

THE SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF THE INDIGENOUS LANGUAGES OF THE AMERICAS



April - June 2010

NEWSLETTER XXVIII: 2

Published quarterly by the Society for the Study of the Indigenous Languages of the Americas, Inc.

Editor: Karen Sue Rolph, POB 20201, Stanford, CA 94309 (e-mail: karenrolph at hotmail dot com);
web: www.ssila.org). ISSN 1046-4476. Copyright ©2010, SSILA.

An Information Service for SSILA Members

Editor - Karen Sue Rolph (karenrolph at hotmail dot com)
Executive Secretary - Ivy Doak (ivy at ivydoak dot com)

-- >> -- Correspondence should be directed to the Editor -- <<--

Volume 28, Number 2

CONTENTS

SSILA BUSINESS	1
CORRESPONDENCE	3
NEWS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS	3
MEDIA WATCH	6
RECENT PUBLICATIONS	8
NEW MEMBERS AND CHANGES	10
CALL FOR CORRESPONDENCE	10
RESEARCH FUNDING	10

SSILA BUSINESS

THE SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF THE INDIGENOUS
LANGUAGES OF THE AMERICAS

Annual Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, January 6-9, 2011

Call for Papers, Posters, and Organized Sessions

Deadline for abstracts: August 1, 2010

The annual winter meeting of SSILA will be held jointly with the Annual Meeting of the Linguistic Society of America in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, at the Hilton Pittsburgh, January 6-9, 2011. Information about the hotel and location can be found at the LSA website (www.lsadc.org), and participants will be

able to preregister for the meeting and reserve hotel rooms online after July 1.

SSILA welcomes abstracts for papers, posters, and organized sessions that present original research focusing on the linguistic study of the indigenous languages of the Americas.

Abstract Submission

The **deadline** for receipt of all abstracts and session proposals is midnight (PST) **August 1st**.

Abstracts should be submitted electronically, using the electronic submission website EASY CHAIR. Consult the

SSILA website for details. Also e-mail or hard-copy submissions will be accepted if arrangements are made in advance with the SSILA Executive Secretary (ivy@ivydoak.com). Abstracts may be submitted in either English or Spanish.

Abstracts must conform to the guidelines below.

I. General Requirements

1. All authors must be members of SSILA. See the SSILA website for information about membership and renewal. The membership requirement may be waived for co-authors, or for participants in organized sessions, who are from disciplines other than those ordinarily represented by SSILA (linguistics and linguistic anthropology). Requests for waivers of membership must be made by a member of the Society to the SSILA Executive Secretary. (Note: Membership in LSA is not required for participation in SSILA sessions).
2. Any member may submit one single-author abstract and one multi-author abstract OR two multi-author abstracts.
3. After an abstract has been submitted, no changes of author, title, or wording of the abstract, other than those due to typographical errors, are permitted.
4. Papers or posters must be delivered as projected in the abstract or represent bona fide developments of the same research.
5. Papers must not appear in print before the meeting.
6. Handouts, if any, are not to be submitted with abstracts but should be available at the meeting for those listening to the presentation.
7. All presenters of individual papers or posters and all participants in organized sessions must register for the meeting.
8. Authors who must withdraw from the program should inform the SSILA Executive Secretary as soon as possible.

II. Abstract Format

1. Abstracts should be uploaded as a file, preferably in PDF format, to the abstract submittal form on the EASY CHAIR website.
2. The abstract, including examples if needed, should be no more than one typed page (11pt or 12pt font, single spaced, with 1-inch margins); a second page may be used for references. Abstracts that are longer than one page will be rejected without being evaluated.
3. At the top of the abstract, give a title that is not more than one 7-inch typed line and that clearly indicates the topic of the paper.
4. Abstracts will be reviewed anonymously. Do not include your name on the abstract. If you identify yourself in any way in the abstract (e.g. "In Smith (1992)...I"), the abstract will be rejected without being evaluated. Of course, it may be necessary to refer to your own work in the third person in order to appropriately situate the research.
5. Abstracts which do not conform to these format guidelines will not be considered.

III. Abstract Contents

Papers or posters whose main topic does not focus on the indigenous languages of the Americas will be rejected without further consideration by the Program Committee. The Program Committee requires further that the subject matter be linguistic, that the research presented include new findings or developments not published before the meeting, that the papers not be submitted with malicious or scurrilous intent, and that the abstract be coherent and in accord with these guidelines.

Abstracts are more often rejected because they omit crucial information rather than because of errors in what they include. The most important criterion is relevance to the understanding of indigenous languages of the Americas, but other factors are important too. It is important to present results so that they will be of interest to the whole SSILA (and larger) linguistic community, not just to those who work on the same language or language family that you do.

A suggested outline for abstracts is as follows:

1. State the problem or research question raised by prior work, with specific reference to relevant prior research.
2. Give a clear indication of the nature and source of your data (primary fieldwork, archival research, secondary sources).
3. State the main point or argument of the proposed presentation.
4. Regardless of the subfield, cite sufficient data, and explain why and how they support the main point or argument. For examples in languages other than English, provide word-by-word glosses and underline the portions of the examples which are critical to the argument.
5. State the relevance of your ideas to past work or to the future development of the field. Describe analyses in as much detail as possible. Avoid saying in effect "a solution to this problem will be presented". If you are taking a stand on a controversial issue, summarize the arguments that led you to your position.
6. State the contribution to linguistic research made by the analysis.
7. While citation in the text of the relevant literature is essential, a separate list of references at the end of the abstract is generally unnecessary.

The LSA guidelines for abstract contents may be helpful, and members who are unfamiliar with abstract style may wish to consult the two model abstracts (one "good", the other "bad") that are posted on the LSA website:

<http://www.lsadc.org/info/abstract-models.cfm>

IV. Categories of Presentation

Authors are required to indicate the preferred category of their presentation at the time of submitting the abstract. The

A obra rara Historia Naturalis Brasiliae, de Willem Piso e George Marcgrave, publicada pela prestigiosa oficina Elsevier em Amsterdam em 1648, continua sendo até hoje fonte importante para estudiosos de etnologia e etnolinguística sul-americanas, além das evidentes contribuições no campo das ciências naturais. Este artigo apresenta uma breve análise que situa o surgimento deste livro no contexto do domínio colonial holandês no Brasil bem como na conjuntura mais ampla da produção de conhecimento sobre o Novo Mundo no período moderno.

Mariana Françaço é graduada em Ciências Sociais (com ênfase em Antropologia) pela Universidade Estadual de Campinas (2001), mestre em Antropologia Social pela Unicamp (2004) e doutora em Ciências Sociais pela Unicamp (2009). Tem experiência na área de Antropologia, atuando principalmente nos seguintes temas: Antropologia da Cultura Material; História do Brasil Colonial (século XVII); História da Antropologia; Holandeses no Brasil.

Volume 2, número 1, fev/2010
<http://www.etnolinguistica.org/vol2:1>

/~/~/~/~/~/~/~/~/

The rare Latin work, *Naturalis Historia Brasiliae*, by Willem Piso and Georg Marcgrav, was published in 1648 by the prestigious publisher Elsevier. It remains today an important primary source-work for scholars of ethnology and ethnolinguistics in South America, along with the obvious contributions it made to the field of natural sciences.

This article presents a brief analysis that shows the appearance of this book in the context of Dutch colonial rule in Brazil and in the face of more extensive production of knowledge about the New World in the modern period.

The author, Mariana Françaço has a Ph.D. in Social Sciences (2009) from Unicamp. She focuses mainly on the Anthropology of Material Culture, the History of Anthropology, the History of Colonial Brazil (XVII century), and the Dutch in Brazil.

Volume 2, número 1, fev/2010
<http://www.etnolinguistica.org/vol2:1>

Translated and modified by the Editor

/~/

Scholars Demonstrate Siberian – North American Language Link

Prepublication Notice

Researchers at the University of Alaska Fairbanks announce the publication of *The Dene-Yeniseian Connection*, the unveiling of a far-reaching historical linguistic hypothesis. The 18 papers in this 369-page volume were presented at the February 2008 Dene-Yeniseian Symposium in Alaska or were

solicited by the editors. The 67 page lead article by Edward J. Vajda (Western Washington University) presents extensive evidence for Dene-Yeniseian. This hypothesis argues for an ancient genealogical relationship between Ket, the only surviving member of the Yeniseian language family of Central Siberia, and the widespread North-American family of Na-Dene, which contains the Athabaskan languages, Eyak, and Tlingit. Ket has fewer than 100 speakers today, mostly older generation. The 1200 people of Ket nationality live in several isolated villages on the Yenisei River in a sparsely populated area about the size of Oregon. Accompanying Vajda's paper is primary data on Na-Dene historical phonology by Jeff Leer, along with critiques by several linguistic specialists and articles on a range of topics (archaeology, prehistory, ethnogeography, genetics, kinship, folklore) by experts in these fields.

The process of external scrutiny of Dene-Yeniseian continues with the publication of these papers. The evidence presented by Vajda is considerable, about 115 potential grammatical and lexical cognates plus he has identified numerous systematic sound correspondences. Among the more than ten grammatical affixes are several that mark tense and aspect, some prefixes than classify nouns, and there is a robust noun-forming instrumental suffix. Numerous cognates suggest shared lifeways in the far north (e.g., mosquito, birch, spruce hen), while others include abstract concepts (e.g., old age and shamanism).

Several authors have noted that traditional methods and standards of historical linguistic proof are being applied. According to Eric Hamp, the rapidity and decisiveness of this presentation of materials for Dene-Yeniseian are unprecedented for a proposed long-distant language relationship. If accepted by the broader academic community, Dene-Yeniseian would be the first substantiation of a language stock between Asia and North America. From its western Asian limits to its spread deep into North America, Dene-Yeniseian, would represent the farthest geographic distance for a pedestrian hunter-gather language stock. Dene-Yeniseian is prompting a wide range of opinions on the antiquity of the language link as well as on the original location of the ancestral population of speakers.

At the time of publication several prominent linguists including Eric Hamp, Bernard Comrie, Nicholas Evans, Victor Golla, and Johanna Nichols have expressed support for Vajda's hypothesis. Victor Golla (Humboldt State University) has written "...historical linguistics has scored a major triumph by demonstrating beyond a reasonable doubt the existence of Dene-Yeniseian, a language family with approximately the time depth of Indo-European..." Keren Rice (University of Toronto) writes: "This carefully designed volume provides the strongest evidence to date for a connection between the [Na-Dene] of North America and the Yeniseian language family of Siberia." Eric Hamp (University of Chicago) states: "This one volume of papers covers a broader range of comparisons and proposed reconstructions of the DY stock to a higher degree than one will find from the review of the accessible current literatures on any other language family or stock of comparable divergence... Dene-

Synopsis:

This multi-year funding partnership between the National Science Foundation (NSF) and the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) supports projects to develop and advance knowledge concerning endangered human languages. Made urgent by the imminent death of an estimated half of the 6000-7000 currently used human languages, this effort aims also to exploit advances in information technology. Funding will support fieldwork and other activities relevant to recording, documenting, and archiving endangered languages, including the preparation of lexicons, grammars, text samples, and databases. Funding will be available in the form of one- to three-year project grants as well as fellowships for up to twelve months. At least half the available funding will be awarded to projects involving fieldwork.

The Smithsonian Institution's National Museum of Natural History (NMNH) will participate in the partnership as a research host, a non-funding role.

http://www.nsf.gov/funding/pgm_summ.jsp?pims_id=12816