Reflexive paradigms in Khaling

Guillaume Jacques, Aimée Lahaussois and Dhan Bahadur Rai

Khaling, like other Kiranti languages, has a Reflexive / Middle suffix-si, the main function of which is to reduce the valence of verbs. The present paper describes the complex morphological alternations observed in the reflexive paradigms, and provides a detailed account of the various meanings of the -si derivation in Khaling.

Keywords: Khaling, Kiranti, reflexive, autobenefactive, middle, antipassive, anticausative, reciprocal

1. Introduction

Like all Kiranti languages, Khaling presents a reflexive conjugation distinct from the simplex intransitive conjugation described in Jacques et al. (2012).¹ This paper describes the reflexive conjugation and presents the set of rules necessary to generate all regular forms. It is divided into three sections.

First, we present the set of affixes in the reflexive conjugation and compare it with the intransitive vowel-final conjugation. We also provide comparative data from closely related Kiranti languages and discuss the historical origin of the idiosyncrasies observed in these paradigms.

Second, we analyse the stem alternations observed in the reflexive paradigm, and show that the rules necessary to generate the forms have already been proposed in Jacques et al. (2012). Third, we describe the semantic values of reflexive derivation.

^{1.} Toba (1984) includes one reflexive paradigm, but without any analysis of the stem alternations and of the semantics of the *-si* construction.

2. Affixal paradigm

The conjugation of reflexive verbs is close to that of vowel-final intransitive verbs. As in other Kiranti languages (a more detailed comparison with Dumi, Wambule and Limbu is provided below), the paradigm includes a reflexive suffix whose main allomorph is *-si* in Khaling.

Table (1) lists the forms of the reflexive paradigm of $|n\epsilon m-si|^2 n\epsilon msin\epsilon$ "to immerse oneself, to dive", a verb chosen for its lack of stem alternations.

	non-past	past	imperative
18	пет-si-ŋл	пет- tлsu / пет-siŋʌtʌ	
1DI	nem-si-ji	nɛm-sî-jti	-
1 DE	nem-si-ju	nɛm-sî-jtu	
1 PI	nɛm-si-ki	nem-si-ktiki	
1 PI	nem-si-kʌ	nem-si-ktлkл	
28	?i-nêm-si	?i-nɛm-tɛ-si	nem-si-je
2D	?i-nɛm-si-ji	?i-nɛm-sî-jti	nēm-sî-jje
2P	?i-nɛm-si-ni	?i-nɛm- tênnu	пет- пије
38	nêm-si	nɛm-tɛ-si	
3D	nem-si-ji	nɛm-sî-jti	
3P	nem-si-nu	nem- tênnu	

Table 1. The conjugation of $|n\epsilon m-si|$ "to immerse oneself"

This paradigm presents overabundance, as two competing variants are attested for all first person past forms: $\sum -t \wedge su$ and $\sum -si\eta \wedge t \wedge$.

The personal suffixes and prefixes of reflexive verbs are essentially the same as those of intransitive verbs with a vowel-final root, as a comparison with Table (3) shows. All non-past forms are fully predictable once the reflexive suffix *-si* is taken into account. In the rest of the paradigm, only three suffixes differ from those for non-reflexive verbs, and they are indicated in bold in Table (1).

The suffix $-t \land su$ for 1sG past is non-predictable from either the 1sG non-past form or from the rest of the past tense paradigm. The variant $-siy \land t \land$ on the other hand can be generated from the non-past suffix $-siy \land$ following the same rule as simplex intransitive verbs ($pi - \eta \land 'I$ come', $pi - \eta \land t \land 'I$ came'). This suggests that $-t \land su$ is the inherited form, while $-siy \land t \land$ is analogically renewed from the rest of the paradigm, following four-part analogy (Hock 1991: 167–175), see Table 2.

^{2.} The verbs given in this format are the underlying roots used to generate paradigms. Conjugated verb forms exhibit stem alternations in the vowel, tone and final consonant.

The second and third person past $-t\hat{\epsilon}nnu$ and the imperative plural -nuje do not present vowel syncope as do the regular suffixes of vowel final verbs -tnu and -nje. These reflexive suffixes are more similar to the suffixes found in consonant-final paradigms ($-t\epsilon nu$ and -nuje respectively for 2/3PL past and imperative respectively) which do not have vowel loss.

	simplex verb 'come'	reflexive verb 'immerse oneself'
1sg.n.pst	pi-ŋл	nem-siŋʌ
1SG.PST	pi-ŋ∧-t∧	$n\varepsilon m$ -t $\Lambda su \rightarrow n\varepsilon m$ -si $\eta \Lambda$ -t Λ

 Table 2. Four-part analogy

	non-past	past	imperative
18	рі-ŋл	рі-ŋлtл	
1DI	pi-ji	pî-jti	
1 DE	pi-ju	pî-jtu	
1 PI	pi-ki	pi-ktiki	
1PE	рі-кл	рі-кtлкл	
28	2i-pi	?i-pū⊥-tε	рū <i>І-</i> je
2D	2i-pi-ji	2i-pî-jti	pî-jje
2P	2i-pi-ni	?i-pūː-tnu	pû-nje
38	pi	puː-tɛ	
3D	pi-ji	pî-jti	
3P	pi-nu	pūː-tnu	

Table 3. Intransitive verb pi "come (level)"

In most forms, the reflexive suffix *-si* appears between the verb stem and the personal suffixes. There are only three sets of exceptions to this rule:

- The second and third person singular forms, where -si occurs after the nonfirst person past marker -tε, resulting in -tε-si where we would have expected *†-si-tε*.
- The second and third person plural forms, where -si has an allomorph n occurring between the non-first person past marker -te and the plural suffix -nu. The suffix in these forms is thus -tênnu instead of expected †-si-te-nu. It is unclear if a sound change *sn > -nn- ever existed in Khaling; in any case the group -sn- is attested across morpheme boundaries, as in the imperative plural of transitive -t final verbs (ses-nuje "kill him" 2p>3, Jacques et al. 2012: 1118).
- The second plural imperative *-nuje*, which lacks an overt reflexive marker.

These particularities of the Khaling reflexive paradigm appear to be isolated among Kiranti languages. Even in Dumi, the closest relative of Khaling, the forms corresponding to Khaling 1sG past, 2sG/PL past and 3sG past are regular, as shown in Table (4).³

-		
	Dumi	Khaling
root	sir-si	sur-si
1sg	sir- s -t i	sʌr- si -ŋʌtʌ / sʌr-tʌ- su
1рі	sir- si -kti	s∧r- si -ktiki
2sg	a-sir-s-ti	?i-s∧r-tε- si
2pl	a-sir-s-ti-ni	?i-sʌr-tɛ̂nnu
3sg	sir- s -ti	sлr-tε- si

Table 4. Comparison of the Dumi and Khaling paradigms of the verb "to wash oneself"

Table 4 compares our Khaling data (the verb |sur-si| "to wash oneself") with the paradigm in van Driem (1993: 125, 362–3). In Dumi, the reflexive has two allomorphs *-s* and *-si*, the selection of which depends on the following suffix.

Apart from this allomorphy, the reflexive suffix in Dumi does not merge with personal suffixes to form portmanteau morphemes, and it always occurs to the left of all personal suffixes.

3. Stem alternations

In comparison with consonant-final paradigms, which can present up to five distinct stems for intransitive verbs (see for instance Table 5) and twelve for transitive verbs, no more than three distinct stems need to be posited for any reflexive verb.

form	stem
1sg.npst	k ⁿ oэ̂j-ŋл
ldi.npst	k^{h} $ heta$ ts-i
1 pi.npst	k ⁿ osç-ki
3p.npst	k ⁿ оэ̂п-пи
1sg.pst	k^{h} Θs - t_{Λ}
2sg.imp	k ^h otsts-e

Table 5. Stem alternation of the consonant-final intransitive conjugation of $|k^{h}ot|$ "to go"

3. Note the suffix -*t*, the past marker in most other Kiranti languages, is the Dumi non-past marker. A past / non-past flip-flop has occurred.

The stem alternations observed in reflexive verbs can, with a few exceptions, be generated by the rules described in Jacques et al. (2012, 1108–1111). Verb stems in Khaling can be derived from roots following the syllabic template $C(r; l)V C_f(t)$ for which only six vowels $(|a|, |\varepsilon|, |e|, |i|, |o|, |u|)$ and eight final consonants $C_f(|-p|, |-t|, |-k|, |-m|, |-n|, |-n|, |-n|)$ need to be distinguished. Some verbs have roots with final clusters in |-Ct| (hence the $C_f t$ in the template, cf Jacques et al. 2012, 1119–1122), but the reflexive derivation neutralizes the contrast between CV C and CV Ct roots: the final cluster |-Ct| is simplified to |-C| when a verb receives the suffix -*si*.

In reflexive verbs, we find three distinct stems: a weak stem (Σ_1), a strong stem (Σ_2), and a nasalized strong stem (Σ_3). Their distribution is shown in Table 6: the weak stem is found in all dual forms, the strong stem is restricted to first person plural, and the nasalized strong stem appears with all other forms (all singular forms and non-first person plural).

		•		
18	Σ_3 -si-ŋA	Σ_3 -tΛsu / Σ_3 -siŋΛt.	1	
1DI	Σ_1 -si-ji	Σ_1 -sî-jti		
1DE	Σ_1 -si-ju	Σ_1 -sî-jtu		
1рі	Σ_2 -si-ki	Σ_2 -si-ktiki		
1рі	Σ_2 -si-ka	Σ_2 -si-ktaka		
2s	2i-∑ ₃ si	2i-∑₃tε-si	∑ ₃ -si-je	
2d	2i-∑ ₁ -si-ji	$2i-\Sigma_1-s\hat{i}-jti$	Σ_1 -sî-jje	
2р	2i-∑ ₃ -si-ni	?i-∑ ₃ -tênnu	Σ_3 -nuje	
3s	Σ_3 -si	$\Sigma_3 t \varepsilon$ -si		
3d	Σ_1 -si-ji	$\sum_{1} s\hat{i} - jti$		
3р	Σ_3 -si-nu	Σ_{3-} <i>t</i> ênnu		

Table 6. Distribution of verbal stems in the Khaling reflexive paradigm

In reflexive verbs, the following three rules are needed to derive the weak stem from the root:

- 1. The final dentals |-t| and |-n| change to -s, with lengthening of the preceding vowel in the case of |-n|. The other final consonants are not affected.
- 2. The back vowels |o| and |u| are fronted to θ and u when not followed by a velar.
- 3. In the case of vowel-final roots, an *-s* is inserted between the stem and the suffixes.

For the strong stem, the rules are the following:

- 1. Final |-t| changes to -s.
- 2. Final |-n| changes to -j.

- 3. |i| in closed syllables changes to Λ .
- 4. The back vowels |o| and |u| change to *os* and *A* in roots with a non-velar coda.
- 5. The back vowels |o| and |u| are fronted to θ and u in vowel-final roots.
- 6. In the case of vowel-final roots, an *-s* is inserted between the stem and the suffixes.

The nasalized strong stem shares rules with the strong stem 1–5 (but not rule 6), to which are added the following:

- 1. Root-final |-p|, |-t|, |-k| change to the corresponding nasals -m, -n, $-\eta$ and the stem receives falling tone.
- 2. Root-final $|-\eta|$ changes to -n.
- 3. In the case of vowel-final roots, an -n is inserted between the stem and the suffixes and the stem receives falling tone.

The nasalization observed in the nasalized strong stem has been reported in most other Kiranti languages, and van Driem (1993:127) accounts for a similar phenomenon in Dumi by positing an underlying form |-nsi| for the cognate suffix. Although such an analysis may be valid from a diachronic point of view, it is unclear how to explain the absence of nasalization in dual and first person plural forms in Khaling, which unlike in Dumi are not subject to nasalization.

The stem alternations of reflexive paradigms have two particularities not found in the simple paradigms studied in Jacques et al. (2012).

First, the strong nasalized stems of sonorant-final roots do not receive a falling tone when followed by the past tense suffix *-t*; thus, while $n\hat{e}m$ -te 'he immersed it' (3sG past) has a falling tone, the reflexive nem-te-si does not.

Second, there is no irregular conjugation for |-ak| roots (unlike for simple intransitive verbs, cf. Jacques et al. 2012: 1115)

These morphophonological alternations have been implemented in PERL and the paradigms generated by the script have been thoroughly revised with several native speakers. This computational application and its verification convincingly suggest that the analysis provided here can correctly be used to predict all forms.

However, not all speakers of Khaling, especially in the younger generation, feel confident about all the forms of the paradigms. In particular, in the case of vowel-final roots, the application of the above rules generates a paradigm with alternating n and s, as in the case of |no-si| "to rest" (Table 7). Some speakers generalize the n-forms to the whole paradigm, resulting in a conjugation without any stem alternation.

	original paradigm	analogical paradigm
1s	nênsiŋ∧	nênsiŋʌ
1di	nəssiji	nônsiji
1de	nossiju	nênsiju
1рі	nossiki	nênsiki
1pe	nossika	nônsikʌ
2s	7inênsi	<i>?inônsi</i>
2р	7in <i></i> ossiji	2inênsiji
2р	7inênsini	2inênsini
3s	nônsi	nônsi
3d	nossiji	nônsiji
3р	nênsinu	nônsinu

Table 7. nônsine "to rest"

4. Syntax and semantics

Detransitive *-si* verbs, aside from the morphology described above, present common morphosyntactic properties: they are intransitive both from the point of view of verbal morphology (absence of transitive direct or inverse markers) and from the point of view of case marking (no ergative on the S). However, the relationship between the S of the *-si* verb and the A and P of the base verb is not straightforward, and several subtypes can be distinguished.

In this section, we first study the various subtypes of detransitive *-si* derivation (reflexive, autobenefactive, reciprocal, antipassive, and impersonal). Then, we present some additional semantic changes caused by this derivation. Although the diverse semantic effects associated with the *-si* derivation are reminiscent of the oft-cited category of 'middle', this fuzzy concept is of little help in describing in detail the specific uses of this derivation and we prefer to avoid it, opting for a more precise terminology.

4.1 Categories

There are five main subtypes of *-si* derivations. The reflexive, autobenefactive and impersonal subject interpretations of the *-si* derivation are productive, while the two remaining ones (reciprocal and antipassive) are each restricted to a few verbs.

4.1.1 Reflexive

The most common effect of *-si* is to derive a reflexive verb from a transitive one. The S of the reflexive verb corresponds to both the A and the P of the base verb; this can be represented by formula 1.

(1) $A = P \rightarrow S$

Example 2 illustrates three reflexive verbs derived from the transitive |sent| 'look at', |sur| 'wash' and |hur| 'wash hair'. The emphatic pronoun $-t\bar{a}:p$ is optional and its presence does not imply a reflexive interpretation. The transitive use of the base verb |hur| 'wash hair' is shown in 3, with the A marked in the ergative.

- (2) g^hole kēm-2ε 7u-tā:p sēi-si-nε, sār-si-nε, much work-ERG 3sG-self look.at-REFL-INF wash-REFL-INF hār-si-nε mu-jat-w-ε wash.hair-REFL-INF NEG-have.time-IRR-2/3 Because of all this work, she did not have time to look after herself, to wash (her body) and her hair. (Solme Lamalit 31)
- (3) *7u-mêm-7e* tootsto hûr-t-e.
 3sg.poss-mother-erg child wash.hair-pst-2/3 The mother washed her child's hair.

In some cases, the reflexive is derived from a verb with a historical *-t* applicative post-final whose base form has disappeared. A good example is provided by |2ipt| "put to sleep (vt)". *|2ip| does not exist in Khaling (though cognates of it can be found even outside of Kiranti). The simplex verb has been replaced by the reflexive form of |2ipt|, |2ipt-si| 'to sleep (vi)' (literally 'put oneself to sleep'). Interestingly, this replacement appears to be very old, as all Kiranti languages appear to form their verb 'to sleep' with a reflexive form, suggesting that in proto-Kiranti, the reflexive had already replaced the base intransitive verb. This process has typological parallels in other language families (for instance, French *se coucher* 'to go to bed' from *coucher* 'to put to bed').

4.1.2 Autobenefactive

Another very productive interpretation of the *-si* derivation is the autobenefactive, whereby the A of the base verb is converted to S, with P remaining as an unmarked adjunct. It corresponds to 'indirect reflexive' in Kemmer (1993)'s terminology.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (4) & A \to S \\ & P \to adjunct \end{array}$$

This productive formation can be illustrated with |lom-si| 'search for oneself' as in 5; the transitive use of the base verb |lom| 'search, look for' is shown in 6.

- (5) *2ûŋ s⊼ŋ lōm-si-ŋʌ*.
 1sG wood search-REFL-1SG:S/P
 I search for firewood for myself.
- (6) k^h ole-*γ*ε pεp lôm-t-ε-nu all-erg father search-PST-2/3-PL They looked for the father.

(Khamdime)

4.1.3 Impersonal subject

The impersonal subject interpretation of the *-si* derivation differs from the previous ones in that it can not only be applied to transitive verbs, but also to intransitive ones.

With transitive verbs, the impersonal subject *-si* construction implies either (i) a facilitative meaning, implying that the action takes place easily (with or without an agent), or (ii) a passive meaning, with an unspecified agent that is still present semantically. Example 7 illustrates that the same surface sentence can have different interpretations depending on the context.

sâ: krâm-si.
 vegetable cut-IMPERS.S/A
 Passive: The green vegetables are cut (by someone).
 Facilitative: The green vegetables cut easily.

With intransitive verbs, this form expresses an unspecified S argument, as in 8.

(8) k^hoôn-tɛ-si.
 go-PST-IMPERS.S/A
 (People) went (there).

The story 'The hunter and the old man' (based on true events) provided in the appendix of this paper illustrates a playful use of the impersonal subject forms. An old man asks a hunter about a hunt, and the young man, reluctant to give a clear answer, repeatedly uses impersonal forms of intransitive and transitive verbs to hide the identity of who did what during the hunt, in particular whether he or someone else was responsible for spotting a deer and killing it.

4.1.4 Reciprocal

The reciprocal interpretation of the -si derivation, like the reflexive one, entails a conversion of both the A and P of the transitive verb into the S of the intransitive one. However, in a reciprocal event, for two participants (or groups of participants) *x* and *y*, a reciprocal event will involve *x* acting on *y* and *y* acting on *x* simultaneously or in alternation. Thus, such an interpretation is only possible with a non-singular S. As shown in 9, while both the A and P of the transitive verb correspond to the S of the *-si* verb, there is no identification of A and P.

(9) $A \neq P \rightarrow S$ NS

Reciprocal interpretation is attested with verb whose semantics belong to Kemmer (1993)'s categories of 'naturally reciprocal events' and 'naturally collective actions'.

An example of the reciprocal use of *-si* is found in the verb $|p^hak-si|$ 'to separate', derived from $|p^hak|$ 'to separate (vt)', as in examples

- (10) dadzubhai гок p^hak-si-ktлkл.
 brothers lpe separate-REFL-PST:1PE
 We brothers separated.
- (11) 2λ̄m-2ε ki-pε hAs phâ:k-t-ε-su.
 3SG-ERG fight-NMLZ:S/A person separate-PST-2/3-DU
 He separated the fighting people.

4.1.5 Antipassive

In the antipassive interpretation of the *-si* derivation, the A of the transitive base verb becomes S of the *-si* verb, while the P is deleted, but remains syntactically recoverable. The examples with such an interpretation group semantically around cognition and emotion verbs. The A of the transitive base verb and the S of the derived *-si* verb correspond to the experiencer, and the P of the base verb to the stimulus.

(12)
$$A \rightarrow S$$

 $P \rightarrow \emptyset$

Example 13 illustrates this type of interpretation, in comparison with the base verb $|g^{t}r\varepsilon mt|$ 'be disgusted by' in 14.

- (13) g^hrēm-si-ηΛ be.disgusted.by-REFL-1SG:S/P I feel disgust.
- (14) lokpei ghrēmd-u. leech be.disgusted.by-1sG \rightarrow 3 I am disgusted by leeches.

The patient demoted during derivation can be recovered as an adjunct marked with the ablative $-k_{\Lambda^2\Lambda}$, as in 15.

(15) lokpei-kaîa ghrēm-si-ŋл
 leech-ABL be.disgusted.by-REFL-1SG:S/P
 I feel disgust because of leeches.

The verb |mimt| 'to think about' (example 16) and its derived form |mimt-si| 'to think' present a case of antipassive derivation distinct from the previous one.

(16) гилл 2л-jɛtshɛ mÂm-t-л.
 1sG:ERG 1sG.Poss-lover think.about-PST-1sG
 I thought about my lover.

The derived verb |mimt-si| 'to think', like all antipassives, has the original A as its S, but the original P is not recoverable with an ablative postpositional phrase. Instead, the stimulus can be expressed as direct speech,⁴ with a specific intonation, as in 17.

(17) "tsøtstø mu-ŋʌ-t-ʌ-lo bʰāŋte tsei-w-ʌsu-kʰo nōː-w-ɛ child be-1sg:S/P-pst-1sg well teach-IRR-REFL:1sg-if good-IRR-2/3 rāitsʰʌ" mʌm-si-ŋʌ.
sens remember-REFL-1SG I think to myself "It would have been good if I had studied hard as a child".

When *mint-si* 'to think' appears with an NP as in 18, even though it might look like an unmarked adjunct corresponding to the original P, the intonation makes it clear that here too, the NP in question is a nominal predicate expressed as direct speech.

(18) "2Λ-mêm-po ?u-gΘ"
 1SG.POSS-MOTHER-GEN 3SG.POSS-clothes
 mΛm-si-ηΛ-t-Λ-nΛ tû-η-t-Λ.
 think.about-REFL-1SG-PST-1SG-SEQU put-1SG-PST-1SG
 I thought: "These were my mother's clothes" and kept them.

4.1.6 Multiple readings

No verb allows all five possible distinct readings, but as a rule nearly all verbs can have multiple readings. The following examples illustrate the possible interpretation of the *-si* form with the root *|went|* 'cut, slice'; reciprocal and antipassive interpretations are not possible for this verb.

- (19) $2u\eta \Lambda$ so wend-u. 1sg:erg meat cut-1sg \rightarrow 3 I cut the meat.
- (20) sθ wêi-si. meat cut-REFL
 Impersonal subject: 'The meat is cut (by someone)' OR 'The meat cuts easily.'

^{4.} Direct speech is morphosyntactically recognizable as such because of the lack of nominalization, unlike other complement clauses.

- (21) *z̄λm sθ* wêi-si.
 3sG meat cut-REFL
 Autobenefactive: 'He cuts meat for himself.'
- (22) *mu-wei-w-лsu*. NEG-cut-IRR-REFL:1SG:PST *Reflexive*: 'I did not cut myself.'

4.2 Irregularities

The *-si* derivation, while very productive and regular, presents semantic idiosyncrasies involving lexical aspect or general meaning restricted to a few lexical items.

4.2.1 Defective verbs

Khaling has defective -*si* verbs, in other words verbs that do not have a corresponding transitive base form. There are three such verbs in our data, two of which are nontranslational motion verbs ($|p^hop-si|$ 'to bend', |luk-si|, 'to bend one's body'), and another a natural reciprocal ($|bra\eta-si|$, 'to separate'). We can surmise that the hypothetical base forms used to exist at an earlier stage (and traces may be found in related languages) but have disappeared in modern-day Khaling.

4.2.2 Intransitive

Derivation in *-si* on intransitive verbs is strongly restricted to the impersonal subject interpretation. Yet, we find two cases where the *-si* derivation applies to an intransitive verb replacing the stimulus by the experiencer (Table 8).

Base verb	Derived verb	
<i>tsɛʔi</i> 'to taste bad, to be unpleasant'.	<i>tsɛʔi-si</i> 'to be embarrassed'	
<i>tsɛn</i> н 'be nice, be pleasant'	tsenu-si 'feel nice'	

Table 8. Stimulus to experiencer

4.2.3 Unpredictable semantics

Some *-si* detransitive verbs are semantically quite removed from the base verb, to the extent that it is not always easy to determine whether we have two homophonous but unrelated roots or whether an etymological relationship can be hypothesized.

An interesting example is provided by the transitive verb |lunt| whose meaning includes 'repeat, put a second layer of clothes, overlap' as in 23.

(23) dz^hūŋ lōː-t-ɛ-nʌ go lân-t-л. wind feel-PST-2/3-then clothes overlap-PST-1SG As it was cold, I put a second layer of clothes.

Its derived form |*lunt-si*| has very restricted meanings: 'put on a shaman garb' or the potentially metaphorical extension 'adopt a family name', as in 24.

 (24) zāmhɛm dōsmʌŋ lʌi-t-ε-n-nu.
 3PL family.name overlap-PST-2/3-REFL-PL They adopted a family name.

While the meanings of the detransitive verb appears to be indirectly related to that of the transitive one, the exact path of semantic derivation is not recoverable without a deeper description of the local culture.

5. Conclusion

Reflexive derivation in *-si* in Khaling is quite rich and productive. It presents a few idiosyncratic phonological alternations in comparison with non-reflexive verbs, and its slot in the suffixal chain undergoes metathesis depending on the other suffixes present, resulting in some cases in verb forms such as $sAr-t-\varepsilon-si$ wash-PST-2/3-REFL 'he washed himself' where the inflectional elements *-t*- and *-* ε are sand-wiched between the stem and the derivational suffix *-si*.

From the point of view of morphosyntax, the meaning of *-si* is not fully predictable. The basic meaning of this derivation is clearly reflexive, as this is the meaning observed for the largest number of examples. Yet, other detransitive interpretations are also attested for the suffix *-si*.

One possible interpretation is that the meaning of this suffix in proto-Kiranti (and earlier, as cognates of it appear in Kham and Dulong/Rawang) was specifically reflexive, and that all other interpretations secondarily derived from it through various pathways. Indeed, derivation from reflexive to anticausative, passive, or antipassive is widely attested (see Haspelmath 1990, Nedjalkov 2007, Say 2008), while the opposite pathway is not documented.

Another possibility is that the function of this suffix in the proto-language was much broader and already encompassed all types of detransitive derivation, but that only the reflexive interpretation was solidly maintained in Kiranti, while the other subtypes became restricted to a few items. This idea is supported by the fact that a very similar polysemy is found with -cu, the cognate of -si in Dulong/Rawang (see in particular LaPolla & Yang 2005), though this raises the question as to whether both -s derivation and voicing alternation were used for anticausative (Jacques 2013).

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the HimalCo project (ANR-12-CORP-0006) and is related to the research strand LR-4.11 "Automatic Paradigm Generation and Language Description" of the Labex EFL (funded by the ANR/CGI). We would like to thank two anonymous reviewers and the editors for insightful suggestions. Glosses follow the Leipzig glossing rules, to which we add habit habitual, impers impersonal, sens sensory.

References

- van Driem, George. 1993. *A Grammar of Dumi*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. doi:10.1515/9783110880915
- Haspelmath, Martin. 1990. The grammaticalization of passive morphology. *Studies in Language* 14(1): 25–72. doi:10.1075/sl.14.1.03has
- Hock, Hans Heinrich. 1991. *Principles of Historical Linguistics*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. doi: 10.1515/9783110219135
- Jacques, Guillaume. 2013. Derivational morphology in Khaling. *Bulletin of Chinese Linguistics* 8: 78–85.
- Jacques, Guillaume, Lahaussois, Aimée, Michailovsky, Boyd & Rai, Dhan Bahadur. 2012. An overview of Khaling verbal morphology. *Language and Linguistics* 13(6): 1095–1170.
- Kemmer, Suzanne. 1993. *The Middle Voice* [Typological Studies in Language 23]. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. doi:10.1075/tsl.23
- LaPolla, Randy & Jiangling Yang. 2005. Reflexive and middle marking in Dulong/Rawang. *Himalayan Linguistics* 2: 1–13.
- Nedjalkov, Vladimir P. 2007. Polysemy of reciprocal markers. In *Reciprocal Constructions* [Typological Studies in Language 71], Vladimir P. Nedjalkov (ed.), 231–334. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. doi:10.1075/tsl.71.10ned
- Say, Sergey S. 2008. K tipologii antipassivnyx konstrukcij: Semantika, pragmatika, sintaksis: Rossijskaja akademija nauk, Institut lingvisticheskix issledovanij, St-Petersburg dissertation.
- Toba, Sueyoshi. 1984. Khaling. Tokyo: ILCAA, Tokyo University of Foreign Studies.

Appendix

- (25) 2ΛΠĀM phêi dʌbu muk-bi khoôn-nɛ-po tsʌlʌn gōː-ther-t-ɛ. long.ago about hunt do-LOC go-INF-GEN tradition exist-HABIT-PST-2/3 Long ago, people used to hunt.
- (26) mʌnʌ tû:-bʌ dēl-bî-m duspɛ-hɛm-?ɛ blɛt-t-ɛ-nû-m tû: kʌtha then one-cl village-loc-nmlz elder-pl-ERG tell-PST-2/3-PL-NMLZ one story tsɛnu-pɛ gΘ. be.nice-nmlz:S/A exist There is a nice story told by the elders of one village.

(27)	тлпл tûː-bл duspe, hлs dēl-bi mōː-ther-t-e.
	then one-CL elder man village-LOC exist-habit-PST-2/3
	There used to be an elder man in the village.
(28)	$m_{\Lambda}n_{\Lambda}$ tûː-b_{\Lambda} juba mōː-ther-t- ε . dēl-bi mōː-t- ε . go.
. ,	then one-CL youth exist-HABIT-PST-2/3 village-LOC exist-PST-2/3 exist
	And there used to be a young man in the village
(20)	mana 27m te i dahu muk-thor-tes
(2))	then 3sc TOP hunt do HART NMLZ:S/A
	He used to hunt
(20)	rie used to hum.
(30)	then and devithet older men convert that young men convert
	$u_{HH} = 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1$
	meet-2/3DU-PST-2/3DU-and
(21)	inen, one day, that elder and that young man met.
(31)	$brai mu-s-su, s \land \eta \ lu-1-t-1,$
	word make-PST-DU ask RECIP-2/3DU-PST-2/3DU
()	They had a conversation, they asked each other questions.
(32)	mana me duspe, me bure-has-ze tsai, män tshuk-t-e zen-ne
	then that elder that elder-man-ERG TOP what happen-PST-2/3 say-INF
	2u-nûː-bөjo ghole brâː khôŋ-t-ɛ, тʌпʌ mɛ sala
	3sg.Poss-mind-LOC:LEVEL a.lot word come.up-Pst-2/3 then that young.man
	sîŋ-t-ɛ-ʔe.
	ask-pst-2/3-hearsay
()	The old man was wondering what had happened, and he asked the young man.
(33)	тлпл duspe me bure-re sala sîŋ-t-e-lo
	then elder that old.man-ERG young.man ask-PST-2/3-when
	The old man asked the young man:
(34)	$d_{\Lambda}bu-bi$?i-khos-t- ε -nu hɛi? lû:-t- ε -n Λ sîŋ-t- ε -?e.
	hunt-LOC 2-go-PST-2/3-PL QU tell-PST-2/3-and ask-PST-2/3-HEARSAY
	He asked him: 'Did you _{pl} go hunting?'
(35)	тлпл те sala-re lʉ́i-t-e-re khoôn-t-e-si
	then that young.man-ERG tell-PST-2/3-HEARSAY go-PST-2/3-IMPERS:S/A
	Then the young man told him: '(People) went'.
(36)	тлпл ?u-brâ: tshoto lû:te?e тлпл pheri me duspe-?e
	then 3sg.poss-word short tell-pst-hearsay then again that elder-erg
	ts⊼i mε sala sîŋ-t-ε.
	TOP that young.man ask-PST-2/3
	His answer (words) was short, and the old man asked the young man again:
(37)	тлпл dлbu-bi ?i-khөs-t-e-nu, тлпл sikar ?i-thō:-t-nu hei?
	then hunt-LOC 2-go-PST-2/3-PL then game 2/INV-see-PST-PL QU
	$l\hat{u}$ I-t- ε -nA sî η -t- ε -lo,
	tell-PST-2/3-and ask-PST-2/3-when
	He asked him 'So you went hunting, did you see game?'
(38)	тлпл sala-ге lui-t-e-ге thô-n <t-e>si</t-e>
	then young.man-erg tell-pst-2/3-hearsay see- <pst-2 3="">impers:S/A</pst-2>
	The young man told him: '(It) was seen'.
	· -

(39)	тлпл те sikar bhîr ni tho-t-nu, тлпл mâŋ tshuk-t-e
	then that game deer TOP see-PST-PL then what happen-PST-2/3
	me duspe-ze zodi mu-tho-we,
	that elder-ERG idea NEG-see-IRR
	The old man (still) did not have a (clear) idea of what had happened; was the game
	that they saw a deer (or something else)?
(40)	тлпл pheri sîŋ-t-ε-ге тлпл те bhîr гi-гөр-t-ε-пи
	then again ask-PST-2/3-HEARSAY then that deer 2/INV-shoot-PST-2/3-PL
	hɛi lŵː-t-ɛ-lo,
	QU tell-PST-2/3-when
	Then he asked again: 'Did you shoot that deer?'
(41)	20э́т-t-ε-si, 2εs-t-ε-?e
	shoot-pst-2/3-impers:S/A say-pst-2/3-hearsay
	'(It) was shot'.
(42)	тлпл 2ө́:p-t-e-nu, 2лbл mis-te-20 ти-mis-w-e-20
	then shoot-pst-2/3-pl now die-pst-2/3-qu neg-die-irr-2/3-qu
	thāː ŋ∧ mu-tshuk-w-ε.
	know foc neg-happen-irr-2/3
	So they had shot it, but now, did it die or not? (the old man still) did not know.
(43)	тлпл pheri sîŋ-t-ε-ге тлпл sala-ге blet-t-е. тлпл
	then again ask-PST-2/3-HEARSAY then young.man-ERG tell-PST-2/3 then
	<i>i-hos-t-ε-nu</i> hεi lû <i>z-t-ε</i>
	2/INV-bring.back-PST-2/3-PL QU tell-PST-2/3
	Then he asked him again, and the young man told him; 'Did you bring it back?', he said.
(44)	тлпл sala-2e hoวn-t-e-si lúi-t-e-2e.
	then young.man-ERG bring.back-PST-2/3-IMPERS:S/A tell-PST-2/3-HEARSAY
	Then the young man said: 'It was brought back.'

Corresponding author's address

Guillaume Jacques CRLAO, CNRS 105 boulevard Raspail 75006 Paris, France

rgyalrongskad@gmail.com