kali-, * pampul, *pankul). Paman *l in clusters is attested only twice for Linnitir - *Imp >/mpw/ (see: *kalmpaR), and *Ink >/nk/ (see:
*kulokul ~ kulokun).
Medial ${ }^{*} r$ is attested twice, and final ${ }^{*} r$ three times - medial
 $/ \gamma /$ after ${ }^{*} u$ (see: *mulir, * na•mur, ${ }^{*}$ Cakur).

## Glides

Medial *w and *y remained (see: *ka•way, *mayi, * nayu). Final ** $>/ R /$
(see: *pakay, *kampiy).
*R >/?/ (see: *maRa, *wa*Ri, * ${ }^{*}$ Y $_{\text {ankaR- }}$ ).

## Vowels

In ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$, the back vowels, ${ }^{*}$ a and ${ }^{*} u$, are each doubly reflected. The conditions for dual reflection of *a are statable in terms of the
phonetic nature of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$, while the conditions for split of ${ }^{*} u$ cannot, as yet, be stated at all.

Paman *a was fronted to $/ x /$ under the influence of short *i in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ and, in at least one case, under the influence of an initial laminal; otherwise, it remained as /a/ (compare *yinta- with *wantaand ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {uma }}$ with ${ }^{*}$ pama, now minimally distinct; see also ${ }^{*}$ minya in which final *a remained /a/ and only ${ }^{*}$ y was especially influenced by short ${ }^{*}$ i).

Paman ${ }^{*} u$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ remained as /u/ in the following Paman stems: ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{u n t u} \sim n^{Y}$ intu, ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{u l u} \sim n^{Y} y_{i l u}$, * nampul, *kumpu. Otherwise where attested in Paman stems, ${ }^{*} u>/ 0 /$ (compare ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{\text {upul }} \sim n^{y} y_{i p u l}$ with * nampul, now minimally distinct; see also: * nat $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$, ${ }^{*}$ gunku, ${ }^{*}$ punku, *pi•muR).

The high front vowel ${ }^{*}$ i in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ is singly reflected by /i/ (see: *kali-, *na•ni, *wa•Ri).

In ${ }^{*} C_{V}$, short vowels were retained where ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ was a glide or ${ }^{*} r$




Long vowels became short - *i•>/i/, ${ }^{*} u^{\cdot}>/ 0 /,^{*} a \cdot>/ a /$ (see:


Lingitir consonants ${ }^{1}$ and vowels are: $/ p t t^{r} k$; $\beta \delta \gamma ; m \underline{n}$ $n n^{y} n$; 1 r; wRy; i uæo a/.

Modern $/ t /$ and $/ r /$ appear only in stems confined to Northern Paman.
Occurrences of modern $/ \mathrm{n}^{Y} /$ are rare in stems attested for Paman -
 The total corpus indicates that $/ n^{y} /$ is rare generally in Linnitir and only rarely in contrast with /n/. But compare /na-/ 'to arrive' with /nya/ 'animal' (< *minya), /ana/ 'heart' ( $<^{*}{ }^{*} w a \cdot n y_{a}$ ) with /an $Y_{a-/}$ 'to


Voiced fricatives and voiceless stops, where descended from ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, are in complementary distribution - the fricatives are preceded by a vowel (shortened from ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ ) and the stops are initial (after loss of short ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ ). However, fricatives and stops contrast in one modern environment, namely, finally - compare /tor/ 'inserted' with /tok/ 'whale', and /liy/ 'tooth' with/lit/ 'will go'. The development of this contrast in final position cannot, as yet, be detailed in terms of regular development from Paman, partly because Paman morphophonemics, probably germane to this problem, are not fully understood, and partly because of the fact that, in most instances, morphemes involved in the contrast are not yet reconstructable for Paman generally.

Awntim and Ntraprit

These dialects differ in their reflection of Paman phonology with respect to their treatment of vowels. Awntim shows extensive

[^1]metathesis; $\mathrm{Nt}^{\text {rapnit }}$ does not show metathesis but does show vowel umlaut. Both are also closely similar to Lingitir and Algit, but differ from the latter in retaining the nasa! segment of medial *NS after all short ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ regardless of the phonetic nature of ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$; in this, they agree with Uraði.

The major phonological developments shared by Awntim and Ntrappit are: (1) lenition of medial ${ }^{*} S$ and ${ }^{*} N S$ after long ${ }^{*} V{ }_{1}$, (2) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ and certain $V_{1}$, and (3) reduction of ${ }^{*} V_{1}$.

## Stops

Lenited and non-lenited reflexes of medial, non-apical ${ }^{*} S$ and ${ }^{*} N S$ are precisely as in Uraði (for examples see: ${ }^{* y i} \cdot$ par, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ni} \cdot \mathrm{mpi},{ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{i p i(m a)}$,
 *yuku, *punku). Intervocalic * $\dagger>/$ / / (see: ${ }^{*}$ kuta(ka)) and the apical cluster ${ }^{*} n^{\prime}>/ n t^{r} /$ (see: *wanta-, *pinta).

## Nasals

In Awntim, medial */mnny $n />/ m n n n /$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ pama, ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {uma }}$,
 (see: * ${ }^{*}$ Yalan, *kulan). Ntra?nit reflection of nasals is the same except that $*_{n} y^{y}>/ n y /$ as well as $/ n /$ (see: ${ }^{*} \min ^{Y}$ a).

## Liquids

Intervocalic *| >/I/ (see: *nali, ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {alan }}$ ). Final ${ }^{*} \mid>/ y /$ after *u (see: *nampul); after ${ }^{*}$ a, final ${ }^{*} 1$ was lost but fronted ${ }^{*}$ a to /e/ (see: *nYukal, *Cipal). The clusters *Imp and */pk >/mpw/ and /nkw/ respectively (see: *kalmpaR, *kulŋkul ~kulnkun).

Reflexes of ${ }^{*} r$ are as in Lingitiץ (see above, and see: ${ }_{n} Y_{u}$ ura nyira, ${ }^{*} n_{i}$ i•ri, *na•mur, *Cakur).

## Glides

Medial ${ }^{*} y$ and ${ }^{*}$ w were lost in Awntim and retained in Ntrapnit (see: ${ }^{*}$ ka way, ${ }^{*}$ nayu, ${ }^{*}$ mayi). Final ${ }^{*} y^{\text {² }}>/ R /$ in both (see: *pakay, *kampiy). *R >/h/ (see: *maRa, *wa•Ri, *+YankaR-, *kalmpaR).

## Vowels

Ntra?nit reflection of Paman vowels is as in Linnitir (see above), except that ${ }^{*}$ a $>/ e /$ before final ${ }^{*} \mid\left(\right.$ see: ${ }_{n} \gamma_{\text {ukal }}$, ${ }^{*}$ Cipal).

In Awntim, short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ metathesised with ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ if not homorganic with ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ (see: **inya, *pinta, *puna, *kulan, *+Yuma, *mulir, *kampiy, * ${ }^{*}{ }_{n a+} Y_{i}$, *nampu; see also * nampul where the expected metathesis does not occur, and see ${ }^{*} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where metathesis is apparently inhibited by laminal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ ).

Where short ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ and $* V_{2}$ were homorganic, $V_{1}$ was lost in Awntim (see: ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{i p i(-m a), ~ * p i p i, ~ * p u n k u, ~ * y u k u, ~ * p a m a, ~}{ }^{*} p a+Y_{a-}$ ).

Long $* V_{1}$, where not homorganic with $* V_{2}$, have discontinuous
reflexes which flank ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}$. Thus, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}>/ \partial \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{y} /$, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{u}^{\cdot} \mathrm{C}_{2}>/ \partial \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{w} /,{ }^{*}{ }^{*} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2}>$ $/ \partial C_{2} a /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{*} p i \cdot m u R,{ }_{n} y_{u} \cdot n k a-,{ }^{*} k u \cdot{ }^{\prime} y_{i}(-m a)$, ${ }^{*} n a \cdot n i$; see also
${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{i} \cdot n a-$ and ${ }^{*} y i \cdot p a r$, where $/ y /$ does not develop after ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ but ${ }^{*}$ a is fronted to /e/). Long ${ }^{*} V_{1}>/ \partial /$ if homorganic with ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ (see: $*_{n} y_{i} \cdot r i$, $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu} \cdot{ }^{2} \mathrm{ku},{ }^{*} k a \cdot+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$.

In ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}$ and ${ }^{*} u$ became Awntim/y/ and/w/ after /a/ which metathesised from the initial syllable (see: *kampiy, *nampu). Otherwise, they remained as $/ i /$ and $/ u /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }_{n} y_{i p i(-m a), ~ * p u n k u) . ~ P a m a n ~}^{\text {in }}$ $*_{a}>/ e /$ before final $*_{l}$ and after $*_{i}$. in the initial syllable (see: ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{\text {ukal }},{ }^{*}$ Cipal, $\left.{ }_{n} y_{i} \cdot n a-\right)$; ${ }^{*}$ a also became /e/ unaccountably in ${ }^{*}$ pula, ${ }^{*}{ }_{n}$ Yura $\sim n y i r a . ~ O t h e r w i s e, ~ * a>/ a / ~ i n ~ * C V ~(s e e: ~ *+Y a l a n, ~ * m u n k a-, ~$ *maRa).

Awntim and Ntra?nit consonants and vowels are: $/ p t t t r t y k ? ;$


Awntim $/ n^{y} /$, if phonemic at all, has not been recorded in stems reconstructed for Paman generally. In both Awntim and Ntrapjit, /t/ and /r/ are restricted to Northern Paman stems.

As in Lingitir, so also in Awntim and Ntra?nit voiced fricatives contrast with stops in final position and are in complementary distribution otherwise.

Awntim /ə/, derived by reduction and neutralisation of long vowels, is in contrast with its absence - compare /əlan/ 'sky' and /lan/ 'tongue' - but not with other vowels. Its assignment to any of the other vowel phonemes, however, would be arbitrary.

## Ngkot (/hkot/)

Unlike languages so far discussed, Ngkot does not show lenition of any ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ after long ${ }^{*} V \cdot 1$ whether single stop or cluster. And unique to Ng got is reflection by $/ n y+y /$ of medial ${ }^{*} y$ after nasal ${ }^{*} C_{1}$.

Major phonological developments in Ngkot are: (1) reduction of ${ }^{*} N S$ after nasal ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$, (2) fronting of central or back ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ after laminal ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{1}$, (3) metathesis of certain short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (4) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ and certain short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, and (5) reduction of long vowels.

## Stops

 *kuta(ka), *pakay, *mukuR). As elsewhere in Northern Paman, ${ }^{*+y}$ is doubly reflected - by $/+y /$ if ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ was also laminal (see: ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} y_{a+} Y_{i-}$ ), and by $/ \underline{m} /$ otherwise (see: ${ }^{*}$ nat $y_{i-}$, ${ }^{*}$ pa+ ${ }^{\prime}$ a-).
${ }^{1} / a-y /=[\nsim]$ only where morpheme boundary intervenes between $/ a /$ and $/ y /$ -jkwa-y [刀kwæ] 'will eat', əlwa-y [ə|wæ] 'father's father', əla-y [əlæ] 'mother's younger brother'.

Medial ${ }^{*} N S$ after short ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ lost the nasal segment if ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ was nasal; otherwise, *NS remained as clusters (see: * jampul, *kampiy,
 *Cu•nku, *punku).

In the apical cluster ${ }^{*} n t$, the stop segment is doubly reflected by $/ t /$ and $/ t^{r} /$ (compare ${ }^{*}$ pinta and *wanta). The stop segment in the velar cluster ${ }^{*} \mathrm{nk}$ is also doubly reflected, by $/+^{Y}$ and by $/ \mathrm{k} /$ (compare ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{\text {unku- }} \sim n^{\prime}$ inku- and *punku).

## Nasals

Intervocalic ${ }^{*} n^{y}$ and ${ }^{*} \eta$ are each doubly reflected: ${ }^{*} n^{y}>/ n y /$ after
 ${ }^{*} \eta>/ n^{Y} /$ and $/ n /\left(\right.$ compare ${ }^{*} n y$ y nu-~ $n^{Y} \mathrm{i}$ nu- and ${ }^{*}$ puna). The fronting of velar ${ }^{*} \eta$ and of ${ }^{*} k$ in ${ }^{*} \eta k$ (see above), although not amply documented, is certainly regularly conditioned, since the environments are identical In the two cases; it cannot yet be determined, however, exactly what the conditioning element is, since both laminal consonants and short ${ }^{*} i$ are known to have fronting effects in Northern Paman phonology, and both occur in the stems involved here.

The remaining nasals are singly reflected: *m >/m/ (see: *pama, *kami), and ${ }^{*} n>/ n /($ see: *kuna, *pana, *kulan).

## Liquids

Intervocalic and final *| > /I/ (see: *nali, *ka•la, *gampul, *pankul). In clusters, *I was lost (see: *kalma-, *kul 刀kul i kulokun; see also *kalmpaR in which *imp >/mpw/).

Medial ${ }^{*} r$ is not attested for Ngkot; final ${ }^{*} r>/ \gamma /$ in a single putative cognate (see: ${ }^{*}$ na•mur).

## Glides

Medial ${ }^{*} y>/ n Y+Y /$ after ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ in which ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ was a nasal (see: ${ }^{*}$ nayu, *may.i); otherwise ${ }^{*} y>/ y /$ medially and finally (see: *wi•ya, *pakay). Medial ${ }^{*} w>/ w /$ (see: *ka'way).

## Vowels

Initial * ${ }^{\text {y }}$, and presumably other laminals as well, had the effect of fronting central or back vowels which immediately followed. The vowels fronted in this manner subsequently metathesised with ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ in the same way that short ${ }^{*} i$ did (see: ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {alan }}{ }^{*}+Y_{\text {ampa }},{ }^{*}+Y_{\text {uma }}$; see also ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {ankar- }}$, a counterexample to this).

Short ${ }^{*} i$ and ${ }^{*} u$ metathesised with ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ as in Yinwum and Awntim (see: *+yipa, *yinta-, *pinta, *nyupul ~ nYipul, *kuta(ka), *kulan,

and ${ }^{*} n^{Y}$ unu- $\sim n^{y}$ inu- in which final ${ }^{*} u$ is completely replaced by $/ i /$ metathesised from the initial syllable).

Short *a also metathesised, as in Awntim, but only if *V $V_{2}$ was *i
 the fronting effect of the initial laminal inhibited metathesis, and see *mayi which also fails to show metathesis).

Short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ which did not metathesise was lost (see: ${ }^{*} n^{\prime} y_{i p i(-m a)}$, *puinku, *pama).

In ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$, Paman ${ }_{u}>/ \mathrm{l}$ o/. The conditions for this dual reflection are only partially documented $-/ \mathrm{l} /$ appears where ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was short ${ }^{*} u$, and /o/ appears where ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was ${ }^{*}$ a (compare ${ }^{*} k u l \eta k u l \sim k u l \eta k u n$ and ${ }^{*}$ pankul, now minimally distinct in Ngkot). Modern /u/ also appears where ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was *i• (see: ${ }^{*} p i \cdot m u R$ ), and /o/ appears after *u• (see: *Cu•nku).

Paman *i >/y/ after /a/ metathesised from ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ nali); otherwise, ${ }^{*} i>/ i /$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ (see: $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{ipi}(-\mathrm{ma}),{ }^{*} \mathrm{mini}\right)$.

Paman ${ }^{*}$ a remained as $/ a /$ in ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ (see: ${ }^{*} k u n a,{ }^{*}+Y_{\text {alan }}$ ).
Long vowels were shortened as follows: $*_{i} \cdot>/ i /,{ }^{*} u^{*}>/ 0 /$, ${ }^{*} a \cdot>/ a /$ (see: ${ }^{*} w i \cdot y a,{ }^{*} C u \cdot \eta k u,{ }^{*} w a \cdot n Y a$ ). As in Yinwum (see above), ${ }^{*} i \cdot$ and ${ }^{*} \cdot$. sometimes have discontinuous reflexes flanking ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}-{ }^{*} \mathrm{i} \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2}>$ /iC2y/ (see: $\left.{ }^{*} p i \cdot p a\right)$, and ${ }^{*} u \cdot C_{2}>/ C_{2} w /\left(\right.$ see: $\left.{ }^{*} p u \cdot l a\right)$.
 n ny $\quad$; $1 \vec{r}$; w R y; i ue ö o æ al.

Glottal stop appears only in borrowings - for example, /?alan/ 'fingernail', from Awntim where the form is analysable as /ha/ 'hand' < *maRa plus /Ian/ 'tongue, extension' < ${ }^{*}+\mathrm{Y}_{\text {alan; }}$ compare Ngkot $/+{ }^{\Gamma}$ a/ 'hand' < *maRa in which the expected apical reflex of Paman ${ }^{*} R$ occurs. The voiced fricatives $/ \beta x /$ are also restricted to borrowings as are medial occurrences of $/ \gamma /$. Final $/ \gamma /$ reflects final ${ }^{*} r$ and also appears in at least one suffix which is not normally borrowed: - $\gamma$ 'ergative' (Paman *-nku), combining with nouns to mark the subject of a transitive verb.

Occurrences of $/ r /$ and $/ R /$ cannot be accounted for in terms of regular development from Paman; similarly, the vowels /o/ and /x/ appear only rarely, and not at all in morphemes which can be reconstructed for Paman generally. The mid front vowel /e/ appears as the metathesised reflex of *a in *waRi-; other occurrences of /e/ are in stems confined to Ngkot and, therefore, not reconstructed.

## Aritingitir

Aritingitir agrees with Awntim in its reflection of clusters of nasal plus stop - these were lenited after long ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ but retained as clusters after all short ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$. And it agrees with Yinwum and Ngkot in showing non-lenited reflexes of intervocalic stops after long *V'…

At least one dialect of Aritingitir has been strongly influenced by Lingitir and has borrowed heavily therefrom.

Phonological developments are: (1) lenition of *NS after long ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (2) metathesis of certain ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (3) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ and certain short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, and (4) reduction of long vowels.
tervocalic ${ }^{*} / \mathrm{pk} />/ \mathrm{pk} /$; intervocalic ${ }^{*}+Y>/ \dagger^{Y} /$ when adjacent to (1).), and /t/ otherwise (see: ${ }^{*} n^{y}$ upul $\sim n^{y}$ ipul, ${ }^{*}+y_{i p a}{ }^{*}$ wi pa, ${ }^{*}{ }_{n a+}{ }^{Y} i$, abt'Ya-, *wa• ${ }^{*} Y_{a}$, *pakay, *yuku). Intervocalic *+ is not attested for TItinnitir.
 (u•nku); otherwise, they remained as clusters (see: *kampiy, *nampul, vanta-, *punku).

The velar cluster in ${ }_{n} y^{y}$ unku- $\sim n^{y}$ inku- was fronted to laminoveolar as in Ngkot (see above).

Nasals
itervocalic ${ }^{*} n^{y}>/ n^{y} /$ when adjacent to ${ }^{*} i$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ min $y_{a}$, ${ }^{*} n_{n a n} y_{i}$ );
. © otherwise, ${ }_{n} y>/ n /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{*}$ wa $\left.\cdot{ }_{n} y_{a}\right)$. The velar nasal in $*_{n} y_{\text {unum }} \sim n_{n} y_{\text {inu- }}$ was fronted to lamino-alveolar as in Ngkot (see above); otherwise, where attested, intervocalically ${ }^{*} \eta>/ n /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{*}$ puna).

Intervocalic*/mn/ >/mn/(see: *pama, *pi•muR, *na•ni). Final ${ }^{*} n>/ n /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{*}$ kuman, $\left.{ }^{*}+Y_{\text {alan }}\right)$.

Liquids

Intervocalic and final *| > /I/ (see: *ka•la, *ka•|u, *nampul, *pankul). The cluster ${ }^{*} \mid p$ remained (see: $\left.{ }^{*}+Y_{a} \cdot \mid p a\right)$; ${ }^{*}|m p>/| w /$ (see: *kalmpaR), and in the clusters ${ }^{*} \mid k$ and ${ }^{*}\left|m,{ }^{*}\right|>/ i /(s e e: ~ * k a l k a, ~ * k a \cdot \mid k a-$, *kalma-).

Paman ${ }^{*} r$ is not attested in Aritinnitir. Reflexes of Paman ${ }^{*}{ }^{n} Y_{u r a}$ ~ ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ ira, ${ }^{*}$ na-mur, and ${ }^{*}$ Cakur occur in the vocabulary of at least one idiolect but are recognised as borrowings and are used in competition with native Aritingitir forms.

Glides

Paman ${ }^{*} w$ and ${ }^{*} y$ remained as such medially (see: *ka•way, *mayi, *nayu), but in at least one exceptional case medial ${ }^{*} y>/ R /$ (see: *wi*ya). Final *y >/R/ (see: *pakay, *kampiy).

$$
\text { *R > / } \dagger / \text { (see: *maRa, *wa•Ri, *waRi-). }
$$

Vowels

Short ${ }^{*} u$ in ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ metathesised with ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ if ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ was ${ }^{*}$ a or ${ }^{*} u$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ puna, ${ }^{*}$ pula, $\left.{ }^{*} k u m a n,{ }^{*} k u l a n,{ }^{*} p u n k u,{ }^{*} y u k u\right)$. Metathesis of short ${ }^{*} i$ and ${ }^{*}$ a was also recorded - the former only where ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ was ${ }^{*} \mathrm{nt}$, and the latter only where ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ was bilabial (see: ${ }^{*}{ }^{\prime} Y_{\text {untu }} \sim{ }_{n} Y_{i n t u}$, ${ }^{*} y i n t a-$, ${ }^{*} p a p i$, *kami).

Short ${ }^{*}$ a remained in ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ if ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ was ${ }^{*} y$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ mayi, ${ }^{*}$ nayu); otherwise, and where not metathesised, *a was lost in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{1}$ (see: *pama,
${ }^{*} p a \dagger^{Y}$ a-). Short ${ }^{*} i$ and ${ }^{*} u$ were also lost in ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ if not metathesised (see: $*_{m i n i}{ }^{*}+y_{u l i}$ ).

In ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2},{ }^{*} \mathrm{i}>/ \mathrm{y} /$ after /a/ metathesised from ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV} V_{1}$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ papi); otherwise, ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}>/ \mathrm{i} /$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV} V_{2}$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ nali, ${ }^{*}$ nat $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ). Paman ${ }^{*} \mathrm{u}$ in ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$ remained as /u/ (see: ${ }^{*}$ nat $\left.Y_{u-}{ }^{*} k a \cdot \mid u\right)$. And Paman ${ }^{*}$ a $>/ \dot{x} /$ (see: *yi•par) and /a/ (see: *wi•pa). The conditions for this dual reflection of ${ }^{*}$ a are not known; $/ æ /$, as a reflex of ${ }^{*}$ a, is attested only once, though the vowel is frequent in modern Aritinnitir vocabulary.

Long *V• ${ }_{1}$ became short (see: *nyi•na-, *pi•muR, *pu•la, *Cu•nku, ${ }^{*}$ wa•nYa, ${ }^{*}$ wa• $\left.+Y_{u}\right)$.

Aritingitir consonants and vowels are: /p $t t^{r} k ; \beta$ ð $\gamma ; m n$ $n n^{y} n$; 1 r; wRy; i u t a a/.

The occurrence of /æ/ in /ipæ-ntuw/ 'south', from *yi•par, is unexpected. The vowel also appears in /iRwa/ 'you pl.', from *ny ura ~ ${ }_{n}{ }^{y}$ ira; this form is recognised as a borrowing and is used in competition with native/pul/ 'you non-sg.' from ${ }_{n} \bigvee_{\text {upul }} \sim n^{Y}$ ipul.

The vowel /t/ appears in /|t/ 'he', probably a borrowing from Lirgitiy /lu/ (phonetically [lu]). The expected Aritingitiץ reflex of the Paman 3rd sg. pronoun ${ }^{*} \mathrm{nyulu}_{\sim} \sim \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{illu}}$ is /lu/ or /lyu/.

The borrowing of pronouns, rare in languages of the world, is well documented in Aritingitiy where borrowed pronouns are often used interchangeably with native ones. Another pronoun introduced through contact with Linnitir is /lawul/ 'they du.' (compare Linnitiy / lawuy/) used beside native /|wa|/. Both /lawul/ and /|wa|/ contain Paman *pula, but only /Iwal/ shows the expected metathesis of short ${ }^{*} u$ from the initial syllable.

Mbiywom

The treatment of Mbiywom is highly tentative due to the brevity of the data available for it. More often than in the preceding sections, multiple reflection of Paman phonemes is presented with no attempt to state conditions.

Despite limitations in the data, it is possible to show that reflection of Paman phonology is much the same in Mbiywom as in other Northern Paman languages. Major phonological developments are: (1) lenition of ${ }^{*} N S$ after long ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (2) loss of ${ }^{*} C_{1}$ and certain ${ }^{*} V_{1}$, (3) metathesis of certain short ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ from the initial syllable, and (4) reduction of long vowels.

The data indicate that Mbiywom, unlike other Northern Paman languages, has voiced stops in contrast both with voiceless stops and with voiced fricatives. Although the development of this contrast cannot, as yet, be detailed, at least one Paman source of Mbiywom voiced stops has suggested itself, namely, Paman glides - compare /idya-/ 'another', from *wi'ya; and /i+Ya-/ 'to put, apply' from ${ }^{*} \mathrm{gi} \cdot \mathrm{t} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}$. However, Paman glides also have glide reflexes (see: *mayi, *nayu, *ka'way).

Wrvocalic ${ }^{*} / p+k /$ remained as $/ p+k / ;^{*}+y>/+^{y} /$ when adjacent to ), and /t/ otherwise (see: *papi, * $+y_{i p a,}{ }^{*} k u t a(k a)$, ${ }^{*} k u \cdot+y i(-m a)$,


Medial ${ }^{* N S}$ remained as clusters after short ${ }^{*}$ CV $V_{1}$ (see: *kampiy, anta-, *kuny+Ya-, pankul; see also *nYunku- ~ nyinku- in which *nk tronted to lamino-alveolar as in Ngkot and Aritingitir). As usual Northern Paman, stops following nasals are phonetically voiced. In fer Northern Paman languages, these voiced phones are assigned to same phonemic units as the corresponding voiceless phones, since two kinds of stops are in complementary distribution. In Mbiywom, ever, since voiced and voiceless stops are in contrast initially Intervocalically, the voiced phones appearing after nasals are Jitten as such in the transcription used here. Our transcription, frefore, is influenced by a consideration of phonetic similarity.
4. After long ${ }^{*} V^{\cdot}{ }_{1}$, clusters of nasal plus stop were lenited (see:


WTervocalic */mnny/>/mnn/ (see: *pama, ${ }^{*} n^{y} y^{\prime} \cdot n a-$, *wa•nya). Final
$n^{n}>/ n /$ (*kulan). Modern/ny/ from Paman ${ }^{*} n^{\prime} y$ is attested only in
lusters (see: *pan $y_{+} y_{i-}$, *kuny+ya-), although it is likely that
aminal ${ }^{*}{ }^{\prime} Y$ split in the same way that laminal ${ }^{*}+y$ did and that /ny/
feflects intervocalic ${ }^{*}$ ny where the latter was adjacent to ${ }^{*}$.
Intervocalic ${ }^{*} \eta$ is attested only in ${ }^{*} n_{\text {y }}$ unu- $\sim n y$ inu- where it is ronted to $/ n y /$ as it is also in Ngkot and Aritingitiy.

## quids

ntervocalic and final ${ }^{*} \mid>/ 1 /$ (see: ${ }^{*}$ nali, ${ }^{*} k a \cdot \mid a$, ${ }^{*}$ nampul). In the lusters ${ }^{*} \mid k$ and ${ }^{*} \mid m$ following short ${ }^{*} a$, ${ }^{*} \mid>/ i l /$. (see: *kalma-, kalka); in ${ }^{*} \mid k$ following long *a•, *| >/I/ (see: *ka•|ka-). The lusters *imp and *Ink >/mpw/ and/nkw/ respectively (see: *kalmpar, kulgkul ~ kulgkun).

Medial ${ }^{*} r>/ y /\left(\right.$ see: $\left.{ }^{*} y_{i} y_{i} \cdot r i\right) . ~ F i n a l$${ }^{*} r$ is not attested for

In ${ }^{*} \mathrm{CV}_{2}$, vowels are doubly or even multiply reflected. Paman ${ }^{*} \mathrm{i}>/ \mathrm{i}$ e/ (see: *mayi, *kampiy, *kami; for similar reflection of *i, see Yinwum above). Paman ${ }_{u}>/ \mathrm{u}$ o/ (see: ${ }^{*}$ nampul, ${ }^{*}$ pankul). And Paman *a > /a/ (see: *pama, *nana, *nyi•na-), /e/ (see: *+yipa), /i/ (see: * Yalan, and for similar reflection, see Yinwum above), and /o/ (where ${ }^{*} V_{1}$ was ${ }^{*} u$; see: *kulan, *kuman, *wuna-, *kun ${ }^{\text {F }}$ Ya-, *kuta(ka)).

Short ${ }^{*} u$ metathesised with ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ if ${ }^{*} V_{2}$ was ${ }^{*}$ a or ${ }^{*} u$ (see reconstructions cited immediately above, and ${ }^{*}$ punku, *mukur). In at least one instance, short *i also metathesised (see: ${ }_{n} Y_{\text {Yupul }} \sim n^{Y}$ ipul); otherwise, where attested, short ${ }^{*} ;$ was lost from ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ (see: ${ }^{*}+Y_{i p a,}$ *mini).

Short ${ }^{*}$ a in ${ }^{*} C V_{1}$ remained if ${ }^{*} C_{2}$ was ${ }^{*} y$; otherwise, it was lost (see: *mayi, *pat ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ a-).

Long vowels became short: ${ }^{*}{ }^{\bullet}>/ i /$ (see: $\left.{ }^{*} w i \cdot y a,{ }^{*} p i \cdot m u R\right)$, *u*> /o/ (see: *pu•la, $\left.{ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu} \cdot n k u\right)$, and ${ }^{*}$ a. $>/ a /\left(\right.$ see: ${ }^{*} w a \cdot+Y_{u},{ }^{*}$ wa•nYa).

Mbiywom consonants and vowels are: $/ p \pm++Y \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{b} \underset{\sim}{d} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~d}^{Y} \mathrm{~g} ; \beta$ б $\gamma$; $m \quad n \cap n^{Y} \eta ; \quad 1 r ; w R y ; i u ̈ u$ eo a/.

Intervocalic $/ d^{y} /$ is attested in one Paman stem; the other voiced stops do not occur intervocalically in stems so far reconstructed for Paman, although they do occur in clusters which are reconstructed. Modern /R/ and /ï/ are attested only in morphemes confined to Northern Paman or, more narrowly, to Mbiywom itself.

## 2. ATTESTATION IN STEMS

In the presentation of Northern Paman cognates, the following abbreviations are used for language names: Ura (Urað̀i), Mpa (Mpalityan), Lu (Lutir), Yin (Yinwum), Lin (Linnitir), Al (Al口it), Awn (Awntim), Ntr (Ntra?nit), Ngko (Ngkot), Ari (Aritingitir), Mbi (Mbiywom).

## Stems

Stems which are normally dependent everywhere in Paman, as verbs and oblique forms of pronouns, are reconstructed with a hyphen following them, and their reflexes are likewise written with a hyphen. Stems which are not normally dependent are written without a hyphen. However, many stems, although not dependent outside of Northern Paman, are so in languages within the group. Kinship terms and non-oblique forms of certain pronouns, for example, are often dependent in Northern Paman, and their reflexes in these languages are accordingly written with a hyphen even though no hyphen appears in the reconstruction, since outside of tho group, the same stems occur as independent.

Some reconstructions are written with phonemic material in parentheses, for example, *kuta(ka), *muku(R). Where this is done, modern languages differ with respect to the reflection of the enclosed

Whterial - some reflect it positively, while others give no indication Its former presence. This is, then, a shorthand convention for Itternation which presumably existed in the parent language; thus, muku(R) represents *mukuR ~ *muku. This longer convention is also used, but only where the shorter one is inappropriate - for example,
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And in some instances, phonemic material is enclosed in parentheses in a modern reflex but not in the reconstruction. This is done where a modern form contains additive material unique to the particular language and, therefore, not reconstructable - for example, *+YankaR- > Mpa nkar(ik)-, Yin nkit(aw)-, Ngko nkat(aŋ)-.

```
*kali-> Lin li-; Al lay-; Ntr li-; Ngko lay- 'to go'
*kalka > Mpa aka; Yin, Ngko, Ari ika; Mbi ilka 'spear'
*kalma- > Mpa ma-; Yin ima-; Ngko ma-; Ari ima-; Mbi ilma-
    'to arrive'
*kalmpaR > Mpa mpar; Lu mpa?; L!n, Al, Awn, Ntr mpwa?; Ngko
                            mpwat; Ari Iwat; Mbi mbwat 'flesh'
*kampiy > Mpa ampi; Lu mpi; Yin mpeR; Lin mpiR; Al, Awn mpayR;
                        Ntr mpiR; Ngko mpay; Ari mpayR ~ mpiR; Mbi mbe 'up'.
*kami > Ura ami; Mpa, Lu mi-; Yin me-; Lin mi-; Al, Awn may-;
                        Ntr mi-; Ngko, Ari may-; Mbi me- 'mother's mother'
**katYa > Ura yata 'rotten'
*katYa- > Lin ta- 'to tie'
*ka*la > Ura ala; Lin, Al ala-; Awn əla-; Ntr, Ngko, Ari ala-;
        Mbi ala 'mother's younger brother'
*ka\cdotlka- > Ura al\gammaa- 'to fall, die'; Yin aki-; Ari ika-; Mbi
        alka-'to fazl'
*ka\cdot|u > Ari alu 'ear'
*ka\cdot+Ya > Lin, Al aða ~ aðo-; Awn əða- 'mother'
*ka`way > Ura away; Mpa, Lu awæ; Yin, Lin, Al, Ntr awaR; Awn
        aR; Ngko away; Ari awa-ntuw; Mbi awa-m 'east'
*kulan > Ura ulan; Mpa, Lu, Awn, Ngko, Ari Iwan; Mbi Iwon
        'possum'
*kulgkul ~ kulgkun > Ura, Mpa, Lu unkun; Yin \etakwun; Lin 刀kon;
        Al, Awn ŋkwun; Ntr Dkon; Ngko Dkul; Mbi ngwun
        'heavy'
*kuman > Ari mwan; Mbi mwon (~ mwun ?) 'thigh'
**umpu > Yin, Lin mpu; Ari mpwu 'urine'
*kuna > Yin, Ngko nwa 'excrement'
* kunY+Ya- > Mbi nYdY wo- 'to drink'
*kunka(r) > Ura unki-ðu; Mpa \etakwa-ðu; Lu \etakwa-ð; Yin \etakwa-t;
    Al Økwa-ð; Lin ŋka-ð; Awg ŋkwa-t; N+r 0ka-t;
        Ngko nkwi-tu; Ari nkwa-ntuw; Mbi ngwi-m 'north'.
        Also in Lin, 0kaR-il 'from the north'
*kupan > Lin pan (normally in compound with /ka/ 'mouth',
        'extremity'; thus(/ka-pan/); Al, Ngko pwan
        'short'
```

＊kuta（ka）＞Ura utaya；Mpa twa；Lu u？a；Yin twa；Awn ？wa； Ntr u？a；Ngko twa；Mbi two＇dog＇
＊kutini＞Mpa utin＇emu＇．Awn twin＇emu＇is certainly related to this stem but is probably borrowed； the expected reflex of intervocalic ${ }^{*}+$ in Awn is／？／）
＊ku•＋yi（－ma）＞Ura uð̌y－ama；Mpa，Lu uời－m；Yin u†yi－m；Lin oठi－さir；Al oठi－さ；Awn əðwi－さ；Mbi ofyi－m＇two＇
＊manu＞Ura manu＇throat＇
＊maRa＞Ura mata；Mpa ața；Lu a？a；Yin ${ }^{n_{+}} r_{a}$ ；Lin a？a；Al， Awn ？a；Ntr a？a；Ngko tra；Ari，Mbi ta＇hand＇
＊mayi＞Ura mayi；Mpa，Lu，Yin，Lin，Al ayi；Awn ay；Ntr ayi； Ngko ny＋yi；Ari，Mbi ayi＇vegetable food＇
＊mini＞Yin ne（the vowel correspondence here is irregular）； Ari ni＇good＇．This stem also appears in Lin nin－na－；Ngko ni－na－；Mbi ni－koko－＇to fix， make good＇
＊minya＞Ura mina；Mpa ina；Lu na；Yin，Lin，Al nYa；Awn nya； $N+r$ ，Ngko，Ari nya＇meat，animal＇

＊muku（R）＞Mpa ku－；Yin ${ }^{n}$ kur；Lin，Al ko－；Awn ku－；Ntr ko－； Ngko kut（～kot ？）；Ari ku－；Mbi kwur－＇mother＇s older brother＇
＊munka－＞Mpa，Lu kwa－；Awn nkwa－；Ntr nka－＇to eat，drink＇
${ }^{*} m u^{\prime} n^{y} Y_{i-}>M p a u^{n}+i-;$ Lin oði－（also oðimti－）；Ngko otay－； Ari uyi－；Mbi on $y_{d} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}$－＇to swim，bathe＇
＊nYaŋka＞Ura nanka；Mpa anka；Lu aka；Lin，Al ka；Awn Ntr nka＇mouth＇
 Ntr ，Ngko $+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$－＇to see＇
 Al，Awn，Ntr pi－m；Ngko pi－mam＇one＇
$*_{n} Y_{i} \cdot n a-\sim n Y_{i n a-}$－Ura，Mpa，Lu，Yin，Lin，Al ina－；Awn əne－； Ntr ina－；Ngko nya－；Ari，Mbi ina－＇to sit＇
${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{i} \cdot r i>(Y a r a i k a n a ~ i r i) ; ~ Y i n ~ i y i ; ~ L i n, ~ A l ~ i R i ; ~ A w n ~ ə R i ; ~$ Ntr，Mbi，iRi＇nose＇
＊ny ${ }^{\prime}$ kal＞Ura nukaw；Lu kway；Lin kay；Awn kwe；Ntr ke＇foot＇
${ }^{*} n^{Y}$ ulu $\sim n^{Y}{ }_{i} \mid u>$ Ura ulu（－Ba）；Mpa ilu～Iu；Lu lu；Yin lyu； Lin，Al，Awn，Ntr lu；Ngko li；Ari lu；Mbi li＇he＇
${ }^{*}{ }_{n}{ }^{\prime}$ untu $\sim n^{\prime} Y_{i n t u}>$ Ura antu（－Ba）（initial／a／is unexpected here；Yaraikana has／untu（－Ba）／；Mpa，Lu tu；Yin
 ntyu；Mbi ndwi－n＇you sg＇
 Mpa，Lu ku－；Yin ${ }^{n}+Y_{u-}$ ；Lin，Al ko－；Awn nkyu－； $N \operatorname{tr}$ nko－（？）；Ngko $+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}-\text { ；}}$ Ari $n \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ ； $\mathrm{Mbi}_{n} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ ＇2nd $s g$ ．oblique＇
*n $_{n} Y_{\text {unu- }}$ ~ $n$ Yinu- $>$ Ura unu-; Mpa inu-; Yin nyu-; Lin no-; Al, Awr gyu-; Ntr no-; Ngko nyu-~nYi-; Ari, Mbi $n^{y} y_{u-}$ '3rd sg. oblique'
${ }^{*} n^{Y}$ upul $\sim n^{Y}$ ipul >Ura ipul-a; Mpa ipul; Lu ipuy; Yin npyul 'you non-sg.'; Lin poy; Al pyuy; Awn piy; Ntr poy 'you du.'; Ngko pyul; Ari pul; Mbi pyul 'you non-sg.'

${ }^{*} У_{u} \cdot n k a->$ Ura ura-; Mpa $u^{n}$ ka-; Lu uka-; Yin ${ }^{n_{k w e-; ~}}$ Lin, Al oya- 'to smeZ2'. Ari iwa-, and Mbi iny ${ }_{d} y_{\text {wa- }}$ 'to smell' may also be cognate
*gali > Ura ali(-Ba); Mpa li-; Yin le-; Lin $1 i-;$ Al, Awn lay-; Ntr li-; Ngko lay-; Ari li-; Mbi le- 'we du. incl.'
*gami- > Ura ami-; Mpa, Lu mi-; Al, Awn may-; Ntr mi- 'to hear' * $_{\text {nampu }}>$ Ura nampu; Mpa anpu; Lu apu; Awn mpaw 'tooth' * gampul > Ura ampul-a; Lin, Al puy; Awn, Ntr mpuy; Ngko pol; Ari mpul; Mbi mbul 'we pl. incl.'

* gana > Ura ana(-Ba); Mpa, Lu na-; Yin, Lin, Al na- 'we non-sg. excl.' Awn na- 'we $p l$ excl'; Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi na- 'we non-sg excl'
* gantu ~ wantu > Ura antu-; Mpa antu-; Lu țu-; Lin, Al $\dagger^{r_{o-}}$; Awn $n t^{r}$ aw-; $N+r n t^{r} \mathrm{O}^{-}$; Ngko to--; Ari nto-; Mbi ndo- 'where'
 Ntr 士i-; Ngko tay-; Ari, Mbi $+\mathrm{Y} \overline{\mathrm{i}}$ - 'mother's father'
 to-; Awn taw-; Ntr, Ngko to-; Ari tu-; Mbi to'1st sg. oblique'
* ja•mur > Lu amu; Lin amor; Al mawץ; Awn əmawץ; Ntr, Ngko amor 'armpit'
*ganyi > Ura ani(-ßa); Mpa, Lu ni-; Yin nYi; Lin ni-; Al, Awn nay-; Ntr ni-; Ngko nay-; Ari nyi ${ }^{1} 1 s t$ sg. direct obj.'
*nayu > Ura ayu(-Ba); Mpa, Lu, Yin ayu-; Lin, Al ayo-; Awn aw-; Ntr ayo-; Ngko nY+Yo-; Ari ayu-; Mbi ayo- 'I'
*na•ni > Ura, Mpa, Lu, Yin, Lin, Al ani; Awn ənay; Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi ani 'what'
${ }^{*}{ }_{n a} \cdot+^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{i}->$ Ura aði- 'to Zay an egg, give birth'
${ }^{*} \mathrm{i} i \cdot+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}$ > Yin $\mathrm{i}^{n+Y_{a-}}$ 'to put on, apply; Mbi $\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}$ 'to put'
* jula > Mpa, Lu, Yin, Ari lwa 'bye-and-bye'. Mbi IwinYdy 'bye-and-bye' may also contain *gula.
*nu ku(-na) > Lu ku; Lin, Al ko(-n) 'there, that'
*pakay > Mpa akæ; Lu kæ; Yin, Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr kaR; Ngko kay; Ari kaR, ka-ntuw; Mbi ka 'down'
*pama > Ura ama; Mpa ama ~ ma; Lu, Yin, Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi ma 'person'
*pan ${ }^{y}+y_{i-}$ > Ura wanti-; Mpa, Lin ny+yi-; Ngko ntay- (also reduplicated/ntaynt/); Mbi nYdyi(-Ri)- 'to burn, to be burning'
*pana.. > Ura ana- ~ anə-; Lu, Aw刀 na-; Ntr ana- (?) 'to dig'
*pankul > Mpa jkul; Lu nkuy; Yin gkwul (/w/ is unexpected here); Lin, Al Dkoy; Awn Dkawy ~ ŋkuy; Ntr Dkuy; Ngko nkol; Ari nkul; Mbi ngol 'wallaby'
*papi > Ura api; Mpa, Lu pi-; Yin pe-; Lin pi-; Al, Awn pay-; Ntr pi-; Ngko, Ari pay-; Mbi pe- 'mother's father'
*patYa- > Ura waṭa-; Mpa, Lu, Yin, Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr, Ngko, Ar $\overline{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Mbi}$ ta- 'to bite'
*pa•nkal > Ura ayaw; Mpa ankal; Lu ankay 'shoulder'
*pa•+ $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}$ > Yin ata- 'to eat, taste'
*pinta > Ura winta; Mpa, Lu ința Lin, Al ntr ${ }^{r} æ$; Awn ntrya; $\mathrm{Ntr} n \dagger^{r}$; Ngko ntya 'arm, upper arm'
*pipi > Ura, Mpa, Lu ipi; Awn pi 'water'
*pi'ku > Ura wi ${ }^{\prime}$ u; Mpa, Lu iүu 'rib'
*pi•mu(R) > Ura imur-; Mpa, Lu, Yin imu-; Lin imo-; Al myu-; Awn əmyu-; Ntr imo-; Ngko imyut; Ari imu-; Mbi imor- 'father's sister'
*pi•nYa• Yin inYa-; Lin, Ngko ina- 'father's older sibling'
*pi'pa > ißa-ða 'father'; Lin ißa-y 'father's younger brother'; Ngko ipya-y 'father'
*pula > Ura ula(-Ba); Mpa, Lu Iwa-; Yin Iwi- (/i/ is unexpected here); Lin lawuy; Al Iwa; Awn Iwe-; Ntr la-; Ngko, Ari, Mbi lwa- 'they du.'
*puna > Ura wuna; Lin na; Al ona; Ntr na; Awn, Ngko, Ari Jwa 'sun'
*punku > Ura wunku; Mpa unku; Lu ŋku; Lin, AI Dko; Awn Dku; Ntr nko; Ngko nku; Ari nkwu; Mbi ngwu 'knee'
*pu•la > Ura wula; Mpa, Lu ula-; Yin ulwa-; Lin, Al ola-; Awn əlwa-; Ntr ola-; Ngko olwa-; Ari ula-; Mbi olwo 'father's father'
*Runka- > Ura Runka-; Yin nkwa-; Ari nkwa(la)-; Mbi nku(la)'to cry'
${ }^{*}+$ Y alan $>$ Ura Ialan; Lu alan ~ lan 'tongue'; Yin lin 'mouth'; Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr lan 'tongue'; Ngko Iyan 'mouth, tongue'; Ari lan 'tongue'; Mbi lin (also /lyin/ ?) 'mouth'
*     + Yampa- > Yin mpi- 'to give' (also reduplicated, /mpyampi-/ 'to throw'); Ngko mpya-; Ari mpa- 'to give, throw'
${ }^{*}+Y$ ana $>$ Ari nya 'they $p z . '$
*+YankaR- > Ura ankar(i)- 'to Zaugh'; Mpa nkar(ik)-; Lu刀ka?(ik)-; Yin nkit(aw)-; Lin, Al, Awn 刀ka?(ak)-; Ngko okat(aŋ)- 'Zaughter'
*+ $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{aRu}}>$ Mpa aţu; Yin tyu; Ngko rro; Ari tyu; Mbi twi (?) $^{\text {r }}$ 'foot'
*+Ya.lpa > Ura alBa; Mpa aßa; Ari alpa 'wind'
* $+Y_{a} \cdot R a>Y i n$ at ${ }^{r}$ a in the compound /lin-atra/ 'tongue' from * + Yalan plus ${ }^{*}+Y_{a} \cdot R a$.
*+yipa > Ura lipa; Mpa ipa; Yin pya 'Ziver'; Ngko pya 'stomach'; Ari pa; Mbi pe 'Ziver'
${ }^{*}+Y_{u l i}>\operatorname{Ari} 1 i \quad$ 'spearthrower'
*+Yulpi > Uri lutpi 'stomach'
*+Yuma > Ura uma; Lin, Al mæ; Awn mwa; Ngko mya 'fire'. Ntr mwa 'fire' is probably borrowed from Awn.
*+Yunku > Mpa unku; Lu nku; Yin jke; Lin jko(ðRo) 'black'
*wanta- > Ura anta- ~ anta-; Mpa, Lu nta-; Yin, Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr, Ngko ntra-; Ari nta-; Mbi nda- 'to put, leave it'
*waRi > Mpa $\dagger i-$ (also reduplicated /atiti-/); Yin te-; Lin i?i-; Al ?ay-; Ngko +rey-;'Ari ti-~ iti-; Mbi +i- 'to dig'
*wa•nya > Yin, Lin, Al ana; Awn əna; Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi ana 'heart'
*wa•Ri > Ura, Mpa ari-; Lu ?i-; Yin ate-; Lin a?i-; Al, Awn ?ay-; Ntr a?i-; Ngko, Ari ati-; Mbi ati 'who'
${ }^{*} w a \cdot+Y_{a}>$ Ura waða; Mpa aða, Yin ața; Lin, Al aða; Ngko, Ari ata 'crow'
*wa•+Yu > (Yaraikana/waðu/); Yin, Ari, Mbi atu 'armpit'
*wi•pa > Ari ipa 'shade'

'another'
$*_{w u}\left(-+Y_{i},-m a\right)>\operatorname{ura} u( - \pm i,-m a) ' t o ~ g i v e '$
*wuna- > Ura una-; Yin nwa-; Ntr na-; Mbi nwo-, nwona- 'to be lying down'
*wuntu- > Lin, Ngko $n+r_{0-}$ 'to seek, look for'. In Lin, this form is normally in the idiom /ini ntro-/'to seek'; /ini/ 'place'
*yana- > Ura ana-; Mpa nà (present tense; other tenses are suppletive'); Yin ni- (in future and present; other tenses are suppletive) 'to go'
*yapu-tyu > Yin pyu-y ~ pyu-ठ 'younger brother'
*ya• ${ }^{Y_{i-}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}$ > Awn əðay-; N+r aði- 'to burn'
*yinta- > Yin n†i-; Lin, Al $n \dagger^{r_{æ-}}$; Ngko, Ari ntya-; Mpi ndi'to spear with a muiti-pronged spear'


# ＊yi•pa（r）＞Ura ißi－ðu；Mpa ißa－ðu；Lu ißa－ð；Yin ipya－士； Lin，Al i Ba－ð；Awn əBe－さ；Ntr i Ba－士；Ngko ipi－さu；Ari ipæ－ntuw；Mbi ipi－m＇south＇．Also in Lin，iBaR－il＇from the south＇ 

＊yuku＞Ura yuku；Mpa，Lu uku；Yin ke；Awn ku；Ntr ko；Ari kwu＇tree＇．Ngko $+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$ ，and Mbi $+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}$＇tree＇may also be cognate．
＊yuru＞Ura yutu＇elbow＇
＊NimpulV＞Lu ipul；Awn mpyul（－pwa）＇short＇

＊Cakur＞Ura akuy；Mpa，Lu akuy；Al koy；Awn kawץ；N＋r kor； Ari kur＇skin＇
＊Ca•nY ${ }^{Y} Y$ a－＞Ura aða－＇to hurt，pain＇；Yin aða－；Ngko anta－； Ari，Mbi aða－＇to die＇
＊Ca•nY ${ }^{\prime} Y_{i m V}>$ Mpa，Lu antim；Lin aðim；Al aðaym；Awn əðaym（R）； Ntr aðim＇hungry＇
＊Cipal＞Mpa ipal；Lu ipay；Yin pyal；Lin，Al pæy－mam；Awn pe；Ntr pe－mam；Ngko pyal；Ari pal－mam；Mbi pel－mam＇near＇
${ }^{*} C i$ puy＞Lin ißoR；Al iByuR；Awn aßyuR；Ntr ißoR＇smoke＇
${ }^{*} \mathrm{Ci} \cdot{ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ula $>$ Ura iðula；Mbi it ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Ol}-\mathrm{m}$＇west＇
${ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{C}_{\text {U }} \mathrm{Y}_{\text {ma }}>\mathrm{Lin}$ tom＇dead＇
＊Cu•nkan ${ }^{Y} V$＞Mpa unkanu；Lu unkan；Yin urany；Lin，Al oyan； Awn əүwan；Ntr oyan；Ari uwan～uүan；Mbi oran ＇mountain＇．Ngko oyan＇mountain＇is probably borrowed；the expected Ngko cognate is＊onkan．
${ }^{*} \mathrm{Cu}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{nku}>\mathrm{Mpa}$ ，Lu unku－m＇Zong＇，unku－n＇far＇；Yin uwu－m＇Zong＇， uwu－1＇far＇；Lin，Al oyo－m＇Iang＇，oyo－1＇far＇； Awn əru－？uk＇Zong＇，əүu－n＇far＇；Ntr oyo－？ok ＇Zong＇，oro－n＇far＇；Ngko onko－m＇Zong＇，onko－l ＇far＇；Ari uyu－m＇Zong＇；Mbi oyo－m＇Zong＇，oyo－I ＇far＇

## 3．ATTESTATION IN SUFFIXES

Morphological information on Northern Paman languages is spotty，since in many cases sentences were elicited only in the course of collecting a test list and more for the purposes of elicting lexicon than for the purposes of assembling morphological data．However，enough sentences were obtained for all the languages to demonstrate that the grammatical apparatus－with categories marked principally by suffix－is essentially the same throughout Northern Paman．

Reconstructable suffixes－that is，suffixes having cognates out－ side Northern Paman as well as within the group－are listed below．
reconstructed morphs are simply listed, and each is followed by fand by language-name abbreviations to indicate its distribution Northern Paman. Second, reconstructions are relisted individutor each language and their modern reflexes are given. Where Ge, in the second listing, each suffix is exemplified in mation with a reconstructable stem and, less often, in a short Where sentences are given, they are presented first in the language, in diagonals. Following this, in parentheses, are constructions of stems and sequences of stem plus suffix included sentence. This is followed by an English translation. Where a which cannot be reconstructed for Paman generally appears in a nce, it is represented in the parenthetic citation by $V$, if a by $N$, if a noun, or by -suff, if a suffix. Although this is not mount to reconstructing sentences for Paman as a whole, and Wigh no serious attempt is made to do this, the fact that it is Wle to cite Northern Paman sentences, albeit banal ones, in which or all of the constituent morphemes are widely shared as cognate her Paman languages is strong evidence for the rather close Honship between Northern Paman and languages to the south.

Reconstructable suffixes are now listed in three groups: verb suffixes, (2) noun suffixes, and (3) pronoun suffixes. They glossed according to their supposed meaning in the parent language Individual modern languages, the meaning may be more restricted or Pe wide than the gloss. Suffixial morphs which stand in the relaWhip of alternants to one another are listed together only if they Send together in most of the modern languages - see for example the渞 suffix *-lu ~-yku 'ergative'; otherwise, they are listed yparately, as in the case of the verb suffixes ${ }^{*}-k a,{ }^{*}-1,{ }^{*}-\dagger_{\mathrm{a}}$, etc. Hture tense, imperative'. The relationship of alternation is septured in the second listing, where reconstructed morphs whose Qtlexes are submembers of a single morpheme in a given modern language grouped together with an alternation sign ( $\sim$ ) separating them.
suffixes
past tense: Mpa, Lu, Lin, Awn
future tense, imperative: Ari, Mbi.
future tense, imperative: Ura, Mpa, Lu.
future tense, imperative: universal in Northern Paman.
future tense: Ura.
past tense: universal in Northern Paman.
past tense: Ura, Mpa, Lu.
$-n y$ nominaliser: probably universal in Northern Paman, although attested only in Lin.
-oka present tense: Ari, Mbi.
${ }^{H}-+y_{\text {a }}$ future tense, imperative: Lin, Al, Awn, N+r, Ari.

*-ku purposive, indirect object: universal in Northern Paman.
*-la locative: Mpa.
*-lu ~ jku ergative (marking subject or instrument of a transitive verb): *-lu is attested universally in Northern Paman; *-ŋku is probably also universal, but it is not attested in Mpa, AI, Awn, Ntr, Mbi.
*-mu elative: Awn.
*-mun elative: Ura, Mpa.
*-muntu elative: Yin, Lin, Ntr.
*-na Zocative, allative: Lu, Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr.
*-namu possessive: combines with nouns and with pronouns; it is attested in combination with nouns in Ura, Yin, Lin, Ngko.
*-nku indirect object: Lin (also in combination with pronouns).
*-nu Zocative, allative: Ura, Yin, Awn, Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi.
*-runa Zocative, allative: Mpa, Lin, Al, Awn.
*-tYimV possessed of, having: Mpa, Lin, Awn, Ntr.
${ }^{*}+Y_{u}$ junior kin: Yin.

## Pronoun suffixes

*-mu ~ -namu possessive: *-mu is attested universally in Northern Paman; *-namu (usually in combination with non-singular pronouns) is attested in Yin, Lin, Ntr, Ngko, Ari.
*-na indirect object: universal in Northern Paman.
${ }^{*}-n y_{a}$ direct object: universal in Northern Paman.
*-nku indirect object: Yin, Lin.
*-nu indirect object: Yin, Ntr, Ngko.

In Northern Paman languages south of Ura, certain pronouns are dependent in that they are always in combination with some suffix with subject marker, object marker, or possessive marker. Subject markers for the different pronouns are phonetically dissimilar because of diverse origins - but are, nonetheless, sub-members of a single morpheme, being in complementary distribution in the modern Northern Paman languages, since each is associated with a particular pronoun stem or set of stems and since there is no possibility of contrast among the different morphs. However, each alternant is cognate with a morphemically distinct person marking suffix occurring in other Paman languages where the marking of person by suffixial paradigm is productive in the modern morphology - thus, Mpa -n (in ayu-n ' $I$ ') is cognate with Umpila -na '1st sg. subj.'; Mpa -n (in na-n 'we excl.') is cognate with Umpila -na '1st non-sg. excl. subj.'; Mpa -p (in Iwa-p 'they') is cognate with Umpila -?a '3rd non-sg. subj.' (non-initial ${ }^{*} p>/$ / in Umpila); and $Y$ in -l (in le-l 'we du. incl. ') is cognate with Umpila -li 1st du. incl. subj.' In Umpila -na, -na,

With alternant -la-), and $-1 i$ are in contrast and participate in a
dctive paradigm, while in Northern Paman, the corresponding morphs
longer morphemically distinct - they have merged to a single
Wheme, marking 'subject'. Morphemic contrast among these suffixes
Atributed to the parent language; their reconstructions and modern
ibutions are listed below.
1st du. incl. subj.: Yin, Ngko, Ari, Mbi.
1st non-sg. excl. subj.: Mpa, Lu, Yin, Lin, Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi.
1st sg. subj.: Mpa, Lu, Yin, Lin, Al, Awn, Ntr, Ngko, Ari, Mbi.
-la 3rd du. subj.: *-pa in Mpa, Lu, Awn, Ntr, Ngko, Mbi; *-la in Yin, Ari. Both alternants are attested outside Northern Paman, in Umpila, and both are certainly related to *-pula, also attested as a suffix with the same meaning.

Modern reflexes of reconstructed suffixes are now listed separfor each language. The ordering of languages is essentially as

Virtually nothing has been said about phonological developments suffixes, mainly because of the fact that the rather limited number reconstructed suffixes does not allow for sufficient documentation recurrent regularities. The principal regularity which does emerge this regard concerns suffix-final vowels - these were retained in but lost, for the most part, elsewhere in Northern Paman (but see Mpa and Lu reflexes of the verb suffix $\left.{ }^{*}-k u\right)$.

Polltyan and Lutir
crb suffixes
$u>-w \sim-k u$ imperative: $*_{n} y_{i} \cdot n a-k u>i n a-w ~ ' s i t!' ;$ and in mpani-ku (*v-ku) 'czimb!'

Mpa-1i $\sim-1$, Lu -y future, imperative: ${ }^{{ }_{n}} y_{a+} Y_{i-1}>M p a+Y_{i-1 i} \sim$ +Yi-1, Lu +Yi-y 'wizZ see, Zook!', as in Mpa layu-D Iwa +yi-1./ and Lu /ayu-n |wa $+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{y}}$./ (*nayu-na, *nula, *nyatyi-1) 'I wizl see [him] bye-and-bye'; *nami-1 > Mpa mi-li, Lu mi-y 'will hear, Listen!', as in Mpa /tu ni-n mi-li./ and Lu/tu ni-n mi-y./ (*nYuntu ~ nYintu, * ganYi-nÝa, *nami-1) 'you listen' to me'; *munka-1 > Mpa Rwa-1i 'will eat, eat!'; *wanta-l > Mpa nţa-1, Lu nța-y 'will put it, leave it; leave it!''
$-n Y \sim-k a>M p a-n \sim-n(u) \sim-\gamma$; Lu $-n \sim-\gamma($ possibly also $-n$ ) 'past': *wanta-n > ñta-n 'put it, left it', as in Mpa /an†u-1 †̦u nța-n./ and Lu /tu'n tu nta-n./ (*nantu-la, -na, *nУuntu ~ nyintu, *wanta-n) 'where' did'you put it, leave it?'; *munka-ny > Mpa kwa-nu (and possibly Lu kwa-n) 'ate, drank'; and in Mpa, Lu aya-ү (*V-ka) 'gave'

Noun suffixes
-w purposive: ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~min}^{Y}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ku}>\mathrm{Mpa}$ ina-w 'for meat', as in /li-nk awæ ina-w na./ (*nali-suff, *ka-way, *minУa-ku, *yana-ø) 'we will go east for meat' *na•ni-ku > ani-w 'what for, why'
*-la > Mpa - I Zocative: * gantu-la > Mpa antu-l 'where'
*-lu ~ jku > Mpa (only *-lu is attested) -l; Lu -l ~-nk ergative: *kuta-lu > Mpa twa-1, Lu u?a-1 'dog erg.', as in Mpa /twa-l ni-n ta-n./ and Lu /upa-l ni-n ta-n./ (*kuta-lu, ${ }^{*} \operatorname{gan}^{1} Y_{i-n} Y_{a}$, ${ }^{*} p a t Y_{a-n)}$ 'the dog bit me'; *yuku-nku > Lu uku-nk 'stick, tree erg.', as in /uku-nk ni-n tæ-n./ (*yuku-nku, *nanyi-nya, *V-n) 'he struck me with a stick'
*-mun > Mpa -mun etative, attested only in t,u-mun (*N-mun) 'from there'
*-na > Lu -n locative: * nantu-na > Lu tu-n 'where'
*-nuna > Mpa - jun locative: *wi ya-nuna > Mpa iya-nun 'on another'
*-ty $_{\mathrm{imV}}>\mathrm{Mpa}-$-tim 'possessed of, having', as in 'dinghy'-tim 'having a dinghy'

## Pronoun suffixes


*-na >-n indirect object: *natyu-na > $\pm \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ 'to me', as in /lu $\pm u-n$ aya-.$/$ (*nyulu ~ nyilu, *natyu-na, *V-ka) 'he gave it to me'. In combination with non-singular pronouns, Mpa has -nun (from *-nuna) indirect object, as in *ŋana-nuna > ña-nun 'to us excl.'
${ }^{*}-n y_{a}>-n$ direct object: *nanyi-nYa>ni-n 'me'
*-na, *-na, *-pa >-п~-n ~-p subject: * пауu-na > ayu-п 'I'; *nana-na> na-n 'we excl.'; *pula-pa > Iwa-p 'they'. In combination with Mpa li- 'we du. incl.', the subject marker is -0k; this is not reconstructable for Paman but is certainly cognate with Lin -gkay and Awn, Ntr -gk which function in the same way.

Yinwum

## Verb suffixes

*-1 >-1 future, imperative: *wanta-1 > n+ ${ }^{r}$ a-1 'will put it, leave it, Zeave it!'; yinta-1 > n+i-1 'will spear, spear it!' as in /ayu-n nya nti-l./ (*nayu-na, *minya, *yinta-1) 'I wizl spear meat (i.e., an animal, fish)', and in $/ n+i$ nya $n+i-1 . /$ (*nYuntu ~ nYintu, *minYa, *yinta-1) 'you spear meat!'
*-n > -n past: *wanta-n > ntra-n 'put it, left it'; *kalma-n > ima-n 'arrived', as in /na-n mpa-n ima-n./ (*nana-na, *N-ŋu, *kalma-n) 'we arrived at camp'
$*_{-}+y_{i}>-y$ future: ${ }^{*} y_{n a+} y_{i-+} y_{i}>n_{+} y_{i-y}{ }^{\prime} w i z l$ see', as in layu-n Iwa $n_{+} y_{i-y .1}\left({ }^{*}\right.$ nayu-na, ${ }^{*}$ nula, $\left.{ }^{*} y^{\prime} y_{a+} y_{i-}+y_{i}\right)$ 'I wizl see [him] bye-and-bye'. Unlike -1, marking both future and imperative and combining with a relatively large number of stems, the suffix -y combines with relatively few stems in modern Yinwum and is in contrast with a suffix marking imperative thus, $n^{+} y_{i-y}$ 'will see', beside ${ }^{n}+Y_{i-R i}$ 'Zook, see!' The suffix -Ri imperative is not reconstructable for Paman but is cognate with Ura -ri imperative - compare $Y$ in ${ }^{n}+Y_{i}-R i$ with Ura atYi-ri.

## fixes

purposive: *minYa-ku $>$ nYa-w 'for meat', as in /le-l awaR ni nYa-w./ (*nali-1i, *ka•way, *yana- $\emptyset$, *minya-ku) 'we du. incl. will go east for meat'; *na•ni-ku > ani-w 'what for, why', as in lani-w n+i jkwa-k./ (*na•ni-ku, *nYuntu ~ nyintu, *Runka-suff) 'why are you crying?'

- -nku >-1 ~-Y ergative: *kuta-lu > twa-l 'dog erg.', as in /twa-l nyi ta-n./ (*kuta-lu, *nanyi, *patya-n) 'the dog bit me'; *yuku-nku 'stick, tree erg', as in /ke- $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ ka./ (*yuku-nku, *nanyi, *V- ${ }^{*}$ ) 'he struck me with a stick'
$>-$ munt elative, attested only in yu-munt ( ${ }^{*} N$-muntu) 'from here', and in lyu-munt ( $\left.{ }^{*} n y u l u \sim n y i l u-m u n t u\right)$ 'from there, after that'. The more common alterniant is -mp, as in mpa-mp ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{mp}$ ) 'from camp'
-nam possessive: *pama-namu > ma-nam 'person's, of a person' Zocative, as in mpa-п (*N-ŋu) 'at camp', uүanya-n 'on the hill', koko-n 'in the water'
Yu > -y ~-ð junior kin: *yapu- $+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}}>$ pyu-y $\sim$ pyu-ð 'younger brother ${ }^{\prime}$


## aun suffixes

~ -namu > -m ~ -nam (-num after /u/) possessive: *nat ${ }^{Y}$ u-mu > $n_{\text {tu-m }}$ 'my'; *nali-namu > le-nam 'our du. incl.'; *gana-namu > na-nam 'our excl'; *pampul-namu > npu-num 'our pl. incl.'
 'to me'; *nana-nu > na-n 'to us excl.'; *nYunku-~nyinku > $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{u}-\eta \mathrm{n}}$ 'to you sg.'
 $n{ }^{\text {Y }}$ unu-nYa $>$ nyu-nY 'him', as in /ayu-n nyu-ny $n_{\text {kana-n./ }}$ (*nayu-na, *nYinu-nYa, *V-n) 'I found him, met him'. This suffix combines with non-singular pronouns and with ${ }^{*}$ nyupu $\sim n^{Y}$ inu- 3 rd $s g$. oblique in Yinwum.
-na, *-1i, *-na, *-la > -п ~ -1 ~-n ~-1 subject: *nayu-na > ayu-n 'I'; *nali-li > le-l 'we du. incl.'; * nana-na > na-n 'we excl.'; *pula-la > |wi-1 'they'

## Innitiy

## Verb suffixes


'where did you put it?'; *n $y_{u} \cdot n k a-k a>o v a-\gamma ' s m e l l e d ~ i t '$, as in /nYa mpwa? ayo-n oүa-ү./ (*minYa, *kalmpaR, *nayu-na, $\left.*_{n} Y_{u} \cdot r_{k} k-k a\right)$ 'I smelled the meat'
${ }^{*}-n y_{u}>-n$ nominaliser: $*_{n} y_{i} \cdot n a-n y_{u}>$ ina-n 'seat, human posterior'. This suffix is common in kinship expressions, for example, mi-ntr 士æ-n 'mother's mother's brother's child', Iiterally, 'the one that mother's mother's brother (mi- from *kami, - $n+r$ ergative) begat ( $\ddagger$ - 'to beget')'

## Noun suffixes

${ }^{*}-k u>-w \sim-\gamma$ purposive: ${ }^{*} \min ^{1} y_{a-k u}>n y_{a-w}$ 'for meat', as in / ii-nkay awaR li-士 nya-w./ (*nali-suff, *ka'way, *kali-†Ya, *minYa-ku) 'we du. incl. will go east for meat'; *mayi-ku > ayi-w $\sim$ ayi'for vegetable food', as in /ayo-n aðim ayi-w./ (* gayu-na, *Ca•ny+yimV, *mayi-ku) 'I am hungry for vegetable food'; *na•ni-ku > ani-w 'what for, why' as in /ani-w truini ntro-ty. (*na•ni-ku, ${ }_{n} y_{\text {untu }} \sim n_{n i n t u}{ }^{*}{ }^{*} N$, *wuntu-suff (ini nfro- 'to seek')) 'what are you looking for?'
*-1u ~-nku >-1 ~-nk ergative: *pama-lu > ma-1 'person erg.', as in
 person saw me'; *+Yuma-nku > mæ-nk 'fire erg.', as in /mæ-nk ni-n kayna-n./ (*+Yuma-nku, *nanyi-nya, *V-n)'the fire burned me'
*-muntu >-mont ${ }^{r}$ elative: * ${ }^{\text {Y }}$ uma-muntu $>$ mæ-mont ${ }^{r}$ 'from the fire', as in /ayo-n mæ-montr Ræ-y./ (*nayu-na, *+Yuma-muntu, *V-1) 'I will take it from the fire'
*-namu > -nam possessive: *pama-namu > ma-nam 'person's, of a person', as in /ominta-ð ma-namo-ð ni-n ta-n./ (*N-suff, *pama-namusuff, *ganyi-nya, *pa+Ya-n) 'the person's dog bit me. The suffix -ð is a common alternant of ergative in modern Lin. Note that the final vowel in *-namu, lost in absolute final, is positively reflected by /o/ before this suffix.
*-nuna ~ -na > -non ~-n locative: *+yuma-nuna > mæ-non 'in the fire'; * gunku-na > ko-n 'there', as in / $+r_{u}$ ko-n ina-y./ (*nyuntu ~ nYintu, *gunku-na, ${ }^{*} n_{i} \cdot{ }^{\prime}$ na-1) 'you sit there'
*-nku ~ $-k u>-n k \sim-w \sim-\gamma$ indirect object: *kami-nku >mi-nk 'to mother's mother', as in /mi-nk lu po-.$/$ (*kami-nku, ${ }^{*}$ nYulu ~ nyilu, *V-ka) 'he gave it to mother's mother'; *pama-ku > ma-w 'to the person'; and in ponka- $\left({ }^{*} N-k u\right)$ 'to the initiate'
$*_{-}+Y_{i m V}>-y i m \sim-$ im possessed of, having: ${ }^{*}+Y_{\text {uma- }} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{imV}}>\mathrm{mæ-yim}$ 'having fire, matches'; and in alimp-ðim 'having a spear'

Pronoun suffixes
*-mu ~-namu >-m ~-nam possessive: ${ }^{*}$ nat $Y_{u-m u}>$ to-m 'my'; ${ }_{n} Y_{u g k u-m u ~}>$ ko-m 'your sg.'; *nali-namu > li-nam 'our du. inel.'; *nananamu > na-nam 'our excl.'; * nampul-namu > pu-nam 'our pl. ine.'
*-na ~-nku >-n ~-nk indirect object: *nat $Y_{u-n a}>$ to-n 'to me'; ${ }^{*}{ }_{n} Y_{u} \eta k u-n a>k o-n$ 'to you sg.'; *nali-nku > 1 i-nk 'to us
du. incl.'; *nana-nku > na-nk 'to us excl.'; *nampul-nku > pu-nk 'to us pl. inel.'
 'us du. incl.'; *nana-nya $>$ na-n 'us excl.'; *nampul-nya> pu-n 'us pl. incl.'
*-na >-n~-n subject: *nayu-na > ayo-n 'I'; *nana-na > na-n'we excl.'. Lin lawuy 'they' is apparently *pula-pul, although the second constituent is no longer separable in the modern language. The subject marker combining with li- 'we du. incl.' (from*gali) is -nkay.

suffixes

Masereme
Wy 4 > -y future, as in $+^{y_{a-y}}(* V-1)$ 'wizl hit'
 sit, sit!', as in $/+r_{u}$ ko-n ina- ${ }^{Y}$./ ( ${ }_{n} Y_{\text {untu }} \sim n^{Y}{ }_{i n t u}$,
 lay-†y 'will go, go:'; and in na-士 (*V-ナYa) 'will arrive'
> $-n$ past: *wanta-n>n+ra-n 'put it, left it', as in $/+^{r} \mathrm{r}$ o-mon
 'where did you put it?'

## Mun suffixes

-ku >-w purposive: *na•ni-ku> ani-w 'what for, why'
*-lu >-1 ergative: *pama-lu > ma-l 'person erg.'
4.nuna ~ -na > -non ~-n Zocative: *nantu-nuna > +ro-non 'where'; *nunku-na > ko-n 'there'

## ponoun suffixes

7-mu > -m possessive: *nat $y_{u-m u}>$ to-m 'my'. The expected'additional alternant -nam (*-namu) probably also occurs but is not attested.
-na > -n indirect object: *nat ${ }^{Y} u-n a>+0-n$ 'to me'

 'we du. incl.'; *nana-na $>$ na-n 'we excl.' '; *pula-pa > Iwa-w 'they du.'

## Verb suffixes



```
    lay-士 'will go' (rare)
*-n ~ -ka > -n ~ - \(\gamma\) past: *wanta-n > n+ra-n 'put it, left it', as in
```



```
    *wanta-n) 'where did you put it?'; and in ya-y (*V-ka) 'gave',
    and in taw-r (*V-ka) 'inserted it, put it in'
```

Noun suffixes
＊－ku＞－w $\sim-k$ purposive：${ }^{*} \min ^{\prime} y_{a-k u}>$ nya－w＇for meat＇；and in murıi－k （＊N－ku）＇for fish，meat＇
＊－Iu＞－1 ergative：＊kuta－lu＞？wa－1＇dog erg．＇，as in／？wa－1 nay－n ta－n．／（＊kuta－lu，＊nanyi－nYa，＊patya－n）＇the dog bit me＇
$*-m u>-m \quad$ elative，in $n r^{r}$ wala－m（ $\left.{ }^{*} N-m u\right)$＇from here＇，and in $n k a w-m ~$
$\left({ }^{*} N-m u\right)$ ifrom there，after that
 －nu＞ntraw－nun～－n＇where at＇，＊nantu－na＞ntraw－n＇where to＇
＊－tyimV＞－tim possessed of，as in＇dinghy＇－tim（or in assimilated pronunciation tink－tim）＇having a dinghy＇．This suffix also appears in the language designation awn－tim having＇awn＇for 1st sg．subj．

## Pronoun suffixes

```
*-mu > -m possessive: *na+}\mp@subsup{\}{u-mu > taw-m (also taw-mR) 'my'}{n
*-na > -n indirect object: *na+Yu-na > taw-n 'to me'
*-n}\mp@subsup{Y}{a}{\prime}>-n direct object: *nan Yi-n Ya > nay-n 'me',
*-na, *-pa > -n ~ -p subject: *nayu-na > aw-\eta 'I'; * nana-na > na-\eta
        'we pl. excl.'; *pula-pa > Iwa-p 'they du.' Subject
        markers combining with lay- (*)ali) and Rwe- (*nyura) are
        -\etak and -\gamma respectively.
```

Nt 「a?git

## Verb suffixes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{*}-1 \sim-+^{y_{a}} \sim-_{+}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}>-\mathrm{y} \sim- \pm \sim-^{y}{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \text { future, imperative: }{ }^{*} \text { gami-1>mi-y } \\
& \text { 'will hear, listen!'; }{ }^{{ }_{n}} \mathrm{y}_{a}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}-1}{ }^{\prime}>+\mathrm{y}_{i-y} \text { 'will' see, Zook!'; } \\
& \text { *kali-tya> li-士 'will go, go!'; *wanta-tyi }>n+r_{a-+y} \text { 'wilí } \\
& \text { leave it, leave it!'; *munka-t } \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}}>\text { nka-ty 'will eat, eat!' }
\end{aligned}
$$

past：＊wanta－n＞$n t^{r}$ a－n＇put it，left $i t '$ ，as in $/ n t^{r} 0-n$ ${ }_{n+} \dagger^{r} u \dagger^{r} a-n .1\left({ }^{*}\right.$ nantu－nu，＊nyuntu～nyintu，＊wanta－n）＇where did you put it？＇

## fixes

purposive：${ }^{*} \min Y_{a-k u}>n^{y} a-w$＇for meat＇，as in／li－nk awaR li－士 nya－w．／（＊nali－suff，＊ka＇way，＊kali－†ya，＊minya－ku）＇we du．incl．will go east for meat＇；＊na•ni－ku＞ani－w＇what for，why＇
－1 ergative：＊kuta－lu＞o？a－1＇dog erg．＇，as in／opa－1 ni－n
 $>$－mont elative，attested in $n t^{r} a$ ？－mont（＊N－muntu）＇from here＇， and in jko－mont（ ${ }^{*} N$－muntu）＇from there＇
－na＞－n～－n Locative：＊nantu－nu～－na＞ntro－n～－n＇where＇
$> \pm i m$ possessed of，having，as in＇dinghy＇－tim＇having a dinghy＇

## houn suffixes

＊iv～－namu＞－m～－nam possessive：＊naty ${ }_{u-m u}>$ to－m＇my＇；＊nana－namu＞ na－nam＇our excl．＇
a～－nu＞－n～－刀 indirect object：＊natyu－na＞to－n＇to me＇；＊nana－nu＞ na－n＇to us excl．＇
$n Y_{a}>n$ direct object：＊nan $Y_{i-n} Y_{a}>n i-n \quad$ me＇
＊－na，＊－pa＞－п～$-n$～－p subject：＊nayu－na＞ayo－n＇I＇；＊nana－na＞ na－n＇we excl．＇；＊pula－pa＞la－p＇they du．＇As in Awntim， there are additional alternants，$-0 k$ and $-\gamma$ ，combining with li－ （＊nali）and oRæ－（＊nyura）respectively．

－ku＞－w purposive：＊na•ni－ku＞ani－w＇what for，why＇；and in mota－w （＊N－ku）＇for meat，fish＇，as in／lay－l away mpi－y，mota－w．／ （＊ŋali－li，＊ka＇way，＊V－suff，＊N－ku）＇＇et＇s go east for meat＇
u～－刀ku＞－1～－ү ergative：＊kuta－lu～－0ku＞twa－1～－ү＇dog erg．＇， as in／twa－l（or twa－$\gamma$ ）nay－n ta－n．／（＊kuta－lu～－nku，
${ }^{*}$ nan $^{Y}{ }_{i-n}{ }^{Y}$ a, ${ }^{*}$ pat ${ }^{Y}$ a-n) 'the dog bit me'; *pama-lu $>$ ma-l 'person erg.', as in /ma-1 nay-n $+^{y} i-n . /$ (*pama-lu, ${ }^{*}$ nan $y_{i-n} y_{a},{ }^{*} y_{a} y_{i-n)}$ 'the person saw me'
*-namu >-nam possessive: *pama-namu > ma-nam 'person's, of a person
*-nu > -n indirect object, locative: *pama-nu > ma-n 'to the person *pi•pa-nu > ipya-n 'to the father'; *wi ya-nu > iya-n 'on another'; and in pi-n 'at camp', as in /pi-n na-n ma-n./ (*N-クu, * jana-na, *kalma-n) 'we excl. arrived at camp'

## Pronoun suffixes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { *-mu ~ -namu > -m ~ -nam possessive: }{ }^{*} \text { nat }^{\dagger}{ }_{u-m u}>\text { tay-m (unexpected } \\
& \text { vowel correspondence here) 'my'; }{ }_{n} y_{\text {unu }} \sim n^{y} \text { inu-mu }>n y i-m \\
& \text { 'his'; *nana-namu > na-nam 'our excl.' } \\
& \text { *-na ~-mu } \sim-n u>-n \sim-m \sim-\eta \text { indirect object: *natyu-na }>\text { to-n } \\
& \text { 'to me'; }{ }^{*}{ }^{n} Y_{u n k u} \text { ~ } n^{Y}{ }_{i} \mathrm{nku}-\mathrm{mu}>+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}} \text { 'to you sg.' (also } \\
& \text { 'your sg.'); *nana-nu > na-n 'to us excl.' } \\
& \text { *-n } Y_{a}>-n \text { direct object: *nan } y_{i-n} y_{a}>\text { nay-n }{ }^{\prime} \text { me' }^{\prime} \text {; *pula-n } y_{a}> \\
& \text { Iwa-n 'them' } \\
& \text { *-na, *-1i, *-na, *-pa >-ŋ~-1~-n~ } \sim \text { w subject: *nayu-па > } \\
& n^{y}+Y_{0-n} \text { 'I'; *nali-1i > lay-1 'we du. inci.' '; *nana-na > } \\
& \text { na-n (also na-m) 'we excl.'; *pula-pa > Iwa-w 'they' }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Aritinnitiy

## Verb suffixes

```
*ka \(\sim-1 \sim-+^{Y_{a}} \sim+^{Y_{i}}>-k \sim-1 \sim- \pm \sim-y\) future, imperative: *+Yampa-ka > mpa-k 'will give, will throw; give!, throw!';
```



``` ina-y 'will sit, sit!'; and in \(+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}} \pm\left(* \vee-+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)\) 'will speak, speak!'
*-n > -n past: *yinta-n > ntya-n 'speared'; *patya-n > ta-n 'bit',
```



``` 'the dog bit me'; *wanta-n > nta-n 'put it, left it', as in /ntu-† ntyu nta-n./ (*nantu-suff, *nYuntu ~ nУintu, *wanta-n) 'where did you put it?'
```

*-ŋka > - $\gamma$ present: *Runka(-)-ŋka > ŋkwa(la)-ү 'is crying'

## Noun suffixes

${ }^{*}-k u>-w \sim-\gamma$ purposive, allative: ${ }^{*} \min \gamma_{a-k u}>{ }^{n} y_{a-w}$ 'for meat', as in /li-1 awa-ntuw ari-k, nya-w./ (*nali-li, *ka"way-suff, *V-ka, *minYa-ku) 'we will go east for meat'; *na•ni-ku > ani- $\gamma$ 'what for, why', and in uwanu- $\gamma$ 'to the hizl'
*-lu $\sim-$-gku $>-1 \sim-\gamma$ ergative: *pama-lu > ma-I 'person erg.', and
imini-nku $>n i-\gamma$ 'good erg.', as in /manI ni-Y $\pm u-n$ ayi mpa-n./ I*pama-lu, *mini-nku, * patYu-na, *may, *+Yampa-n) 'the good person gave me vegetable food'
locative: * nantu-nu $>$ ntu-n 'where' (Aria also has ntu-t with the same meaning); *yuku-nu >kwan 'in the fire', as in /ayu-n kwu-n ika-n./ (*nayu-na, *yuku-nu, *ka'lka-n) 'I fell in the fire'

## uffixes

namu $>-m \sim$-nam possessive: * natyu-mu $> \pm u-m$ (also $\ddagger u-R m$ )
'my'; *nali-namu > |i-nam 'our du. incl.'; *nana-namu >
na-nam 'our excl.'
indirect object: *nat $Y_{u-n a}>+1 u-n$ 'to me'
direct object: ${ }^{*} n_{n} y_{i-n} y_{a}>n Y_{i-n} \quad$ 'me'
4 ll, *-na, *- la>-n~-1~-n~-1 subject: * nayu-na > ayu-n 'I'; * na $i-1 i>1 i-1$ 'we du. incl.'; * nana-na > nan 'we excl.'; *pula-la > |wa-1 'they du.'

## suffixes

$-\gamma$ future: *wanta-ka> nda- $\gamma$ 'will put it, leave it'
-1 imperative: *wanta-1 > nda-1 'put it, leave it!'
-n past: *wanta-n > nda-n 'put it, left it'
Ma $>-\gamma$ present: ${ }^{*}$ pan $Y^{\prime} Y_{i(-)-n k a}>{ }_{n} Y_{d} Y_{i}(R i)-\gamma$ 'is burring'

## suffixes

$>-\mathrm{w}$ purposive: *na•ni-ku>ani-w 'what for, why', as in/ani-w ndwi(n) nydyat-aða-r./ (* na•ni-ku, *nyuntu ~nyintu, *+YankaR$\mathrm{Ca} \cdot \mathrm{n} Y+\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}-\text { oka) }}$ 'why are you laughing' ( lit., 'dying with Laughter')?

Wu $>$-I ergative: ${ }^{*}$ pama-lu>ma-1 'person erg.', as in/ma-l nyi-n ne-y./ (*pama-lu, *na nУi-nУa, *V-suff)' 'the person struck me'
nh $>-n$ Locative: * jantu-nu $>$ ndo-n (also ndo-t, cp. Aria above) 'where', as in /ndo-n ndwi(n) itya-y./ (*nantu-nu, *nYuntu ~ $n^{\prime}$ int, $\left.{ }^{*} n i++^{Y} a-s u f f\right)$ 'where did you put it?'

## ghoul suffixes

```
            possessive: * na+Yu-mu > to-m 'my'
```

na $>$-n indirect object: *nat' ${ }_{u-n a}>$ ton 'to me'

$n Y_{i n u-n} Y_{a}>{ }^{\prime} Y_{u-n} \quad$ 'him'
*- па, *-1i, *-na, *-pa $>-\eta \sim-1 \sim-n \sim-w$ subject: * クayu- па $>$ ayo-ŋ 'I'; * gali-li>le-1 'we du. incl.'; *nana-na $>$ na-n 'we excl.'; *pula-pa > |wa-w 'they'


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hale places Uradhi, of the eight languages concerned, nearest the tip of Cape York Peninsula. Mbiywom, the last on the list, was spoken just above the Watson River. For details of the pre-contact distribution of these languages, see the publications (1964, 1966) mentioned in footnote. 1 page 7. (Ed.)

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Anthropological Linguistics 8:2, pp.177-78 for discussion of the problematic voiced stops b d d dr dy g. (Ed.)

