

Francis Corblin (Université Paris-Sorbonne)

From long weak definites to short ones

abstract

The category « weak definites» was first introduced in the literature by Poesio (1994) for complete definite descriptions of the form : « the x of y ».

Crucial examples: *the corner of a busy intersection*

The term was used many years later by Carlson & Sussman (2003) for short definite NPs of the form « *the x*» in restricted lexical environments.

Crucial examples : *to take the escalator*.

In both cases definite NPs are said « weak » mostly because they seem to lack (some ? all the ?) attributes of well behaved definites.

The main issue I shall discuss is: are these two kinds of definite NPs related ; is it possible to analyze them as variants of the same phenomenon ?

Arguments will be given for analyzing both as relational definites along the lines of Corblin (in press), and to integrate as an intermediary stage short relational definites (*In this room the door is large*). Although the main claim is that these varieties of definites are related, a particular attention will be devoted to investigate the main differences between them regarding contextual dependency, uniqueness, scope, and meaning enrichment (Roberts 2003, Aguilar & Schulpen 2001). I will discuss in particular some problems raised by Bourmayan (2013) regarding the analysis of weak definites as bound relational definites.

References :

- Aguilar-Guevara, A. & Schulpen., M. 2011. Understanding the *meaning enrichment* of weak definites. Proceedings of the 2011 ESSLLI Student Session.
- Barker, Chris. 2005. Possessive weak definites. In Kim, Ji-Yung, Yuri Lander and Barbara Partee (eds.) *Possessives and Beyond: Semantics and Syntax*. Amherst, MA: GLSA Publications.
- Bourmayan, A. 2013. *Les objets implicites en français Une approche pragmatique*. Thèse EHESS.
- Carlson, Gregory & Rachel Sussman. 2005. « Seemingly Indefinite Definites », *Linguistic Evidence*, S. Kepsar and M. Reis, eds. Berlin: de Gruyter.
- Coppock, E. and Beaver, D. 2012. Weak uniqueness: The only difference between definites and indefinites, *Proceedings of SALT 22*: 527–544.
- Corblin. In press. Weak definites are bound relational definites, RLV.
- Doron Edit, 2013, Weak definites and amount definites, Talk, University of Düsseldorf.
- Poesio, Massimo. 1994. Weak definites. In *Proceedings of Salt IV*, eds. M. Harvey and L. Santelmann. Cornell: DMLL. 282-299.
- Roberts, C. 2003. Uniqueness in definite noun phrases. *Linguistics and Philosophy* **26**, 287-35