

2 Expressives as a semantically complex category in South Asian languages

Anvita Abbi

1 Introduction

The complex semantic structure of expressives, as well as the wide conceptual and sensational space that they occupy, make them complex categories that have been ignored both by Western and Asian linguists because they are aprototypical grammatical features and not fitting the writing of traditional grammars. This is because of our obsession with restricting to the prototypical categorization lists approach of Aristotelian categories. We must admit that categories may encode one or more schematic meanings across different conceptual-semantic domains (Kuteva 2009, 2010). Categories can be structurally definable as well as semantically complex, and can occupy the major grammatical structure of a language without being a typical noun, adverb, adjective or verb. Unfortunately, these are considered perfunctorily in any grammar if we are lucky enough to find their mention. More often than not, expressives are unsung heroes of any grammar of South Asian languages. The form-meaning pairing that I review here presents a challenge exactly because of its complex semantics. I draw examples from the languages of the Indo-Aryan family, Austroasiatic family including the Munda group, the Davidian language family and especially from the languages of the Himalayan region, namely, Tai-Kadai, and Tibeto-Burman.

The term “expressives” as used in this paper is inclusive of ideophones, onomatopoeics, mimics, imitatives and sound symbolic forms.¹ Although many writers today use, the term *expressive*, there has been something of a naming frenzy in the past. In earlier works, especially on African and South Asian languages, expressives have also been given labels such as ‘interjections,’ ‘descriptive adverbs,’ ‘picture words,’ ‘adverbials,’ ‘intensives,’ ‘emphatics’ and ‘impressifs’. Again, different scholars give different definitions of expressives.

According to Childs (1989: 1), the term ‘expressives’ seems to have been first coined by Durand (1961) in his analysis of Vietnamese. The term was later adopted and defined by Gerald Diffloth (1972, 1976) and Murray B. Emeneau (1978). The term ‘ideophone’ is widely used, however, for the African phenomenon, as in Doke (1935) for Bantu. He seems to have first suggested the term, he defined or at least described an ideophone as a vivid representation of an idea of sound, a word, often onomatopoeic, which describes a predicate, qualificative or adverb in respect to

manner, colour, smell, action, state or intensity. This seems to suggest that ideophones are a grammatical class of words, a type of adverbial, but no formal criterion is given for distinguishing them from other adverbs. In the Bantu languages with which Doke was concerned, the invariable or indeclinable nature of ideophones had often been noted, in contrast to those adverbials formed with the locative class prefixes.

It has also been noted that expressives, for some languages, are frequently phonologically anomalous. They may contain phonemes not found in other types of words, or unique sequences of phonemes, and they may be errant in respect to the rules of tone that apply to them. Diffloth holds a similar opinion (1972).² Diffloth (1976), for Semai, considers expressives as a “third basic word class” of the same order of magnitude as nouns and verbs. Describing the morphological, syntactic and semantic properties of Semai expressives, he proves that they are not even subject to the condition of “lexical discreteness” and are indeed “a totally different kind of linguistic animal”.

Diffloth very strongly advocates (1972) the iconicity point of view of expressives, “iconicity is the very *raison d’être* of the whole word class called Expressives,” and also suggests that the linguistic theory has to be overhauled completely to incorporate this phenomenon, “it will be necessary to create an aesthetic component of grammar, distinct from, but incorporated into, the logicophonological component which has been the sole preoccupation of generativists.”

Emeneau (1978) in his study of Kota onomatopoeics, opines that expressives can be identified by having syntax and morphology different from that of the major classes of the language. In Abbi (1987), I reject such a position, contending that expressives do not necessarily have distinct morpho-syntactic properties than the other lexical items in the language. For example, verbal onomatopoeics and imitatives in Hindi and Tamil operate as normal verbs and take normal affixations allowed in those languages. Emeneau, however, rightly comments that

...perhaps it would be more just to say that expressives denote varied types of sensation, the impingement of the material world, outside or within the person, upon the senses-not merely the five conventionally identified senses, but also the feelings both internal and external.

(1978)

2 The structure of expressives

Abbi (1987, 1990, 1992) discusses expressives from the point of view of reduplication, the linguistic structuration, which is more common and widespread in all the South Asian languages than the non-reduplicated ones. Whether the expressive form is reduplicated or non-reduplicated, each form is a unit lexeme and a single structural category. Almost all (nearly 99%) reduplicated expressives are formed by iterating a meaningless syllable. The resultant structure thus acquires a meaning, constituting a single morpheme as well as a single lexeme in that language. Thus, Sora (Austroasiatic) *mel* ‘to inspect,’ *di* ‘to count,’ Khasi *ra?* ‘flowers’ are words

derived by expressive morphology. An expressive derives its status of a word/lexeme only after it is duplicated, as the non-reduplicated syllable does not exist as a word.³ Abbi (1987) considers all expressives as instances of morphological reduplication as opposed to the lexical reduplication in which the units before iteration are meaningful words of the language concerned. The question of expressives being iconic cannot be established without some doubt. Had these been totally iconic, languages of a *sprachbund* would share their phonetic shapes of expressives. Nonetheless, some sound symbolism is involved, but no one can be sure about their total iconic nature. For instance, for ‘rain pattering sound’, Hindi has *ʈəp*, while Mizo, the Tibeto-Burman language has *klek*; for sense of sight, Hindi has *cam cam*, Nepali uses *bʰər bʰər* and Mizo has *sep sep*. Consider Appendix 2.1 on expressives in Himalayan languages to see the variety of forms to denote universal conceptual semantic space.

As mentioned earlier, expressives behave and function like regular words and thus form a part of the lexicons of Indian languages. Unlike many other languages of the world, expressives in Indian languages can form predicates. The morphological form of the expressive word varies from language family to language family. It can be suffixed by a conjunctive participle in Indo-Aryan and Dravidian, while in Tibeto-Burman it can be prefixed by a particle indicating ‘manner’. It constitutes as a bare adverbial category and thus does not take any suffix/prefix in Khasi, the Mon Khmer language of the Austroasiatic family.⁴ Before I proceed, let me illustrate that it is extremely difficult to translate expressives in English and several explanations at best, prove to be at times, marginally close to the real meaning. Please see the examples given in Table 2.1.

3 Grammaticality

As stated previously, expressives can function as typical nouns or verbs of the language concerned. They are employed in regular grammatical paradigms of the language, and thus form an integral part of the lexicon. In other words, they do not necessarily have morphosyntactic characteristics that are distinct from

Table 2.1 Expressives used in various morphological paradigms in Hindi.

Root	<i>pʰəɽ</i>	semantically vacuous	
Stem	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ</i>	‘flutter’	
Infinitive	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -ana</i>		
	PAST	PRS.IMPERFECT	FUTURE
3MSG	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-ya</i>	<i>pʰəɽ p pʰəɽ -a-ta</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-yega</i>
3FSG	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-yi</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-ti</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-yegi</i>
3MPL	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-ye</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-te</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-yēge</i>
3FPL	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-yī</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-tī</i>	<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-yēgi</i>
Derivative Noun		<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -a-həɽ</i>	‘fluttering/flutter’
Derivative Modifiers		<i>pʰəɽ pʰəɽ -ay-a/i-hu-a/i</i>	‘having fluttered 3MSG/3FSG’

the rest of the lexical items in these languages. For instance, many of the imitative expressives (acoustic noises) in Hindi operate as an ordinary verbal category taking the usual affixes of Hindi.

I have observed elsewhere (Abbi 1994) that some expressives have their origin in words which are cognates across the same language family. Thus, Hindi *tām tām-ana* ‘to redden with anger’ is derived from the word *tamra* ‘copper coloured, copper’, which finds its cognates in Pali *tamba* ‘red,’ ‘copper’; Prakrit *tamba* ‘red (adjective/noun),’ Dameli *trāmba* ‘red’ and Sinhalese *tambha* ‘reddish.’ It is to be established historically whether expressives are derived from verbs or verbs are derived from expressives after de-expressivizing them. Okombe-Lukumbu Tassa (in Voeltz and Kilian Hartz 2001) cites both processes for Tetela (Bantu).

4 Semantics of expressive morphology

As previously stated, expressives represent complex semantic category in all languages of South Asia. Their complexities have been the sole driving force for not being recognized as the inherent part of any grammar. I explain and elaborate on these in the next section.

4.1 Complex semantic categories

Expressives represent:

- i. Five senses of perception (*panchēndriya*)
- ii. States of mind
- iii. Manner of an action
- iv. Kinship terminology (language universal)
- v. Various states of confusion.

I discuss three of these categories (i, ii and iii) in some detail in the following subsections.

4.1.1 Five senses of perception (*panchēndriya*)

We must state that the most significant aspect of Indian expressives is that they, without fail, indicate the five senses of perception, namely, smell, sight, touch, hearing and taste. Thus, *gam gam* ‘aroma’ in Maithili, *cam cam* ‘glittering’ in Hindi, *las las* ‘sticky’ in Punjabi, *khe khe* ‘laughter’ in Meitei, *tok tok* ‘laughing sound’ in Kurukh and *kur kur-a* ‘crunchy’ in Hindi are typical examples of expressives indicating distinct five senses of perception.⁵

4.1.2 As ‘manner’ of an action

Another semantic area most widely covered by expressives is that of the ‘manner’ of an action/event stated. Every society and its members perceive the

world distinctively. The perception of the manner in which any activity can be undertaken is culture-specific; that is, each culture has its own views and models of the way in which any activity is undertaken. Societal and culture-specific ‘manner’ in Indian languages are expressed largely by expressive morphology rather than by prosaic words of manner adverbs. The phenomenon is in greater abundance in the indigenous languages of India than in the modern languages and, among the indigenous ones, is more prevalent in the languages of the northeast of the Subcontinent – Tibeto-Burman, Tai-Kadai and Khasi (Austroasiatic). In the following section, I consider this region in detail to highlight this important phenomenon of microarea.

5 Languages of the Himalayan region

Although expressives are a common phenomenon in South Asian languages, it is abundant in languages spoken in the Himalayan region, whether those of the Tibeto-Burman language family, or Tai-Kadai, or the Mon Khmer branch of Khasi or the Western Himalayan languages of Indo-Aryan. Thus, I draw my examples primarily from the languages of these groups. I would like to take into consideration the languages of the Northeast, primarily the Naga languages of the Tibeto-Burman family, Tai-Khamti from Tai-Kadai and Khasi of the Mon-Khmer group of Austroasiatic language family. These examples represent the range of perceptive powers of the speech communities of Naga, Khasi and Tai-Khamti. In (Abbi & Victor 1997) we identified 59 expressives, all indicating the manner of ‘walking’ in Khasi and an equal number in Tangkhul Naga. Action verbs such as ‘crying,’ ‘walking,’ ‘running’ and ‘laughing’ are coded with a high number of expressives as shown in Appendix 2.3.

5.1 Tangkhul Naga (Tibeto-Burman)

Tangkhul Naga belongs to the Kuki-Chin branch of the Tibeto-Burman language family and is spoken by 1.5 million people who are spread over the whole Ukhrul District of Manipur – a hilly terrain spreading over 4,722 square kilometers. A large number of speakers of this language is scattered outside the Ukhrul District.

The language is known by the ethnic name for the people of the Ukhrul District, ‘Tangkhul Naga’. It consists of many dialects – each village has its own dialect named after the village. Intelligibility among the village dialects varies according to the distance between them.

Out of 342 expressives known so far in Tangkhul Naga, 278 begin with a consonant, 31 with a vowel and 33 with semivowels; therefore, about 80% of the expressives have initial consonants. Further classification shows that out of 278 expressives with initial consonant, 48 are non-reduplicated and the rest are reduplicated either partially or completely (Victor 1992). Phonologically, partial reduplication undergoes a process in which a vowel or a consonant/semivowel or both are changed, deleted or added in the reduplicated part.

As in other South Asian languages, Tangkhul Naga expressives can occupy both the verbal and the adverbial slots, thereby meaning that an expressive either forms the predicate or occurs in adjunct position as a verbal modifier. The prefix *ta-* is used as an adverbial particle to the expressive. It is noteworthy that this prefix cannot be attached to any other word class. I discuss this in more detail in Section 6.

Some examples from the reduplicated expressives are cited, as they are more common than the non-reduplicated ones. Reduplicated expressives are either partially or fully reduplicated, although the latter ones outnumber the former. Interestingly, in partial reduplicated forms, vowels are altered along with tones. Hence the reduplicator and the reduplicant have different tone markings. Consider one such example, provided next.

- (1) *ho?ó:ho ho?à:ho* ‘expression used in quieting babies or putting them to sleep’

More often than not, reduplicated expressives have tone marked only once, either on the repeated part, or on the base. Thus:

- (2) *ηəη ηəη* ‘burning of a huge fire producing a lot of noise, high flames and consuming fuel wood very fast’

However, cases of both reduplicator and reduplicant that are marked by tones are not unusual. Consider examples (3) and (4) from (Victor 1992: 48) as follows:

- (3) *tít tít* ‘to be very tight like a gunny bag due to over-stuffing, or a garment to be very tight when put on’
 (4) *rór rór* ‘do something one after another, repeating the same action’

Various kinds of base syllables take part in forming monosyllabic, bisyllabic and trisyllabic expressives. Similarly, an initial sound can be a vowel, a consonant or a semivowel. Tones can be variable or fixed. All permutations are possible as the language is very rich in its stock of expressives.

Another interesting feature of Tangkhul Naga is that expressives, like any word, can be compounded, i.e., two expressives can form a compound. Compounds can be either compositional, i.e., retaining the individual meaning of each expressive, or opaque, referring to some third entity. Structurally, various ways can be employed to derive an expressive compound, namely, two bisyllabic reduplicated expressives can be compounded, or one of the syllables can be dropped or tones can change, resulting in tone sandhi as shown in Table 2.2. Tangkhul Naga is the only language that allows three expressive word compounds. Such compounding results in tonal change as shown in (5) through (7).

- (5) *yáη + yìη = yáη-yìη* ‘the state of having a relieving sigh, fragile, touchy moving (emotionally), orchids’
 (6) *yáη* ‘sudden short spanned emotional feeling as happiness or sadness’
 (7) *yìη* ‘emotional disturbance caused by the sight of extremely beautiful or very bad colours or looks’

The high-toned *yáη* becomes low-toned and low-toned *yìη* becomes midtoned when compounded.⁶

Table 2.2 Expressive compounds in Tangkhul Naga.

No	Expressive 1	Expressive 2	Compound	Remarks
1	<i>yāj</i> 'sudden short spanned emotional feeling as happiness or sadness'	<i>yīŋ</i> 'emotional disturbance caused by the sight of extremely beautiful or very bad colours or looks'	<i>yàŋ-yīŋ</i> 'the state of having a relieving sigh, fragile, touchy moving (emotionally), orchids'	Derived out of non-reduplicated expressive. The high-toned <i>yāj</i> becomes low-toned and low-toned <i>yīŋ</i> becomes mid-toned when compounded.
2	<i>p^hút p^hut</i> 'do something with special need or urgency'	<i>nám nam</i> 'go in a rush manner and straight not caring for hindrances'	<i>p^hút p^hut nám nam</i> 'do, go, act quickly without pause or questioning as in case of emergency'	Compositional meaning is retained and original tones are also retained.
3	<i>zí zí</i> 'moving here and there restlessly, dropping and peeping'	<i>par</i> 'touching everything at reach in a hurried manner as if looking for something urgently needed'	<i>zí zí par</i> 'having a special work needing close attention and anxiety for its completion, especially at the till-ing and transplant-ation season'	Complete reduplication with original tones being maintained. Semantic shift as meaning is no longer compositional.
4	<i>yúp yup</i> 'becoming darker and darker very fast as with burning out lamps'	<i>yəp</i> 'instinct sight of momentary appearance and disappearance of objects'	<i>səp səp</i> 'doing or saying anything, moderately, or neither less nor more'	<i>yupyəpsəp</i> 'time span of one hour just after the sunset' Remarks: three expressive compound with truncated second syllable and loss of tones

5.2 Khasi (Austroasiatic, Mon Khmer)

During our 1997 fieldwork, we came across Khasi expressives in large number where we identified 66 different expressives that collocate with the verb *yaid* 'walk'; 57 which collocate with the verb 'cry'; 20 expressives collocating with the verb *ba:m* 'eat'; 28 expressives with the verb *khin* 'tremble'; 38 with the verb *krin* 'speak,' 'say' and 18 expressives collocate with the verb *mareh* 'to run'. The largest number of expressives begins with *k-* or *kh-* in Khasi. The enormity of manner expressives collocating with the verb 'to walk' in the Northeast could certainly license the area as a 'walking area' (Abbi and Victor 1997: 427), as illustrated in Appendix 2.3.

5.3 Tai-Khamti (Tai-Kadai)

A very productive use of expressive morphology is made for accentuation of any colour or for accentuation of any attribute. Consider the following examples (Sharma 2014).⁷

- | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------|---|------------------|
| (8) | <i>syen</i> ⁴ | <i>sok</i> ² - <i>sok</i> ² | ‘very beautiful’ |
| | <i>suŋ</i> ¹ | <i>wen</i> ² - <i>wen</i> ² | ‘very tall’ |
| | <i>suŋ</i> ¹ | <i>ngau</i> ² - <i>ngau</i> ² | ‘very tall’ |
| | <i>təm</i> ¹ | <i>me</i> ⁶ - <i>me</i> ⁶ | ‘very short’ |
| | <i>cəm</i> ³ | <i>thək</i> ⁶ - <i>thək</i> ⁶ | ‘very close’ |
| | <i>yəm</i> ⁵ | <i>sək</i> ² <i>sək</i> ² | ‘wet completely’ |

Interestingly, South Asian expressives are not restricted to the perceptual words and manner of actions alone. There are many in various languages which lay bare many feelings, situations, attributes, disorder, disturbance, confusion, untidiness and, as Emeneau (1978) says, “our internal and external feelings”. Consider the following examples from Nepali (Abbi 1991: 18), an Indo-Aryan language.

- | | | | |
|-----|---------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| (9) | <i>kʰəl</i> | <i>bəl</i> | ‘hurly burly,’ ‘commotion’ |
| | <i>kʰəla</i> | <i>bəla</i> | ‘riot uproar’ |
| | <i>cʰul</i> | <i>cʰul</i> | ‘unsteady’ |
| | <i>kʰoʔəl</i> | <i>kʰəʔəl</i> | ‘topsy turvy’ |
| | <i>əʔ</i> | <i>pəʔ</i> | ‘disorderliness’ |

As far as the kinship terminology is concerned, not all, but the majority of the languages of the world derive their kinship lexicon by expressive morphology, primarily by duplicating the initial syllable, e.g., *mama* ‘mother’, *papa* ‘father’ in English; *dada* ‘grandfather’, *didi* ‘elder sister’ in Hindi, also with vowel alternation such as *dadi* ‘grandmother’ (father’s mother) and *nani* ‘grandmother (mother’s mother)’.

As I noted in Section 1.2, expressives at the morphological level can work as any other word in the language. The following section illustrates the syntactic characteristic of these constructions.

What is striking is the use of similar linguistic material for shared semantic constructs by these languages. The structural similarities are the significant linguistic truths of the area (for detail, see Abbi 1993).

Thus, expressive words constitute a single coherent conceptual – semantic space across the languages of the Himalayan region. This semantic space includes definable but semantically complex categories. However, expressives in general – considering South Asia as a single linguistic area – are categories which encode one or more schematic meanings across different but interrelated conceptual-semantic domains.

6 Syntactic characteristics

At the syntactic level, expressive verbs in most of the South Asian languages have the following characteristics:

- Syntactically, they can occupy the final position in an SOV language.
- They have the potentiality of being used as a finite verb, e.g. Hindi:

(10) *use dekhte hi mēn tharthār-aya*
 3SG-ACC see-PRS.IMP.OBL EMPH 1SG EXPR-3MSG
 ‘I shivered seeing him.’

- They have the potential of being used as a conjunct verb/converb, e.g., Hindi:

(11) *dʰək dʰək kər*
 EXPR (do)/hona (be)
 ‘throbbing.’

In Bangla

(12) *miṭ miṭ kərə dekh*
 EXPR do see
 ‘seeing in a slying manner’

- They have the potential to be used as a complex predicate in conjunctive participle form, such as in *dʰək kər ke* (EXPR + do+ CP) ‘having throbbed’ or ‘throbbingly,’ which serves as a manner adverb.
- In Tangkhul Naga (and other Tibeto-Burman languages) they can be prefixed by *ta-* to mark the adverbial or adjectival nature to the construction, e.g. *ta-yok yok* ‘go wearily’; *ta-yok yok hay-rə* ‘he is weary’. An important feature about the expressives is that like any other modifier category, they have their own strict collocational restrictions – each type is rule governed to appear with a select few verbs and nouns.

Unlike ideophones in African languages (Newman 1968: 107–18) Tangkhul Naga expressives can occur in all sentence types – declarative, imperative, potential, obligatory, conditional, permissive, aphoristic, vocative and topicalized/focused (Victor 1992: 69). Consider the examples in (13):

(13a) *tham tham* = loudly, with a lasting impression, do something with force without fear and hesitation

(13b) [declarative]
 a **tham tham** mətuy-tə ləy
 3MSG expressive speak-VPT PRS
 ‘He is speaking loudly without any hesitation’

(13c) [imperative]
tham tham khə-mətuy təm-lu
 expressive INF-speak learn-IMP
 ‘[You] learn to speak loudly and impressively without fear and pause.’

- (13d) [potential]
 i zət-khəreotə a **tham tham** mətuy-phok hauləpay
 1SG go-as soon as 3SG expressive speak-start may
 ‘As soon as I leave he may start speaking loudly.’
- (13e) [optative]
 ithum-wuy məśun vaŋ **tham tham** mətuy-sə
 we-GEN right for expressive speak-OPT
 ‘Let us speak without fear for our rights.’
- (13f) [conditional]
tham tham mətuy-əkhə mipiŋ-nə nə-li so-rə
 expressive speak-COND people-NOM you-ACC praise-FUT
 ‘If you speak impressively, people will praise you.’

Tangkhol Naga is a language that does not have a separate word class of “adverbs”. It has four different adverbial affixes that are affixed to adjectives to produce “adverbial meaning”. Expressive formation is the only way the language generates adverbs.

Consider the following examples from Indo-Aryan and Dravidian languages:

Bangla

- (14a) *śe hurmur korch-e*
 3SG EXPR hurried do PRS-3SG
 ‘s/he is hurrying up.’
- (14b) *mey-ti dum dam kəre kətha bəle*
 girl-CL expressive-CP talk speak
 ‘The girl speaks without thinking.’

Angika

- (15) *matha hən hən-awe-che/ hən hən kər-eche*
 head expressive-3SG PRS/ expressive do 3SG PRS
 ‘Head is aching with heavy and pulsating sense’

Tamil

- (16) *taṭataṭa-ttal* ‘to walk unsteadily with age, to be loose’
taṭutaṭu-ttal ‘to falter or stammer from ecstatic joy, love or other emotion’
tiṭutiṭu-ttal ‘beating of the heart with fear, speedily’
naṭunaṭu-ttal ‘speak evasively’
neruneru-ttal ‘feel sudden pain as in the stomach’
noṭunoṭu-ttal ‘to be fidgety, restless, to be rude’
koṭukoṭu-ttal ‘to become loose, deranged’

All of these characteristics draw our attention to the complexity of the grammatical category called “expressives” and surely warrant a definite place in the grammar. Expressives carve out an independent category status in any South Asian language because of their morphological, syntactic and semantic behaviour.

7 The social aspect

Expressives assume a significant part in social cohesion and solidarity. As Tucker Childs puts it “*Ideophones are quintessentially the mark of local identity of continuity with an ideophone past.*” (2001). South Asian communities regard the knowledge of expressives as a marker of intelligence and identity both. There are innumerable numbers of fables and folk tales as how a stranger in the village was identified as soon as he started speaking with the wrong set of expressives, or divulged his identity while muttering in dream of unknown expressives. Bhaskararao (1977:31) quotes an interesting fable from Telugu, a Dravidian language where the king tests the intelligence of his minister by giving only a series of expressives “*puṭukku jarajara ḍubbukku me.*” “the goat bleated after the dry bottle gourd fell on it”.

8 Conclusion

There is no doubt that because of unusual nature of these constructions – namely, expressives – have escaped the kind of attention they deserved in grammar. Eurocentric and Westernized linguistic theories avoided establishing a proper place for these constructions within the prevailing grammatical theories. This could be because of the complex semantic structure of these constructions as well as the wide semantic and conceptual space that they occupy or, because these did not fit into the Aristotelian categories of grammar. Asian linguists ignored them, describing and giving them their due place in descriptive grammars because of the sociolinguistic nature of these constructions. These are, more often than not, spoken phenomena that are used in informal settings. These constructions never find their place in written literature other than in the genre of short stories and poetry. Perhaps these were the reasons for their omission from the grammatical description of any language. What Watson (2001) said of African languages is so very true for South Asian languages, namely, these have been the victims of “textual genocide”.

What worries me most is the fact that expressives are endangered structures that are dying very quickly. The examples that I have quoted here from “walking expressives” (Appendix 2.3) are no longer found in the speech of the young generation of Khasi. These are being lost at an alarming speed. Considering the fact these are heavily loaded semantically and culturally, the loss of these structures will have a serious impingement on the cognitive abilities of the community.

Some of the issues and questions that we can address in the future are:

- Can these structures be considered the result of coevolutionary approach where culture plays the centre stage?
- Do these structures confirm the theory of relativity, i.e., do these structures determine the perception of modes of an action?
- Or conversely, are these structures the reflection of the culture-specific society that has heightened perceptive capabilities?

- How to assign an appropriate place of this complex category in grammar as it occupies wide semantic and conceptual space?

We hope to have answers to some of the questions in future.

Abbreviations

ACC=accusative; COND=conditional; CL=classifier; CP= conjunctive participle; EMPH=emphatic; EXPR=expressive; F=feminine; FUT=future; IMP=imperative; INF=infinitive; M=male; OBL=obligative; OPT=optative; PRS=present; PST=past; VPT=verbal particle.

Appendix 2.1 Expressives in Himalayan languages (*Panchēndriya*)

Sense of Sound: Acoustic Noises

a) Animal Noises

No.	Language	Expressive sound	Meaning of expressive
1.	Khasi	<i>ηəη-ba ηəη</i> to make echo sound EXP	‘Mosquito noise’
2.	Tangkhum	<i>sip sip</i>	‘Sound of cicada twittering’
3.	Meitei	<i>ηiyau ηiyau</i> <i>məu məu</i> <i>ci ci</i>	‘Mewing sound of cat’ ‘Barking sound of dog’ ‘Crying sound of mouse’
4.	Chakashang	<i>krü krü</i>	‘Sound of hen calling the newly hatched chicken’
5.	Yimchunger	<i>cik cik</i> <i>triyak triyak</i>	‘Hissing sound of snake’ ‘Monkey’s chattering when about to sleep’
6.	Mizo	<i>baō? baō?</i> <i>crit crit</i>	‘Sound of dogs barking’ ‘Twittering sound of a house cricket’

b) Noises made by humans

SL. No.	Language	Expressive sound	Meaning of expressive
1.	Khasi	<i>nok-ba k^he k^he</i> laugh EXPR. “To giggle.”	‘Giggle’
2.	Tangkhum	<i>t^hi t^hi</i> <i>rok rok</i>	‘Giggle’ ‘Sound of snoring’
3.	Meitei	<i>k^hi k^hi</i> <i>wa: wa:</i>	‘Giggle’ ‘Laughter’ (heartily)
4.	Yimchunger	<i>ha ha</i> <i>k^həm k^həm</i>	‘Sound of laughing in a silly manner’ ‘Threatening noise with a serious look’
5.	Chakhashang	<i>tü tü</i>	‘Sound of mourning or crying due to pain or extreme fear’

c) Noises made by natural phenomenon

SL. No.	Language	Expressive sound	Meaning of expressive
1.	Khasi	<i>k^hom-ba grəŋ grəŋ</i> blow EXPR.	'Thundering sound'
2.	Tangkhum	<i>cək cək</i> <i>kuŋ kuŋ</i>	'Sound of drizzle' 'Sound of thunder'
3.	Meitei	<i>cro cro</i> <i>krəŋ krəŋ</i> <i>brek brek</i>	'Sound of heavy rains' 'Sound of thunder' 'Sound of discontinuous rain accompanied by hail'
4.	Nagamese	<i>tak tak</i>	'Sound of rain pattering'
5.	Konyak	<i>pruk pruk</i>	'Sound of water flowing in small streams'

d) Noises made by miscellaneous inanimate objects

SL. No.	Language	Expressive sound	Meaning of expressive
1.	Khasi	<i>lau-ba tchrin tchrin</i> To make sound EXPR. 'To jingle.'	'Jingle' (sound of anklets, bangles etc.)
2.	Tangkhum	<i>k^hok k^hok</i> <i>ten ten</i> <i>šeo šeo</i>	'Sound of wood chopping' 'Sound of tin or plates falling on the ground' 'Sound of fermented rice bubbling'
3.	Meitei	<i>p^hrak p^hrak</i> <i>pak pak</i>	'Sound of bamboo breaking' 'Sound of slapping, kicking'
4.	Yimchunger	<i>t^hrak t^hrak</i>	'Sound of fire cracker, bursting of bamboo when put on fire'
5.	Chakhashang	<i>kruŋ kruŋ</i>	'Sound of ringing bell'
6.	Konyak	<i>p^hruk p^hruk</i>	'Sound of boiling water'

1. Sense of Sight: Shimmering aspects of objects

SL. No.	Language	Expressive sound	Meaning of expressive
1.	Khasi	<i>t^həwan micak ŋan-ba</i> <i>kup^het kup^het</i> star shine EXPR. 'The stars are twinkling'.	'Twinkling'
2.	Tangkhum	<i>p^hik p^hik</i> <i>han han</i>	'Twinkling' 'Glare' (unbearable to the eyes)
3.	Meitei	<i>hiŋ hiŋ</i> <i>raŋ raŋ</i>	'Shining of metallic object' 'Brightness seen by a weeping person'
4.	Yimchunger	<i>rək rək</i> <i>nciŋ nciŋ</i>	'Twinkling, sparkling' 'Shining of metallic objects'
5.	Nagamese	<i>camo camo</i>	'Twinkling or momentary shining'

2. Sense of Touch

<i>SL. No.</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i>Expressive sound</i>	<i>Meaning of expressive</i>
1.	Khasi	<i>tsənap tsənap pa-pət</i> EXPR thing 'Sticky thing.'	'Sticky'
2.	Tangkhum	<i>hat hat</i> <i>lər lə</i>	'Feeling of sharpness such as knife, thorn, etc.' 'Feeling hard when pressed' (strong muscles, cycle tyres, etc.)
3.	Meitei	<i>pet pet</i> <i>kʰək kʰək</i>	'Soft such as overcooked rice or over ripen fruit.' 'Hard feelings of woods, bones, shoes, etc.'
4.	Chakhashang	<i>to to</i> <i>pa: pa:</i> <i>tü tü</i>	'To feel hard to chew, break or press' 'Loose or sticky like overcooked rice or overripe fruit' 'Very sticky' (hard to be removed or peeled off).
5.	Yimchunger	<i>əyik əyik</i> <i>tʰrim tʰrim</i>	'Very hard, such as underdone meat, tough'. 'Feeling of rubbing legs, hands, etc. or touching only the hair not the skin'.
6.	Konyak	<i>yij yij</i>	'Feeling of electric shock'.
7.	Nagamese	<i>syap syap</i>	'Sticky like overcooked rice'.

3. Sense of Smell

<i>SL. No.</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i>Expressive sound</i>	<i>Meaning of expressive</i>
1.	Khasi	<i>jij sma hek hek</i> smell EXPR. 'Unpleasant smell.'	'Smelly' (unpleasant).
2.	Tangkhum	<i>hik hik</i>	'Strong smell (good or bad) causing irritation in the nose.'
3.	Meitei	<i>swe swe</i>	'Intense bad smell such as rotten things or stool.'

4. Sense of Taste

<i>SL. No.</i>	<i>Language</i>	<i>Expressive sound</i>	<i>Meaning of expressive</i>
1.	Nagamese	<i>sətə sətə</i>	'Tangy taste'
2.	Tangkhum	<i>mat mat</i>	'Extremely hot (chili hot)'.

5. Other Senses

SL. No.	Language	Expressive sound	Meaning of expressive
1.	Khasi	<i>huri hura</i>	‘Confusion’
2.	Tangkhum	<i>kʰak kʰak</i> <i>tuk tuk</i>	‘Feeling of foreign particle in the eye’ ‘Beating of heart due to longing or depression’
3.	Meitei	<i>ciŋ ciŋ</i> <i>uru uru</i> <i>tʰuk tʰuk</i>	‘Feeling of pain rhyming with the beating of pulse’ ‘Nauseous feeling’ ‘Feeling of depression or longing’

Appendix 2.2 Expressives in Bangla

	Bangla Expressives	Gloss
[i]	<i>hiṛ hiṛ</i> <i>miṭ miṭ</i> <i>ṭik ṭik</i> <i>tiṛ tiṛ</i> <i>min min</i>	Pull forcefully, inhumanly In a sly manner Nagging lightly, continuously Seething with anger [pleading] meekly
[u]	<i>huṛ muṛ</i> <i>ghur ghur</i> <i>chūk chūk</i> <i>tuṛ tuṛ</i> <i>duṛ duṛ</i> <i>ṭuk ṭuk</i>	Hurriedly Roaming around Hovering around with bad intention [run] with small steps [run] quickly [gazing] expectantly
[ɛ]	<i>keṭ keṭ</i> <i>ghen ghēn</i> <i>pen pēn</i> <i>phēl phēl</i>	[talk] harshly [talk] complainingly [talk] whiningly Staring incomprehendingly
[a]	<i>Dhā dhā</i> <i>hā hā</i>	Acting quickly, rashly Greeditly

Appendix 2.3 Expressive of ‘manner of walking’ in Khasi and Tangkhul Naga

(Source: Abbi and Victor 1996)

Khasi (Austroasiatic)

yaid (v) ‘go, walk, proceed’.

- *bak'-bak'* 'go hurriedly'
- *bian-bian* 'walk continuously.'
- *bran-bran* 'go very fast' .
- *brum-brum* 'go with heavy steps'
- *hai-hai* 'walk shakingly as if very heavy'
- *han'-han'* 'walk like a duck'
- *kep'-kep'* 'go slowly not sure to keep the equilibrium'
- *ker'-ker'* 'walk trembling'
- *khne?-khne?* 'walk like a lame man'
- *khniṅ-khniṅ* 'walk lamely' (as if very tired or there is a stone in the sole)
- *khrup'* *khrup'* 'walk quickly and stamping the floor with the steps'
- *kjik'-kjik'* 'walk as if on pins'
- *knia?-knia?* 'walk nicely and willing to speak.'
- *knip'-knip?* 'walk with pain'
- *kor'-kor'* 'walk trembling (from sickness)'
- *kthai-kthai* 'walk well-dressed'
- *kthek'-kthek'* 'walk like dancing as on springs'
- *kui-kui* 'move a short but large body'
- *kynrum'*-*kynrep'* 'go on pouncing'
- *kyrthek'-kyrthek'* 'walk like dancing'
- *kyntup'*-*kyntup'* 'walk dressing very modestly'
- *dar-dar* 'walk briskly'
- *der-der* 'walk about with flying clothes'
- *dat'-dat'* 'walk quickly without turning to left or right'
- *doy'-doy'* 'walk quickly (small boy)'
- *don'-don'* 'walk like a bird or child'
- *dop'-dop'* 'walk like a child, who has just learned to walk'
- *dot-dot* 'move as an old person'
- *neṅ-neṅ* 'walk like an intoxicated'
- *ner-ner* 'move shakingly'
- *nuṅ-nuṅ* 'went on walking'
- *hir-hir* 'go longingly'
- *yor-yor* 'walk slowly from weakness'
- *jaw-jaw* 'go about in poorly wet clothes'
- *ibeṅ-ibeṅ* 'go completely naked'
- *luṅ-luṅ/leṅ-leṅ* 'go in a hurry'
- *suki-suki don-don* 'went out very slowly as an old person'
- *mṅen-mṅen* 'go about very healthy and muscular'
- *rympha't* -*rympha't* 'go about dirty and poorly dressed'
- *sak-sak* 'walk straight on'
- *san-san* 'daddle, walk as if not sure'
- *dain-ši-dain* 'going ahead successfully'
- *šey-šey* 'walk with long strides'
- *šen-šen* 'go as a drunkard'
- *šop-šop* 'walk with caution'
- *sar-sar* 'go stealthily'
- *suki lwen'-lwen'* (v) 'creep slowly'
- *tai-tai* 'go about very dirty'

- ter-ter ‘proceed in order’
- thaid’-ši-thaid’ ‘proceed on and on’
- then’-then’ ‘walk steady’
- thew-thew ‘walk with strong legs’
- thiaw-ši-thiaw ‘walk uphill with strong legs’
- thir-thir ‘go quickly’
- thnet’-thnet’ ‘walk as if on the point of falling’
- thud’-thud’ ‘walk as stumbling’
- thut’-thut’ ‘walk tremblingly’
- twet’-twet’ ‘walk too fast’
- tub’-tub’ ‘walk as if not liking it’
- tub-pa-tub’ ‘go slowly’
- tuin’-tuin’ ‘go slowly like an elephant’
- wai-wai ‘walk weakly’
- wey-wey ‘go in a zig-zag way’
- wet-wet ‘go on hurriedly’
- wit’-wit’ ‘walk with many obstacles’
- wut’-wut’ ‘go on hurriedly’

Similarly, in Tangkhul Naga walking is perceived in various subtle ways. The verb for ‘walk/go’ is *kəzət* which follows the manner adverbial expressives of several types. Consider:

Tnagkhul Naga (Tibeto-Burman)

kəzət ‘to go/walk’

- yayyay kəzət* ‘to waddle like a child (when walked by grownup people)
- šijšij - ‘to walk with heavy footstep in a direct manner without stopping or looking about’
- t^huŋ^huŋ-* ‘to walk heedlessly and laborously, usually with anger or worryness’
- yuryur-* ‘to walk in batches at a time’
- t^hutt^hut-* ‘to walk stealthily and slowly’
- camcam-* ‘to walk blindly and slowly; walk like very old people’
- həyhəy-* ‘to walk limpingly’
- nutnut-* ‘to walk unprogressingly with frequent backward motion, as while forcing to go by pushing or dragging’
- wuywuy-* ‘to walk waveringly, as when one is drunk’
- yəyə-* ‘to walk in a leisure way without any purpose’
- wakwak-* ‘to walk with long strides, especially by tall persons’
- hihij-* ‘to walk fast with rather long strides’
- rutrut-* ‘to walk silently and carefully, usually said of thief or persons with suspicious look’
- təytəy-* ‘to waddle (by around one-year-old children)’
- p^hutp^hut-* ‘to walk very fast (as if getting late for some place to reach)’
- namnam-* ‘to walk straight and quickly not caring for hindrances’
- kuku-* ‘to walk tiringly with bowed posture’
- həkhək-* ‘to walk quickly with light steps’

- yokyok-* ‘to walk swinging the upper part of the body from back to front, especially by thin and tall person’
haphap- ‘to walk carelessly without looking for what lies on the surface/ground’
yapyap- ‘to walk uneasily with bowed legs, as when one has got boils in the thighs or buttocks’
rinrin- ‘to walk carefully with hesitation, as on thorny surface’
pəkpək- ‘to walk lightly looking back and forth’
tʰəktʰək- ‘to walk mincingly’
nennen- ‘to walk slowly with hesitation, as with shyness in front of a crowd or someone’
pikpik- ‘to walk fast and swingingly, as in a crowded street or place’

Notes

- 1 For details on each of these to avoid the confusion created by the overlapping definitions see Abbi, 1992.
- 2 The author disagrees with this view as it is discussed later in the paper.
- 3 However, although small in number, Naga languages (Tibeto-Burman) do have non-reduplicated expressives.
- 4 In case of Khasi, these constructions are not verbal adverbs but adverbs per se.
- 5 See Appendix 2.1 and 2.2 for a wide range of expressives in Indian languages.
- 6 For details on their formation and semantics one may consult Victor (1992).
- 7 Numbers indicate the tone levels.

References

- Abbi, Anvita. 1987. Semantic correlates of the Indian linguistic area: A study in reduplicative structures. *Select Papers from South Asian Language Analysis* 7. E. Bashir, M.M. Deshpande, and P.E. Hook (eds.). Indiana University Linguistics Club. USA, 1–12.
- . 1990. ‘Reduplication in Tibeto-Burman languages of South Asia’. *South East Asian Studies*. Tokyo 28(2): 171–181.
- . 1991, 1992. *Reduplication in South Asian languages: An areal, typological and historical study*. New Delhi: Allied Publishers Ltd.
- . 1994. *Semantic universals in Indian languages*. Simla: All India Institute of Advanced Study.
- and Ahum Victor. 1997. ‘Expressive morphology and manner adverbs in Khasi, Tangkhul Naga and Kuki-Chin languages’. In Anvita Abbi (ed.). *Languages of tribal and indigenous people of India: The ethnic space*. New Delhi: Moti Lal Benarsi Das, 415–431.
- Baskararao, Peri. 1977. *Reduplication and onomatopoeia in Telugu*. Poona: Deccan College.
- Childs, Tucker G. 1989. ‘Where do ideophones come from?’. *Studies in the Linguistic Sciences* 19: 57–78.
- . 2001. ‘Research on ideophones, whither hence? The need for a social theory of ideophones’. In Erhard F. K. Voeltz and Christa Kilian-Hartz (eds.). 63–73.
- Diffloth, Gerard. 1972. ‘Notes on expressive meaning’. *Chicago Linguistics Society* 8: 440–447.
- . 1976. ‘Expressives in Semai’. In L.C. Thompson Jenner and Stanley S. Starosta (eds.). *Austro Asiatic studies*. Hawaii: University of Hawaii Press.

- Doke, C. M. 1935. *Bantu linguistic terminology*. London: Longmans, Green.
- Durand. 1961. 'Les Impressifs en Vietnamien. Étude Préliminaire'. *Extrait du Bulletin de la Société des Études Indochinoises Nouvelle Série* 36(1): 1–44.
- Emeneau, Murray B. 1969. 'Onomatopoeics in the Indian linguistic area'. *Language* 45: 274–299.
- . 1978. 'Some notes on Dravidian intensives'. In *Collected Works of Emeneau*. Berkeley, CA: University of California.
- Kuteva, Tania. 2009. 'Grammatical categories and linguistic theory: Elaborateness in grammar'. In Peter K. Austin, Oliver Bond, Monik Charette, David Nathan, and Peter Sells (eds.). *Proceedings of Conference on Language Documentation and Linguistic Theory 2*. London: SOAS, 13–28.
- . 2010. 'Grammaticalization and the evolution of grammar: On one particular kind of grammatical categories'. In Young-Se Kang, Jong-Yuri Yoon, Jongseon Hong, Jiun-Shiung Wu, Seongha Rhee, Kyoung-Ae Kim, Dong-Ho Choi, Kee-Ho Kim, and Hye-Kyung Kang (eds.). *Lectures on universal grammar and individual languages (SICOL-2010)*. Seoul, Korea: Hankookmunhwasa, 144–156.
- Newman, Paul. 1968. 'Ideophones from a syntactic point of view'. *Journal of West African Languages* 5: 107–118.
- Schafer, Ronald. 2001. 'Ideophonic adverbs and manner gaps in Emai'. In Erhard F. K. Voeltz and Christa Kilian-Hartz (eds.). 339–354.
- Sharma, Bishakha Das. 2014. *A descriptive grammar of Tai-Khamti*. Ph.D. Dissertation. JNU, New Delhi.
- Tassa, Okombe-Lukumbu. 2001. 'La formation des radicaux déidéophoniques et des idéophones déverbatifs en tetela (dialecte ewango)'. In Erhard F. K. Voeltz and Christa Kilian-Hartz (eds.). 375–384.
- Victor, Ahum. 1992. *A grammatical study of expressives and echo formation in Tangkhul Naga*. M.Phil. dissertation. Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi. Unpublished.
- Voeltz, Erhard F. K. and Christa Kilian-Hartz (eds.). 2001. *Ideophones*. Typological Studies in Language. The Netherlands, USA: John Benjamins.
- Watson. 2001. 'A comparison of some Southeast Asian ideophones with some African ideophones'. In Erhard F. K. Voeltz and Christa Kilian-Hartz (eds.). 385–405.